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In this study, we examined the association among perceptions of racial and/or ethnic discrimination, racial climate, and trauma-related symptoms among 289 racially diverse college undergraduates. Study measures included the Perceived Stress Scale, the Perceived Ethnic Discrimination Questionnaire, the Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist—Civilian Version, and the Racial Climate Scale. Results of a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) indicated that Asian and Black students reported more frequent experiences of discrimination than did White students. Additionally, the MANOVA indicated that Black students perceived the campus racial climate as being more negative than did White and Asian students. A hierarchical regression analysis showed that when controlling for generic life stress, perceptions of discrimination contributed an additional 10% of variance in trauma-related symptoms for Black students, and racial climate contributed an additional 7% of variance in trauma symptoms for Asian students.
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It is now generally accepted that experiences of discrimination based on race and ethnicity tend to be positively associated with negative psychological outcomes, such as anxiety, depression, emotional reactivity, and lowered self-esteem (Carter, 2007; Mossakowski, 2003; Williams, Neighbors, & Jackson, 2008). However, the extent to which psychological reactions to racial and ethnic discrimination might be associated with the symptoms characteristic of traumatic stress or posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) remains unclear. Given the well-documented history of racial oppression and ethnic discrimination in the United States (Feagin, 2001; Marger, 2008), some have argued that people of non-European descent, from here on referred to as people of color, might have higher levels and more frequent experiences of stress that could lead to traumatic stress reactions (Allen, 1996; Butts, 2002; Carter, 2007). Indeed, studies have documented higher rates of PTSD among Asian American, African American, and Native American Vietnam veterans (Norris, Friedman, Watson, Byrne, et al., 2002), as well as higher rates of PTSD in non-White civilian populations in the aftermath of natural disasters, such as Hurricane Andrew (Norris, 1992). Therefore, in the current investigation, we sought to examine the associations among racial and/or ethnic discrimination, racial climate, and trauma-related symptoms. In particular, in the study, we focused on whether perceptions of racial and/or ethnic discrimination and negative racial climate were associated with trauma-related symptoms in a college-aged population not necessarily exposed to a specific traumatic event. Whereas the suggestion that racial and/or ethnic discrimination could be viewed as a type of trauma has received increasing theoretical consideration, empirical examinations of this hypothesis in a racially diverse population not exposed to a specific traumatic event are lacking.

Assessment of Racial and/or Ethnic Discrimination

The viewpoint that discrimination based on racial and/or ethnic group membership can be considered a specific type of life stressor has received much conceptual and empirical attention over the past few decades (see Contrada et al., 2000; Harrell, 2000; Landrine, Klonoff, Corral, Fernandez, & Roesch, 2006). The advent of instruments designed to assess the experience of racial and ethnic discrimination has resulted in a robust body of research focusing on the association between perceived racial and/or ethnic (terms used interchangeably) discrimination and varied aspects of psychological functioning (Landrine et al., 2006; Utsey, 1998; Wil-
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The assessment of racial and/or ethnic discrimination in the current individual, institutional, and cultural (see Jones, 1997)—the as-

ination or racism is thought to occur in various domains—
factors (Clark et al., 1999). Although racial and/or ethnic discrim-
which the stress might be associated with psychological distress
reflective of discrimination and stress inducing. The extent to
active process whereby an individual perceives events to be both
psychological stress. The models collectively articulate an inter-
relation between experiences of racial and/or ethnic discrimination and
works in which to examine and understand the complex associa-

trada et al., 2000; Harrell, 2000) has also provided useful frame-
match those reported by people of color (Brondolo, Kelly, et al.,
the findings indicate that for White people, neither the frequency
people also endorse experiencing racial discrimination. However,
of color, studies that include White people reveal that White
people also endorse experiencing racial discrimination. However,
the findings indicate that for White people, neither the frequency
of discrimination nor the stress associated with discrimination
match those reported by people of color (Brondolo, Kelly, et al.,
Richman, Kohn-Wood, & Williams, 2007; Turner & Avi-
son, 2003; Williams et al., 2008).

Racial and/or Ethnic Discrimination and
Psychological Outcomes

The development of conceptual models identifying racism and
racial and/or ethnic discrimination as psychological stressors
(Carter, 2007; Clark, Anderson, Clark, & Williams, 1999; Con-
trada et al., 2000; Harrell, 2000) has also provided useful frame-
works in which to examine and understand the complex associa-
tion between experiences of racial and/or ethnic discrimination
and psychological stress. The models collectively articulate an inter-
active process whereby an individual perceives events to be both
reflective of discrimination and stress inducing. The extent to
which the stress might be associated with psychological distress
depends on a combination of individual-level and environmental
factors (Clark et al., 1999). Although racial and/or ethnic discrimi-
ination or racism is thought to occur in various domains—
individual, institutional, and cultural (see Jones, 1997)—the as-
essment of racial and/or ethnic discrimination in the current

literature focuses largely on individual experiences or individual
perceptions of racial and/or ethnic discrimination and hostility,
usually in interpersonal interactions.

Although race-related stress models have historically been
based on the African American experience, models and empirical
examinations of race-related stress and ethnic discrimination have
now been extended to other populations, such as Asian Americans
(Alvarez, Juang, & Liang, 2006). Recent investigations of discrim-
in have also focused on ethnic group membership as a potential
source of stress (Cassidy et al., 2004; Landrine et al., 2006; Mossakowski, 2003).

An important discussion that has emerged from the race-related
stress literature is the extent to which experiences of discrimina-
and racism can be viewed within the rubric of trauma (Carter,
posed that traditional conceptions of PTSD be enlarged to include
aspects of trauma associated with racial and/or ethnic discrimina-
and oppression. The call to consider racial and/or ethnic
discrimination as an etiological factor in traumatic symptoms
or traumatic stress reactions challenges the somewhat narrow criteria
for PTSD, as described in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of
Mental Disorders (4th ed., text rev.; DSM–IV–TR; American Psy-
chiatric Association, 2000). In discussions of race and ethnicity as
sources of psychological trauma, scholars have emphasized the
need to understand that trauma associated with racial and/or ethnic
discrimination can be viewed as cumulative in nature. To illustrate,
Walters and Simonie (2002) and Shepard, O’Neill, and Guenette
(2006) have argued that one cannot fully appreciate or effectively
respond to the psychosocial needs of Native American women
without recognizing the ongoing intergenerational trauma associ-
ated with loss of land, identity, and rights. In reference to people
of African descent, scholars have also highlighted the need to take into
account both current experiences of discrimination and historical
legacies (e.g., slavery, colonization) on which those experiences are
built, to gain an accurate understanding of the psychological re-
sponses to racial and ethnic discrimination (Bryant-Davis, 2007; Bul-
han, 1985). Franklin and Boyd-Franklin’s (2000) description of racial
discrimination as a type of invisibility provides a useful illustration of
the cumulative and recurring nature of discrimination and racism as
experienced by Black men. According to these authors, the psycholo-
logical response to discrimination and/or racism is predicated on
numerous prior experiences of discrimination. As a coping strategy,
some Black men have developed a sense of vigilance and therefore
tend to consistently anticipate discrimination or racial hostility.
Additionally, psychological reactivity (e.g., anger, hostility) dis-
played by some Black men could be viewed as a type of defense
in response to, or in anticipation of, racial discrimination. The
range of psychological responses noted by Franklin and Boyd-
Franklin appear to be consistent with the common responses to
trauma, including avoidance, identity confusion, difficulties in
interpersonal relationships, and feelings of guilt and shame, as
documented by Carlson (1997).

Though the focus of racial and/or ethnic discrimination is pre-
dominantly on experiences of interpersonal discrimination, the fact
that an individual belongs to a racial and/or ethnic group that has
been historically oppressed might also shape the manner in which
individuals experience their environment. In this regard, the con-
cept of racial climate has received increasing attention in the social
science literature. Racial climate is thought to refer to the behav-
ors, practices, and attitudes that together reflect the level of acceptance or rejection of racial diversity in a given institution and therefore tend to influence the manner in which people may interact (Chavous, 2005; Reid & Radhakrishnan, 2003; Solórzano, Ceja, & Yosso, 2000). Research focusing on racial climate at college campuses has indicated that perceptions of racial climate often differ across racial and ethnic lines. Findings generally indicate that White students are more likely to perceive the racial climate as positive, whereas students of color are more likely to perceive the racial climate as negative (see Ancis, Seldacek, & Mohr, 2000; Navarro, Worthington, Hart, & Khairallah, 2009). Additionally, research suggests that perceptions of racial climate on college campuses may be associated with persistence and degree completion (Museus, Nichols, & Lambert, 2008) and with sense of belonging (Johnson et al., 2007). Specifically, studies with students of color have found that negative perceptions of the campus racial climate are positively associated with poorer academic performance and are predictive of feelings of not belonging (Chavous, 2005). Finally, Holcomb-McCoy and Addison-Bradley (2005) surveyed a sample of African American counselor educators and found that a negative perception of racial climate was inversely related to job satisfaction. The literature therefore suggests that racial climate is a variable that might also be associated with self-reported psychological functioning. To illustrate, regardless of direct experiences of personal discrimination, people of color may perceive the environment in which they live or work as being racially negative or hostile. In turn, this perception could be associated with psychological distress, as evidenced by trauma-related symptoms.

Even though conceptual perspectives outline a potential association between racial discrimination and trauma-related symptoms, empirical support for this association has yet to be established (Carter, 2007). However, some studies do suggest that individuals of European and non-European racial and/or ethnic heritage might differ in how they express trauma-related symptoms. In an investigation of a nonclinical community sample of 617 African American adults living in an urban area, Alim et al. (2006) reported a 33% rate of individuals meeting criteria for PTSD. Whereas the authors attributed this finding to the particular environmental stressors encountered by their sample, authors of other studies have found rates of PTSD to be higher in samples of Black participants when compared with equivalent samples of White participants (Breslau et al., 1998). Trauma researchers have speculated that the higher rates of PTSD among populations of color could possibly be explained by prior experiences of prejudice and social neglect (Norris, Friedman, & Watson, 2002). Additionally, studies by Loo et al. (2003) and Ruef, Litz, and Schlenker (2000) suggest that racial and ethnic background could be associated with higher rates of PTSD in Asian and Hispanic combat veterans. Recently, Khaylis, Waelde, and Brice (2008) investigated the moderating influence of ethnic identity on race-related stress and PTSD symptoms in a multiracial sample of 91 undergraduate students. Their findings suggested that a strong ethnic identity was associated with higher levels of PTSD symptoms in association with race-related stress. However, given the fact that the data were analyzed as a single group, the role of racial and ethnic background was unclear.

In sum, although the actual constituents of psychological trauma in response to racial and/or ethnic discrimination remain unclear, there is a general agreement that experiences of racial and ethnic discrimination have a deleterious psychological effect on their targets (Paradies, 2006; Williams & Mohammed, 2009). Consequently, scholars have suggested that the psychological response to racial and/or ethnic discrimination might not be fully captured by current approaches to understanding the impact of stressful life events (Carter, 2007). Specifically, existing models of trauma do not account for the recurring nature of racial and/or ethnic discrimination and might also be limited by the focus on a single traumatic event (Bryant-Davis, 2007; Sanchez-Hucles, 1999).

**Purpose of Study and Research Questions**

Although current criteria for traumatic symptoms exclude general experiences of racial and/or ethnic discrimination, the notion of a positive association between trauma-related symptoms and racial and/or ethnic discrimination is plausible. Therefore, in the current investigation, we examined the associations among perceptions of racial and/or ethnic discrimination, racial climate, and trauma-related symptoms in a sample of racially diverse college students. Our primary research question focused on whether racial and/or ethnic discrimination would be predictive of trauma-related symptoms. Given the nature of race relations in the United States, we believed it was important, first, to establish whether perceptions of discrimination differed across racial and/or ethnic groups and, second, to examine whether negative racial and/or ethnic experiences were, in fact, associated with trauma symptoms. We expected students of color to report more frequent experiences of racial and/or ethnic discrimination than the White students did. We also expected that for students of color, perceived racial and/or ethnic discrimination and negative racial climate would be positively associated with trauma-related symptoms.

**Method**

**Participants**

The participants in the study were 289 undergraduate students enrolled at a large state institution in the mid-Atlantic region of the United States. Participants’ ages ranged from 18 years to 53 years (M = 20.06, SD = 4.41). In terms of self-reported racial group membership, 170 students (55%) identified as White and/or European American, 47 identified (15%) as Black and/or African American, and 71 identified (23%) as Asian and/or Asian American. There were 114 male (39%) and 173 female (61%) students. For socioeconomic status, 41 participants (14%) self-reported as working class, 203 (70%) self-reported as middle class, and 43 (15%) self-reported as upper class. According to standing in college, participants were divided into 165 (57%) freshmen, 65 (23%) sophomores, 27 (9%) juniors, and 26 (9%) seniors. Students were predominantly Christian (65%); among the rest, 7% were Muslim, 4% were Buddhist, 8% were nonreligious, and 13% did not specify a religion. Due to the self-report nature of the data, the study group reported 37 ethnicities and nationalities, with total participants for the individual ethnic groups ranging from 1 (e.g., Lebanese) to 50 (Irish). Examples of other ethnic groups listed were Italian, Vietnamese, Bolivian, Ethiopian, German, Sudanese, Jamaican, and Filipino; however, 76 participants failed to respond to this category. Ethnic group data were consistent with racial group mem-
bersonship in that White participants reported a European-based ethnicity, and the next largest cohort were students reporting an Asian-based ethnicity (Vietnamese, Chinese, Korean, etc.).

Measures

The Perceived Stress Scale–10 (PSS; Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983; Cohen & Williamson, 1988). The 10-item single score version of the PSS was used to assess the degree to which individuals perceive their lives as stressful, with higher scores reflecting higher perceptions of life stress. Psychometric data reported by Cohen and Williamson (1998) indicated Cronbach’s alpha values from .74 for the 14-item version and .78 for the 10-item version. Cohen and Williamson have suggested that the 10-item version be used due to improvements in factor structure and internal consistency. For the current samples, Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficients were as follows: .84 for Asian and/or Asian American students, .87 for White and/or European American students, and .87 for Black and/or African American students. Evidence for construct validity with college samples has been noted by studies revealing significant positive associations among scores on the PSS, variables such as depression and lower levels of self-esteem, and four Symptom Checklist–90 (SCL-90) subscales—Somatization, Obsessive Compulsive, Depression, and Paranoid Ideation (Chambers et al., 1998).

The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist—Civilian Version (PCL-C; Weathers, Litz, Herman, Huska, & Keane, 1993). The PCL-C is a 17-item self-report measure designed to assess the presence of various stress-related symptoms that are associated with diagnostic criteria for PTSD, as outlined in the DSM. Respondents are asked to rate the extent to which they have experienced various stress-related problems over the past month. The response scale ranges from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely). The measure derives a single score by summing the responses to all 17 items. Note that the items only assess psychological symptoms and do not focus on other criteria, such as being exposed to a life-threatening event. The PCL-C has been used in a wide range of studies exploring trauma in varying contexts, such as the experience of cancer, natural disasters, and other disasters (Murphy, Wismar, & Freeman, 2004; Smith, Redd, DuHamel, Vickberg, & Ricketts, 1999). Providing a single score, the PCL-C is designed to capture experiences of hyperarousal and, to a lesser degree, emotional numbing (Weathers et al., 1999). The checklist’s authors reported a reliability coefficient of .97 in their initial study. For the current sample, reliability with Cronbach’s alpha was calculated to be .91 for Asian and/or Asian American students, .93 for White and/or European American students, and .88 for Black and/or African American students. The findings are consistent with other studies with the PCL-C in college-aged populations. Ruggiero, Ben, Scotti, and Rabalais (2003) examined the psychometric properties of the PCL-C in a multiracial sample of 392 college undergraduates. Findings indicated a Cronbach’s alpha for the total score of .93. Validity for the PCL-C has been established by strong and positive correlations between scores on the PCL-C and scores on the Impact of Events Scale and the Mississippi PTSD scale (Ruggiero et al., 2003; Weathers et al., 1999).

The PEDQ (Contrada et al., 2001). The PEDQ is a 22-item self-report measure designed to assess the frequency of various acts of ethnic discrimination. Although the measure references ethnic discrimination, initial construction of the measure involved analysis undertaken according to racial groupings. The terminology used in the PEDQ, therefore, is consistent with the manner in which race and ethnicity are used interchangeably in the discrimination-related literature (see Brondolo, Thompson, et al., 2005; Landrine et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2003). Participants are asked to reflect on the previous 3 months and indicate how often certain events have occurred, such as being called a name that is an ethnic slur or receiving unfair treatment based on their ethnicity. Examples of the items are “How often have you been subjected to nonverbal harassment because of your ethnicity?” “How often have you been exposed to offensive comments because of your ethnic group?” and “How often have you received unfair treatment from service people (e.g., waiters, bank tellers, security guards) because of your ethnicity?” Participants respond using a fully anchored response format, with 1 equal to never and 7 equal to very often. The PEDQ comprises four subscales—Disvaluation, Avoidance, Verbal Rejection, and Threat Aggression—as well as a full-scale score. Scores are derived by summing the responses to the individual items such that higher scores represent more of the experience being measured. The PEDQ was initially developed on an undergraduate population and was constructed for use across all racial and/or ethnic groups. The authors reported reliability for the four subscales ranging from .74 (Avoidance) to .84 (Disvaluation). For the current investigation, the full-scale score was used, in view of the high correlations between the subscales. The reliability coefficient with Cronbach’s alpha was calculated at .92 for the Asian and/or Asian American students, .79 for the White/European American students, and .86 for the Black and/or African American students. In a community version of the PEDQ, reliability for the full-scale score was calculated at .89 (Brondolo, Thompson, et al., 2005). Evidence for construct validity has been provided through inverse correlations with measures of psychological well-being and positive correlations with other measures of discrimination and prejudice, such as the stereotype confirmation concern (Broudy et al., 2007; Contrada et al., 2001).

The Racial Climate Scale (RCS; Reid & Radhakrishnan, 2003). The RCS is an eight-item self-report measure that assesses perceptions of racial climate on college campuses. The measure was initially constructed on an undergraduate and graduate college population; the measure comprises two subscales. The subscales include Racial Experiences (RE), a five-item scale that assesses the extent to which students view the university environment as negative, and University Perceptions, a three-item scale assessing the extent to which students view the university environment as welcoming to people of all racial groups. The authors of the scale reported reliability coefficients of .70 for RE and .74 for University Perceptions in an undergraduate population. In the current investigation, we used the RE subscale. Participants were asked to respond to statements such as “This campus is more racist than most,” using a 5-point full-response scale ranging from 1, meaning strongly disagree, to 5, meaning strongly agree. Reliability coefficients as calculated by Cronbach’s alpha were .81 for Asian students, .76 for White students, and .66 for Black students. Validity of the RCS has been established through positive associations with a general campus climate scale as well as significant racial group differences on the measure, with White students reporting fewer negative racial experiences than did students of color (Reid & Radhakrishnan, 2003).
Procedure
After obtaining approval from the institutional review board, the researchers compiled a packet that included a cover letter, a consent form, and all survey instruments. Participants were instructed that they would be part of a research study examining discrimination and stress. Participation in the project allowed students to fulfill a research requirement associated with their program; however, they could participate in a range of other research projects instead, and participants were instructed that there was no penalty associated with either not participating or not completing the survey. All participants were drawn from the Department of Communication. Upon completion of the survey, students were given a debriefing form providing additional information on the purpose of the investigation. They were also directed to the college counseling center for additional support and referral in case they experienced any discomfort as a result of completing the survey.

Results
An initial review of the data indicated that for the White and Asian groups, the PEDQ and PCL-C were significantly and positively skewed. Therefore, to proceed with parametric analysis, all outliers (values greater than 3 standard deviations from the mean) were deleted, resulting in the removal of 6 participants from the original participant pool. Subsequently, the data more closely fit a normal distribution while retaining a small positive skew.

Preliminary Analysis
To examine racial group differences in mean scores on all the study variables (PSS, PCL-C, PEDQ, and RE), we conducted a one-way MANOVA (see Table 1). The full model was significant as assessed with Wilkes' lambda (.71, p < .000). Test of between-subjects effects indicated that the groups differed on perceived discrimination (PEDQ), F(2, 283) = 49.70, p < .01, η² = .26, and racial climate (RE), F(2, 283) = 49.70, p = .010, η² = .03. Follow-up Tukey’s honestly significant difference comparisons for perceived discrimination mean scores differed significantly across all racial groups, with Black students reporting higher levels of discrimination (M = 35.02) than did White students (M = 21.05) and Asian students (M = 26.31). Asian students reported higher levels of discrimination than did White students and lower levels than did Black students. White students reported the lowest levels of discrimination, in comparison with both Asian students and Black students. All comparisons were statistically significant at p < .001. For the racial climate comparisons, Black students perceived the racial climate as more negative (M = 8.97, p = .007) than did White students (M = 7.42) and Asian students (M = 7.64). There were no other significant differences on racial climate.

Primary Research Question
To examine whether racial and/or ethnic discrimination was predictive of trauma-related symptoms, we ran two separate hierarchical regression analyses (see Table 2). Scores on the PCL-C served as the criterion variable, with scores on the PSS, PEDQ, and RE serving as the predictor variables. Given that we were interested in examining the unique variance in trauma symptoms over and above that accounted for by general stress, we included the PSS in the analysis. Subsequently, the variables were entered in the following order: general life stress (PSS) was entered on Step 1, perceived ethnic discrimination (PEDQ) was entered on Step 2, and racial climate was entered on Step 3. We believed that the racial climate would be representative of discrimination-related stress associated with the environment and therefore might be distinct from the more individual nature of discrimination as assessed by the PEDQ.

For the Asian student group, after controlling for general stress, both perceived ethnic discrimination (ΔR² = .04, p = .047) and racial climate (ΔR² = .07, p = .005) contributed additional variance in trauma-related symptoms (ΔR² = .04, p = .005). For the Black students, after controlling for general stress, perceived ethnic discrimination contributed an additional 10% of variance in trauma-related symptoms (ΔR² = .10, p = .020), and racial climate did not contribute any additional variance. In sum, the findings indicated that racial and/or ethnic discrimination was a significant and positive predictor of trauma-related symptoms for Black students. For Asian students, perceived discrimination and racial climate both had a significant, positive, bivariate association with trauma-related symptoms. However, the final step of the multiple regression analysis suggested that after controlling for general distress, racial climate and not perceived discrimination was a unique predictor of trauma-related symptoms.

Table 1
Means, Standard Deviations, and MANOVA for Effects of Racial Group on Perceived Trauma Symptoms, Perceived Stress, Ethnic Discrimination, and Racial Experiences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>White racial group</th>
<th>Asian racial group</th>
<th>Black racial group</th>
<th>Between subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL-C</td>
<td>31.77</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>34.71</td>
<td>12.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>18.81</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDQ</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>26.31</td>
<td>10.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) Wilkes’ lambda = .72, p < .01. White group n = 170; Asian group n = 69; Black group n = 47. PCL-C = Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist—Civilian Version; PSS = Perceived Stress Scale; PEDQ = Perceived Ethnic Discrimination Questionnaire; RE = Racial Experiences.
argued that a traumatic response not only might be reflective of a
both acute and cumulative (Sanchez-Hucles, 1999). Scholars have
stress suggest that the stress associated with discrimination can be
event. However, conceptual models of racial and/or ethnic-related
incident of discrimination is consistent with a life-threatening
does not easily fit within the rubric of PTSD unless the actual
criteria, stress associated with racial and/or ethnic discrimination
are thought to be associated situations in which individuals have
racial experiences. Currently, acute stress disorder and PTSD
experiences of racial and/or ethnic discrimination or negative
a life-threatening event might be indirectly influenced by prior
single event. Rather, the possibility does exist that current experiences of dis-
not necessarily exclude a single event as the source of trauma;
rather, the possibility does exist that current experiences of discrimi-
build on, or be understood in the context of, a prior single event.

Although the findings do support an association between racial
and/or ethnic discrimination and trauma-related symptoms, it is
important to note that scholars have cautioned against overpatholo-
gizing the psychological response to discrimination and have ar-
aged against the adoption of a disease model per se. Carter et al.
(2005) proposed an alternative concept for understanding the trau-
amic effects of racial discrimination and harassment, namely
race-based traumatic stress. Drawing on work by Carlson (1997),
Carter (2007) argued that much of the trauma associated with
racial and/or ethnic discrimination is related to the perception of an
event as emotionally painful (negative), sudden, and out of one’s
control, criteria that are consistent with Carlson’s definition of
trauma. Thus, Carter proposed that race-related trauma be viewed
as an emotional and/or psychological injury and not necessarily as
a mental disorder, such as PTSD. Regardless of the current find-
the tension that exists between those who have called for the
inclusion of racism and experiences of racial and/or ethnic dis-

Discussion

A consistent finding in the discrimination-related stress litera-
ture has been the positive association between reports of psycholo-
gical distress and perceived experiences of racism and ethnic
discrimination. In view of the increasing calls to view the psycho-
logical response to racial and/or ethnic discrimination in the con-
text of trauma (Carter, 2007; Ford, 2008; Sanchez-Hucles, 1999),
in the current investigation, we looked at the association among
perceived racial and/or ethnic discrimination, negative racial cli-
mate, and trauma-related symptoms. The findings offer tentative
support for the suggestion that experiences of racial and/or ethnic
discrimination can be viewed from the perspective of psycholog-
ical trauma (Butts, 2002; Franklin, Boyd-Franklin, & Kelly, 2006).

There is clear evidence in the literature that people of color
exhibit higher rates of PTSD subsequent to experiencing military
combat or natural disasters (Norris et al., 2002; Loo et al., 2001).
Although the finding of the current investigation cannot be used to
explain disparities in PTSD across racial and ethnic lines, it could be
that for people of color, the ensuing psychological response to a
life-threatening event might be indirectly influenced by prior
experiences of racial and/or ethnic discrimination or negative
racial experiences. Currently, acute stress disorder and PTSD
are thought to be associated situations in which individuals have
experienced or witnessed a life-threatening event. Based on these
criteria, stress associated with racial and/or ethnic discrimination
does not easily fit within the rubric of PTSD unless the actual
incident of discrimination is consistent with a life-threatening
event. However, conceptual models of racial and/or ethnic-related
stress suggest that the stress associated with discrimination can be
both acute and cumulative (Sanchez-Hucles, 1999). Scholars have
argued that a traumatic response not only might be reflective of a

Table 2
Hierarchical Regression of Trauma Symptoms on Perceived Stress, Ethnic Discrimination, and Racial Experiences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ΔR²</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SEB</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black student group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.245</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDQ</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>37.88</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDQ</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDQ</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asian student group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ΔR²</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SEB</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.245</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDQ</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>37.88</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDQ</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDQ</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Black student group n = 47; Asian student group n = 69. PSS = Perceived Stress Scale; PEDQ = Perceived Ethnic Discrimination Questionnaire; RE = Racial Experiences.
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crimination in the criteria for traumatic stress (Sanchez-Hucles, 1999) and those who are calling for an elaboration of the concept of psychological trauma to include racial and/or ethnic discrimination (Carter, 2007) will need further attention before it is resolved. Additionally, recent work examining PTSD in the absence of a single traumatic event (Elhai et al., 2009) might provide additional insights into the nature of racial and/or ethnic-related trauma and could shed further light on the association between racial and/or ethnic discrimination and traumatic stress.

A final finding that deserves attention relates to the influence of racial climate. Recall that for the Asian group, perception of the racial climate as negative was significantly associated with trauma-related symptoms; however, the same pattern did not apply to the Black students. An understanding of this finding could rest in well-established patterns of racial socialization and historical oppression within the United States. The stereotypical view of Asian Americans as the “model minority” is an insidious and pervasive phenomenon that has been understood as an indirect form of racism (Alvarez, Juang, & Liang, 2006; Lee, Wong, & Alvarez, 2009). As such, the exposure to racism and discrimination might be qualitatively different for Asians/Asian Americans than the more aggressive and direct experiences of racism that individuals of African descent have tended to report (Feagin, 2001). For the current sample, it could be that a negative and unwelcoming climate is more distressing for Asian people because it runs counter to their expectations associated with being viewed as a model minority (Lee, Wong, & Alvarez, 2009). Black Americans, however, having come to expect racial climates to be negative, might be less likely to be impacted at the level of psychological distress or trauma-related symptoms. Furthermore, literature indicates that racial socialization processes among Black Americans include the anticipation of negative racial climates and therefore might act as a psychological buffer against stress-associated racism and discrimination (Bynum, Burton, & Best, 2007). Although the extent to which these constructs are relevant to the current sample cannot be known, it is plausible to believe that group-level variables (e.g., racial and/or ethnic stereotypes) and individual-level variables (e.g., racial socialization and racial identity) might well influence the association between perceptions of racism and trauma-related symptoms across racial groups.

Though the findings of the current study appear to be consistent with findings from racism and ethnic-related stress research (Bredolo, Kelly, et al., 2005; Mossakowski, 2003; Williams et al., 2003), it is important to acknowledge limitations that might restrict the generalizability and interpretation of the findings. Due to the cross-sectional nature of the data, we were not able to control for experiences associated with traumatic stress that participants might have had in the past. Although the participants were asked to reflect on the previous 3 months, there was no way to control for other events that might have occurred during this period and that might have represented a significant confound for the current study. A second limitation applies to the sample size. A post hoc power analysis for the Black participants and Asian participants indicated powers of .74 and .73, respectively, with the $R^2$ statistic (see Soper, 2010). This level of power is lower than conventionally accepted levels of .80–.85. Furthermore, it is known that within-group variability is an important consideration when examining the perception and experience of racial and ethnic discrimination (see Hall & Carter, 2006). The size of the sample, however, might have been insufficient to capture these factors. These limitations do suggest that the interpretation and generalizability of the current findings be treated with caution. Yet, given the exploratory nature of the current investigation, we do believe that the study represents an important initial development, one that might allow for a more robust examination of the phenomena with larger samples and with the inclusion of additional variables.

Implications, Future Directions, and Concluding Thoughts

Of critical importance in the racial and/or ethnic discrimination literature is an understanding of the mechanism by which the stress associated with discrimination might evolve to a response consistent with traumatic stress, emotional injury, or psychopathology (see Carter, 2007; Williams & Mohammed, 2009). Although the current findings identify the presence of an association between racial and/or ethnic discrimination and trauma, the actual pathway from discrimination to stress and, subsequently, to trauma has yet to be articulated. Thus, an examination of variables that might moderate the association between discrimination and trauma-related symptoms would be an important undertaking. The constructs of spirituality (Hunter & Lewis-Coles, 2005), resilience (Franklin, 2004), and racial socialization (Bynum et al., 2007) are examples of variables that could warrant further investigation when examining racial and/or ethnic discrimination and trauma-related symptoms.

Beyond implications for future research, it is also worth noting that the current findings might also provide practitioners with useful information when working with a college-aged population. Given the ubiquitous nature of racial and/or ethnic discrimination, when working with students of color, it might be helpful to incorporate an exploration of students’ experiences with discrimination as part of a standard assessment procedure, similar to the manner in which we typically assess for trauma and abuse. Furthermore, when working with individuals who report having experienced racial and/or ethnic discrimination, it might be helpful to use the experience of trauma as a framework in which the client can begin to make sense of their psychological processes. At a minimum, recognition of potential experiences of racial and/or ethnic discrimination might serve to facilitate the therapeutic relationship with students of color while offering other potential benefits (e.g., accurate assessment of psychological stressors; appreciation of coping style and resilience) for the counseling and/or therapeutic process.

The negative impact of racial and/or ethnic discrimination on the psychological functioning of people of color is now widely acknowledged. In current research, researchers seek to provide a more precise articulation of the manner in which racial and/or ethnic discrimination influences psychological distress and to categorize the psychological outcomes associated with racism and discrimination. To this end, the present investigation offers tentative support for the notion that the psychological response to racial and/or ethnic discrimination can be understood from the perspective of trauma and traumatic stress and suggests that continued empirical efforts in this area are worth pursuing.
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