

Practice Guidelines for LGB Clients

Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients

Overview

Download Guidelines (http://psycnet.apa.org/journals/amp/67/1/10.pdf) (PDF, 239KB)

The Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual Clients were adopted by the APA Council of Representatives, Feb. 18-20, 2011, and replace the original Guidelines for Psychotherapy with Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual Clients adopted by the Council, Feb. 26, 2000, and which expired at the end of 2010.

Each of the 21 new guidelines provide an update of the psychological literature supporting them, include a section on "Rationale" and "Application," and expand upon the original guidelines to provide assistance to psychologists in areas such as religion and spirituality, the differentiation of gender identity and sexual orientation, socioeconomic and workplace issues, and the use and dissemination of research on LGB issues. The guidelines are intended to inform the practice of psychologists and to provide information for the education and training of psychologists regarding LGB issues.

About The Guidelines

The following links go to the page that includes the particular section, guideline or accompanying document: Introduction (?item=2)

Attitudes Toward Homosexuality and Bisexuality (?item=3)

Guideline 1. Psychologists strive to understand the effects of stigma (i.e., prejudice, discrimination, and violence) and its various contextual manifestations in the lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people.

Guideline 2. Psychologists understand that lesbian, gay, and bisexual orientations are not mental illnesses.

Guideline 3. Psychologists understand that same-sex attractions, feelings, and behavior are normal variants of human sexuality and that efforts to change sexual orientation have not been shown to be effective or safe.

Guideline 4. Psychologists are encouraged to recognize how their attitudes and knowledge about lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues may be relevant to assessment and treatment and seek consultation or make appropriate referrals when indicated.

Guideline 5. Psychologists strive to recognize the unique experiences of bisexual individuals.

Guideline 6. Psychologists strive to distinguish issues of sexual orientation from those of gender identity when working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients.

Relationships and Families (?item=4)

Guideline 7. Psychologists strive to be knowledgeable about and respect the importance of lesbian, gay, and bisexual relationships.

Guideline 8. Psychologists strive to understand the experiences and challenges faced by lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents.

Guideline 9. Psychologists recognize that the families of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people may include people who are not legally or biologically related.

Guideline 10. Psychologists strive to understand the ways in which a person's lesbian, gay, or bisexual orientation may have an impact on his or her family of origin and the relationship with that family of origin.

Issues of Diversity (?item=5)

Guideline 11. Psychologists strive to recognize the challenges related to multiple and often conflicting norms, values, and beliefs faced by lesbian, gay, and bisexual members of racial and ethnic minority groups.

Guideline 12. Psychologists are encouraged to consider the influences of religion and spirituality in the lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual persons.

Guideline 13. Psychologists strive to recognize cohort and age differences among lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals.

Guideline 14. Psychologists strive to understand the unique problems and risks that exist for lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth.

Guideline 15. Psychologists are encouraged to recognize the particular challenges that lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals with physical, sensory, and cognitive-emotional disabilities experience.

Guideline 16. Psychologists strive to understand the impact of HIV/AIDS on the lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals and communities.

Economic and Workplace Issues (?item=6)

Guideline 17. Psychologists are encouraged to consider the impact of socioeconomic status on the psychological well being of lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients.

Guideline 18. Psychologists strive to understand the unique workplace issues that exist for lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals.

Education and Training (?item=7)

Guideline 19. Psychologists strive to include lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues in professional education and training.

Guideline 20. Psychologists are encouraged to increase their knowledge and understanding of homosexuality and bisexuality through continuing education, training, supervision, and consultation.

Research (?item=8)

Guideline 21. In the use and dissemination of research on sexual orientation and related issues, psychologists strive to represent results fully and accurately and to be mindful of the potential misuse or misrepresentation of research findings.

References (?item=9)

Appendix A (?item=10)

Internet Resources

Appendix B (?item=11)

Religious and Denominational LGBT Advocacy and Affinity Organizations

Introduction

The *Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients* provides psychologists with (1) a frame of reference for the treatment of lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients¹ (#1) and (2) basic information and further references in the areas of assessment, intervention, identity, relationships, diversity, education, training, and research. These practice guidelines are built upon the *Guidelines for Psychotherapy with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients* (Division 44/Committee on Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Concerns Joint Task Force on Guidelines for Psychotherapy with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients, 2000) and are consistent with the American Psychological Association (APA) *Criteria for Practice Guideline Development and Evaluation* (APA, 2002a). They assist psychologists in the conduct of lesbian, gay, and bisexual affirmative practice, education, and research.² (#2)

The term *guidelines* refers to pronouncements, statements, or declarations that suggest or recommend specific professional behavior, endeavors, or conduct for psychologists. Guidelines differ from standards in that standards are mandatory and may be accompanied by an enforcement mechanism. Thus, these guidelines are aspirational in intent. They are intended to facilitate the continued systematic development of the profession and to help ensure a high level of professional practice by psychologists. These guidelines are not intended to be mandatory or exhaustive and may not be applicable to every clinical situation. They should not be construed as definitive and are not intended to take precedence over the judgment of psychologists. Practice guidelines essentially involve recommendations to professionals regarding their conduct and the issues to be considered in particular areas of psychological practice. Practice guidelines are consistent with current APA policy. It is also important to note that practice guidelines are superseded by federal and state law and must be consistent with the current APA Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (APA, 2002b).³ (#3)

Background

In 1975, the APA adopted a resolution stating that "homosexuality per se implies no impairment in judgment, stability, reliability, or general social or vocational capabilities" and urging "all mental health professionals to take the lead in removing the stigma of mental illness that has long been associated with homosexual orientations" (Conger, 1975, p. 633). In the years following the adoption of this important policy, the APA indeed has taken the lead in promoting the mental health and well-being of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people and in providing psychologists with affirmative tools for practice, education, and research with these populations. In 2009, the association affirmed that "...same-sex sexual and romantic attractions, feelings, and behaviors are normal and positive variations of human sexuality regardless of sexual orientation identity" (APA, 2009a, p. 121).

Twenty-five years following APA's 1975 resolution, a gap in APA policy and the practice of psychologists was identified in a study by Garnets, Hancock, Cochran, Goodchilds, and Peplau (1991) that documented a wide variation in the quality of psychotherapeutic care to lesbian and gay clients. These authors and others (e.g., Fox, 1996; Greene, 1994b; Nystrom, 1997; Pilkington & Cantor, 1996) suggested that there was a need for better education and training in working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. For this reason, the *Guidelines for Psychotherapy with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients* (Division 44/Committee on Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Concerns Joint Task Force on Guidelines for Psychotherapy with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients, 2000) were developed.

Need

A revision of the guidelines is warranted at this point in time because there have been many changes in the field of lesbian, gay, and bisexual psychology. Existing topics have evolved and the literature also has expanded into new areas of interest for those working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. In addition, the quality of the data sets of studies has improved significantly with advent of population-based research.

Furthermore, the past decade has seen a revival of interest and activities on the part of political advocacy groups in attempting to re-pathologize homosexuality (Haldeman, 2002, 2004). Guidelines grounded in methodologically sound research, the APA Ethics Code, and existing APA policy are vital to informing professional practice with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. These guidelines have been used nationally and internationally in practice and training and to inform public policy. They will expire or be revised in 10 years from the date they are adopted by APA.

Compatibility

These guidelines build upon APA's Ethics Code (APA, 2002b) and are consistent with pre-existing APA policy pertaining to lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues. These policies include, but are not limited to, the resolution entitled *Discrimination against Homosexuals* (Conger, 1975); *Sexual Orientation, Parents, and Children* (Paige, 2005); *Sexual Orientation and Marriage* (Paige, 2005); *Hate Crimes* (Paige, 2005); *Opposing Discriminatory Legislation and Initiatives aimed at Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Persons* (Paige, 2007); and the *Resolution on Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts* (APA, 2009a). The guidelines are also compatible with policies of other major mental health organizations (cf. American Psychiatric Association, 1974; American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy, 1991; American Counseling Association, 1996; Canadian Psychological Association, 1995; National Association of Social Workers, 1996) which state that homosexuality and bisexuality are not mental illnesses.

Development Process

These guidelines were developed collaboratively by Division 44 / Committee on Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Concerns (CLGBTC). The guidelines revision process was funded by Division 44 and by the APA Board of Directors. Supporting literature for these guidelines is consistent with the APA Ethics Code (APA, 2002b) and other APA policy. In addition, the *Application* section of the text was enhanced to provide psychologists with more information and assistance.

Definition of Terms

<u>Sex</u> refers to a person's biological status and is typically categorized as male, female, or intersex (i.e., atypical combinations of features that usually distinguish male from female). There are a number of indicators of biological sex, including sex chromosomes, gonads, internal reproductive organs, and external genitalia.

<u>Gender</u> refers to the attitudes, feelings, and behaviors that a given culture associates with a person's biological sex. Behavior that is compatible with cultural expectations is referred to as gender-normative; behaviors that are viewed as incompatible with these expectations constitute gender non-conformity.

<u>Gender identity</u> refers to "one's sense of oneself as male, female, or transgender" (American Psychological Association, 2006). When one's gender identity and biological sex are not congruent, the individual may identify as transsexual or as another transgender category (cf. Gainor, 2000).

<u>Gender expression</u> refers to the "...way in which a person acts to communicate gender within a given culture; for example, in terms of clothing, communication patterns and interests. A person's gender expression may or may not be consistent with socially prescribed gender roles, and may or may not reflect his or her gender identity" (American Psychological Association, 2008, p. 28).

<u>Sexual orientation</u> refers to the sex of those to whom one is sexually and romantically attracted. Categories of sexual orientation typically have included attraction to members of one's own sex (gay men or lesbians), attraction to members of the other sex (heterosexuals), and attraction to members of both sexes (bisexuals). While these categories continue to be widely used, research has suggested that sexual orientation does not always appear in such definable categories and instead occurs on a continuum (e.g., Kinsey, Pomeroy, Martin, & Gebhard, 1953; Klein, 1993; Klein, Sepekoff, &

Wolff, 1985; Shiveley & DeCecco, 1977) In addition, some research indicates that sexual orientation is fluid for some people; this may be especially true for women (e.g., Diamond, 2007; Golden, 1987; Peplau & Garnets, 2000).

<u>Coming out</u> refers to the process in which one acknowledges and accepts one's own sexual orientation. It also encompasses the process in which one discloses one's sexual orientation to others. The term closeted refers to a state of secrecy or cautious privacy regarding one's sexual orientation.

- Definition of Terms (/pi/lgbt/resources/sexuality-definitions.pdf) (PDF, 117KB)
 - 1. Throughout this document, the term "client" refers to individuals across the lifespan. This includes youth, adult, and older adult lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. There may be issues that are specific to a given age range, and where appropriate, the document will identify those groups.
 - 2. These guidelines were developed by the Division 44/Committee on Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Concerns Guidelines Revision Task Force. The task force included Kristin Hancock (Chair) and members Laura Alie, Armand Cerbone, Sari Dworkin, Terry Gock, Douglas Haldeman, Susan Kashubeck-West, and Glenda Russell. The task force wishes to thank Glenn Ally, Laura Brown, Linda Campbell, Jean Carter, James Croteau, Steven David, Randall Ehbar, Ruth Fassinger, Beth Firestein, Ronald Fox, John Gonsiorek, Beverly Greene, Lisa Grossman, Christine Hall, Tania Israel, Corey Johnson, Jennifer Kelly, Christopher Martell, Jonathan Mohr, David Pantalone, Mark Pope, and Melba Vasquez for their thoughtful contributions. The task force would also like to acknowledge the longstanding support of Clinton Anderson, Director of APA's LGBT Concerns Office and APA staff liaisons Sue Houston (BAPPI) and Mary Hardiman (BPA) for their assistance. The revision was funded by Division 44 (Society for the Psychological Study of Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Issues) of the American Psychological Association (APA) and the APA Board of Directors.
 - 3. Hereafter this document will be referred to as the Ethics Code.

Attitudes Toward Homosexuality and Bisexuality

Guideline 1. Psychologists strive to understand the effects of stigma (i.e., prejudice, discrimination, and violence) and its various contextual manifestations in the lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people.

Rationale

Living in a heterosexist society inevitably poses challenges to people with non-heterosexual orientations. Many lesbian, gay, and bisexual people face social stigma, heterosexism, violence, and discrimination (Herek, 1991a, 2009; Mays & Cochran, 2001; Meyer, 2003). Stigma is defined as a negative social attitude or social disapproval directed toward a characteristic of a person that can lead to prejudice and discrimination against the individual (VandenBos, 2007). Herek (1995) defined heterosexism as "the ideological system that denies, denigrates, and stigmatizes any non-heterosexual form of behavior, identity, relationship, or community" (p. 321). These challenges may precipitate a significant degree of minority stress for lesbian, gay, and bisexual people, many of whom may be tolerated only when they are "closeted" (DiPlacido, 1998). Minority stress can be experienced in the form of ongoing daily hassles (such as hearing anti-gay jokes) and more serious negative events (such as loss of employment, housing, custody of children, and physical and sexual assault) (DiPlacido, 1998). According to a probability sample study by Herek (2009), antigay victimization has been experienced by approximately 1 in 8 lesbian and bisexual individuals and by about 4 in 10 gay men in the United States. Enacted stigma, violence, and discrimination can lead to "felt stigma," an on-going subjective sense of personal threat to one's safety and well-being (Herek, 2009).

Antigay victimization and discrimination have been associated with mental health problems and psychological distress (Cochran, Sullivan, & Mays, 2003; Gilman et al., 2001; Herek, Gillis, & Cogan, 1999; Mays & Cochran, 2001; Meyer, 1995; Ross, 1990; Rostosky, Riggle, Horne, & Miller, 2009). Equally important, as individuals form lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities in the context of extreme stigma, most lesbian, gay, and bisexual people have some level of internalized negative attitudes toward non-heterosexuality (Szymanski, Kashubeck-West, & Meyer, 2008a). Szymanski,

Kashubeck-West, and Meyer (2008b) reviewed the empirical literature on internalized heterosexism in lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals and found that greater internalized heterosexism was related to difficulties with self-esteem, depression, psychosocial and psychological distress, physical health, intimacy, social support, relationship quality, and career development.

There are significant differences in the nature of the stigma faced by lesbians, gay men, and bisexual individuals. Lesbians and bisexual women, in addition to facing sexual prejudice, must also contend with the prejudice and discrimination posed by living in a world where sexism continues to exert pervasive influences (APA, 2007). Similarly, gay and bisexual men are not only confronted with sexual prejudice but also with the pressures associated with expectations for conformity to norms of masculinity in the broader society as well as in particular subcultures they may inhabit (Herek, 1986; Stein, 1996). Bisexual women and men can experience negativity and stigmatization from lesbian and gay individuals as well as from heterosexual individuals (Herek, 1999, 2002; Mohr & Rochlen, 1999). Greene (1994b) noted that the cumulative effects of heterosexism, sexism, and racism may put lesbian, gay, and bisexual racial/ethnic minorities at special risk for stress. Social stressors affecting lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth, such as verbal and physical abuse, have been associated with academic problems, running away, prostitution, substance abuse, and suicide (D'Augelli, Pilkington, & Hershberger, 2002; Espelage, Aragon, Birkett, & Koenig, 2008; Savin-Williams, 1994, 1998). Less visibility and fewer lesbian, gay, and bisexual support organizations may intensify feelings of social isolation for lesbian, gay, and bisexual people who live in rural communities (D'Augelli & Garnets, 1995).

Research has identified a number of contextual factors that influence lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients' lives and, therefore, their experience of stigma (Bieschke, Perez, & DeBord, 2007). Among these factors are: race and ethnicity (e.g., Brown, 1997; Chan, 1997; Espin, 1993; Fygetakis, 1997; Greene, 2007; Szymanski & Gupta, 2009; Walters, 1997); immigrant status (e.g., Espin, 1999); religion (e.g., Davidson, 2000; Dworkin, 1997; Fischer & DeBord, 2007; Ritter & Terndrup, 2002); geographical location--regional dimensions, rural versus urban, or country of origin (e.g., Browning, 1996; D'Augelli, Collins, & Hart, 1987; Kimmel, 2003; Oswald & Culton, 2003; Walters, 1997); socioeconomic status, both historical and current (Albelda, Badgett, Schneebaum, & Gates, 2009; Badgett, 2003; Diaz, Bein, & Ayala, 2006; Martell, 2007; Russell, 1996); age and historical cohort (Russell & Bohan, 2005); disability (Abbott & Burns, 2007; Shuttleworth, 2007; Swartz, 1995; Thompson, 1994;); HIV status (O'Connor, 1997; Paul, Hays, & Coates, 1995); and gender identity and presentation (APA, 2008; Lev, 2007).

Application

Psychologists are urged to understand that societal stigmatization, prejudice and discrimination can be sources of stress and create concerns about personal security for lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients (Mays & Cochran, 2001; Rothblum & Bond, 1996). Therefore, creating a sense of safety in the therapeutic environment is of primary importance (see Guideline 4). Central to this is the psychologist's understanding of the impact of stigma and his/her ability to demonstrate that understanding to the client through awareness and validation. Psychologists working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual people are encouraged to assess the client's history of victimization as a result of harassment, discrimination, and violence. In addition, overt and covert manifestations of internalized heterosexism need to be assessed (Sanchez, Westefeld, Liu, & Vilain, 2010; Szymanski & Carr, 2008). Different combinations of contextual factors related to gender, race, ethnicity, cultural background, social class, religious background, disability, geographic region, and other sources of identity can result in dramatically different stigmatizing pressures and coping styles. Such contextual differences also may result in different clinical presentations and clinical needs (Moradi, van den Berg, & Epting, 2009). Psychologists are thus urged to understand these contextual factors in their assessment of what interventions are likely to be acceptable and effective and how clients evaluate the outcome of their therapy (Fontes, 2008; Ivey & Ivey, 2007).

Among the interventions psychologists are urged to consider are (1) increasing the client's sense of safety and reducing stress, (2) developing personal and social resources, (3) resolving residual trauma, and (4) empowering the client to confront social stigma and discrimination, when appropriate. Psychologists strive to consider the relative levels of safety and social support that the client experiences in his/her environment and to plan interventions accordingly. For example, for clients who are more comfortable with their lesbian, gay, or bisexual identity, it may be helpful for the psychologist to consider referrals to local support groups or other community organizations. For clients who are less comfortable with their non-heterosexual orientation, on-line resources may prove helpful. Psychologists are urged to weigh the risks and benefits for each client in context. Because stigma is so culturally pervasive, its effects may not even be evident to a lesbian, gay, or bisexual person. Therefore, it may be helpful for psychologists to consider the ways in which stigma may be manifest in the lives of their clients even if it is not raised as a presenting complaint.

Guideline 2. Psychologists understand that lesbian, gay, and bisexual orientations are not mental illnesses.

Rationale

No scientific basis for inferring a predisposition to psychopathology or other maladjustment as intrinsic to homosexuality or bisexuality has been established. Hooker's (1957) study was the first to challenge this historical assumption by finding no difference on projective test responses between non-clinical samples of heterosexual men and gay men. Subsequent studies have continued to show no differences between heterosexual groups and homosexual groups on measures of cognitive abilities (Tuttle & Pillard, 1991) and psychological well-being and self-esteem (Coyle, 1993; Herek, 1990a; Savin-Williams, 1990). Fox (1996) found no evidence of psychopathology in nonclinical studies of bisexual men and bisexual women.

At the present time, efforts to repathologize non-heterosexual orientations persist on the part of advocates for conversion or reparative therapy (APA, 2009a; Haldeman, 2002). Nevertheless, major mental health organizations (cf. American Psychiatric Association, 1974; American Psychological Association, 1975; American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy, 1991; American Counseling Association, 1996; Canadian Psychological Association, 1995; National Association of Social Workers, 1996) have affirmed that homosexuality and bisexuality are not mental illnesses.

Moreover, an extensive body of literature has emerged that identifies few significant differences between heterosexual, homosexual, and bisexual people on a wide range of variables associated with overall psychological functioning (Gonsiorek, 1991; Pillard, 1988; Rothblum, 1994). Furthermore, the literature that classified homosexuality and bisexuality as mental illnesses has been found to be methodologically unsound. Gonsiorek (1991) reviewed this literature and found such serious methodological flaws as unclear definitions of terms, inaccurate classification of participants, inappropriate comparisons of groups, discrepant sampling procedures, an ignorance of confounding social factors, and the use of questionable outcome measures. Although these studies concluded that homosexuality is a mental illness, there is no valid empirical support for beliefs that lead to such inaccurate representations of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people.

When studies have noted differences between homosexual and heterosexual individuals with regard to psychological functioning (e.g., DiPlacido, 1998; Gilman et al., 2001; Mays, Cochran, & Roeder, 2003; Ross, 1990; Rotheram-Borus et al., 1994: Savin-Williams, 1994), these differences have been attributed to the effects of stress related to stigmatization on the basis of sexual orientation. These findings are consistent with an extant body of research that associates exposure to discriminatory behavior with psychological distress (e.g., Kessler, Michelson, & Williams, 1999; Markowitz, 1998). In her analysis of recent population-based studies, Cochran (2001) concluded that increased risk for psychiatric distress and substance abuse among lesbians and gay men is attributable to the negative effects of stigma.

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to avoid attributing a client's non-heterosexual orientation to arrested psychosocial development or psychopathology. Practice that is informed by inaccurate, outmoded, and pathologizing views of homosexuality and bisexuality can subtly manifest as the inappropriate attribution of a client's problems to his/her non-heterosexual orientation (Garnets, Hancock, Cochran, Goodchilds, & Peplau, 1991; Pachankis & Goldfried, 2004). Shidlo and Schroeder (2002) found that nearly two-thirds of their sample of psychotherapy clients reported that their therapists told them that, as gay men and lesbians, they could not expect to lead fulfilling, productive lives, or participate in stable primary relationships. Such statements stem from a fundamental view that homosexuality and bisexuality indicate or are automatically associated with mental disturbance or dysfunction.

Clients who have been exposed to notions of homosexuality and bisexuality as mental illnesses may present with internalized prejudicial attitudes (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Pachankis & Goldfried, 2004). In these cases, it is important to consider the effects of internalized stigma. These effects can be addressed directly or indirectly (Bieschke, 2008) as appropriate, given the client's psychological readiness. Beckstead and Israel (2007) suggest a collaborative approach in establishing therapeutic goals and examining the negative effects of prejudicial beliefs. APA (2009a) "... supports the dissemination of accurate scientific and professional information about sexual orientation in order to counteract bias" and "...opposes the distortion and selective use of scientific data about homosexuality by individuals and organizations seeking influence public policy and public opinion" (p. 122).

Guideline 3. Psychologists understand that same-sex attractions, feelings, and behavior are normal variants of human sexuality and that efforts to change sexual orientation have not been shown to be effective or safe.

Rationale

Therapeutic efforts to change sexual orientation have increased and become more visible in recent years (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004). Therapeutic interventions intended to change, modify, or manage unwanted non-heterosexual orientations are referred to as "sexual orientation change efforts" (SOCE) (APA, 2009a). The majority of clients who seek to change their sexual orientation do so through so-called "ex-gay" programs or ministries (Haldeman, 2004; Tozer & Hayes, 2004). Most contexts in which SOCE occur derive from the religion-based "ex-gay" movement (Haldeman, 2004) although several psychotherapeutic approaches also exist. For example, Nicolosi (1991) describes a model in which male homosexuality is treated through the therapeutic resolution of a developmental same-sex attachment deficit.

Reviews of the literature, spanning several decades, have consistently found that efforts to change sexual orientation were ineffective (APA, 2009a; Drescher, 2001; Haldeman, 1994; Murphy, 1992). These reviews highlight a host of methodological problems with research in this area, including biased sampling techniques, inaccurate classification of subjects, assessments based solely upon self-reports, and poor or non-existent outcome measures. Even the most optimistic advocates of SOCE have concluded that sexual orientation is nearly impossible to change (Spitzer, 2003) and that fewer than a third of subjects in such studies claim successful treatment (Haldeman, 1994). Therefore, in the current climate of evidence-based practice, SOCE cannot be recommended as effective treatment. Moreover, according to the APA policy on *Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Changes Efforts* (APA, 2009a), "...the benefits reported by participants in sexual orientation change efforts can be gained through approaches that do not attempt to change sexual orientation" (p. 121).

The potential for SOCE to cause harm to many clients also has been demonstrated. Shidlo and Schroeder (2002) found that a majority of subjects reported that they were misled by their therapists about the nature of sexual orientation as well as the normative life experiences of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals. Furthermore, they noted that most subjects were not provided with adequate informed consent regarding their conversion therapy procedures as delineated in APA's policy on *Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation* (APA, 1998). Haldeman (2002) describes a spectrum of negative client outcomes from failed attempts at conversion therapy. These include intimacy avoidance, sexual dysfunction, depression, and suicidality.

Bias and misinformation about homosexuality and bisexuality continue to be widespread in society (APA, 1998, 2009a; Haldeman, 1994) and are implicated in many client requests to change sexual orientation. Tozer and Hayes (2004) found that the internalization of negative attitudes and beliefs about homosexuality and bisexuality was a primary factor in motivating individuals who sought to change their sexual orientation. Fear of potential losses (e.g., family, friends, career, spiritual community), as well as vulnerability to harassment, discrimination, and violence may contribute to an individual's fear of self-identification as lesbian, gay, or bisexual. Additionally, some clients report that non-heterosexual orientation is inconsistent with their religious beliefs or values (APA, 2009a; Beckstead, 2001).

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to carefully assess the motives of clients seeking to change their sexual orientation. Given the influence of internalized homonegativity and anti-gay religious beliefs on client requests to change sexual orientation (Tozer & Hayes, 2004), it is important for the psychologist faced with such a request to proceed with deliberation and thoughtfulness. In addition, the psychologist is ethically obliged to provide accurate information about sexual orientation to clients who are misinformed or confused (APA, 1998). Psychologists are encouraged to identify and address bias and internalized prejudice about sexual orientation that may have a negative influence on the client's self-perception. In providing the client with accurate information about the social stressors which may lead to discomfort with sexual orientation, psychologists may help neutralize the effects of stigma and inoculate the client against further harm.

APA's (1998) policy, *Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation*, offers a framework for psychologists working with clients who are concerned about the implications of their sexual orientation. The resolution highlights

those sections of the Ethics Code that apply to all psychologists working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual older adults, adults, and youth. These sections include prohibitions against discriminatory practices (e.g., basing treatment upon pathology-based views of homosexuality or bisexuality); the misrepresentation of scientific or clinical data (e.g., the unsubstantiated claim that sexual orientation can be changed); and a clear mandate for informed consent (APA, 1992). Informed consent would include a discussion of the lack of empirical evidence that SOCE are effective and their potential risks to the client (APA, 2009a) and the provision of accurate information about sexual orientation to clients who are misinformed or confused. The policy cited above calls upon psychologists to discuss the treatment approach, its theoretical basis, reasonable outcomes, and alternative treatment approaches. Further, it discourages coercive treatments, particularly with youth.

Clients who are conflicted with respect to sexual orientation and religious identification and expression have long posed challenges for psychologists (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Yarhouse & Burkett, 2002). The ultimate goal that may make sense for many such conflicted clients is an integration of sexual orientation with religious identification, as with the client who accepts that he/she is gay and moves from a conservative to an open and affirming religious denomination. However, for some clients, particularly those who experience religious orientation as a more salient aspect of identity than that of sexual orientation, such a transition may not be possible. In these instances, the client may choose to prioritize his/her religious affiliation over sexual orientation, and may seek accommodation compatible with such a choice (APA, 2009a; Beckstead, 2001; Haldeman, 2004; Throckmorton, 2007). It should be noted, however, that this is not the same as changing or even managing sexual orientation, but is a treatment goal established in the service of personal integration. For a more detailed discussion of planning treatment with clients who are conflicted about sexual orientation and religious identification, see APA (2009a), Beckstead (2001), Beckstead and Morrow (2004), and Haldeman (2004).

Psychologists are encouraged to assess the emotional and social distress associated with clients' unsuccessful attempts at SOCE. The potential for SOCE to cause harm to many clients has been noted (APA, 2009a; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Haldeman, 2001, 2004). These emotional concerns may include avoidance of intimate relationships, depression and anxiety, problems with sexual functioning, suicidal feelings, and a sense of being doubly stigmatized for being gay and unable to change. Psychologists working with men who have undergone some form of SOCE are encouraged to recognize that a sense of "de-masculinization" is common (Haldeman, 2001), since men in such programs are often instructed that "real" men cannot be gay. Additionally, it is important to note that SOCE participants confronting coming out as gay frequently experience problems of social adjustment due to unfamiliarity with the lesbian, gay and bisexual community. They also may need support for potential losses (e.g., family relationships, connections with communities of faith, etc.). Given that acceptance of one's sexual orientation is positively correlated with self-report measures of life satisfaction (Herek, 2003; Morris, Waldo, & Rothblum, 2001), a supportive, bias-free therapeutic environment may help the client cope with internalized stigma and create an integrated life of his/her own construction based upon positive self-regard.

Guideline 4. Psychologists are encouraged to recognize how their attitudes and knowledge about lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues may be relevant to assessment and treatment and seek consultation or make appropriate referrals when indicated.

Rationale

The APA Ethics Code urges psychologists to eliminate the effect of biases on their work (APA, 2002b, Principle E). To do so, psychologists strive to evaluate their competencies and the limitations of their expertise, especially when offering assessment and treatment services to people who share characteristics that are different from their own (e.g., lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients). Without a high level of awareness about their own beliefs, values, needs, and limitations, psychologists may impede the progress of a client in psychotherapy (Corey, Schneider-Corey, & Callanan, 1993). This is particularly relevant when providing assessment and treatment services to lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients.

The psychological assessment and treatment of lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients can be adversely affected by their therapists' explicit or implicit negative attitudes. For example, when homosexuality and bisexuality are regarded as evidence of mental illness or psychopathology, a client's same-sex sexual orientation is apt to be viewed as a major source of the client's psychological difficulties, even when it has not been presented as a problem (Garnets et al., 1991; Liddle, 1996; Nystrom, 1997). Moreover, when psychologists are unaware of their own negative attitudes, the effectiveness of psychotherapy can be compromised by their heterosexist bias. Since heterosexism pervades the

language, theories, and psychotherapeutic interventions of psychology (S. Anderson, 1996; Brown, 1989; Gingold, Hancock, & Cerbone, 2006), conscious efforts to recognize and counteract such heterosexism are imperative in order for optimal assessment and treatment to take place. This is the case because when heterosexual norms for identity, behavior, and relationships are applied to lesbian, gay, or bisexual clients, their thoughts, feelings, and behaviors may be misinterpreted as abnormal, deviant, and undesirable.

An alternative, but similarly ineffective, approach is to adopt a "sexual orientation blind" perspective when offering assessment and treatment. Like similar "color-blind" models, such a perspective ignores or denies the culturally unique life experiences of the lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations. Instead of eliminating heterosexist bias, a so-called "blind" perspective would likely perpetuate heterosexism in a manner that is unhelpful to clients (Garnets et al., 1991; Winegarten, Cassie, Markowski, Kozlowski, & Yoder, 1994).

Application

As noted in the APA Ethics Code (APA, 2002b), psychologists are called to be "aware of and respect cultural, individual, and role differences, including those due to...sexual orientation...and try to eliminate the effect on their work of biases based on [such] factors" (APA, 2002b, p. 1063). To do so, psychologists are encouraged to be aware of both the explicit and implicit biases they may have. Explicit biases are more obvious to both the psychologists who hold them and to their clients, and have been described as direct and conscious forms of prejudice (Conrey, Sherman, Gawronski, Hugenberg, & Groom, 2005). In contrast, implicit biases are outside of the awareness of those holding them (Greenwald & Banaji, 1995), but they may nonetheless have a significant negative impact on the psychotherapeutic process.

Since safety in the psychotherapeutic relationship has been viewed as central to the development of positive change (Levitt & Williams, 2010), psychologists are encouraged to use appropriate methods of self-exploration and self-education (e.g., consultation, study, and formal continuing education) to identify and ameliorate implicit and explicit biases about homosexuality and bisexuality. In doing so, psychologists strive to be aware of how their own background and personal factors, such as gender, sexual orientation, heterosexism, and religious ideology, may influence their assessment and treatment of gay, lesbian, and bisexual clients (Israel, Gorcheva, Walther, Sulzner, & Cohen, 2008; Morrow, 2000). In addition, psychologists strive to avoid making assumptions that a client is heterosexual, even in the presence of apparent markers of heterosexuality (e.g., marital status, parenthood, etc.).

Because many psychologists have not received sufficient current information regarding lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients (Pilkington & Cantor, 1996), psychologists are strongly encouraged to seek training, experience, consultation, or supervision when necessary to ensure competent practice with these populations. Key areas for psychologists to be familiar with include, but are not limited to, an understanding of: (1) human sexuality across the lifespan; (2) the impact of social stigma on sexual orientation and identity development; (3) the "coming out" process and how such variables as age, gender, ethnicity, race, disability, religion, and socioeconomic status may influence this process; (4) same-sex relationship dynamics; (5) family-of-origin relationships; (6) the struggles with spirituality and religious group membership; (7) career issues and workplace discrimination; and (8) the coping strategies for successful functioning.

Guideline 5. Psychologists strive to recognize the unique experiences of bisexual individuals.

Rationale

Bisexual persons are affected by negative individual and societal attitudes toward bisexuality which are expressed by both heterosexual and gay/lesbian people (Bradford, 2004b; Eliason, 2001; Evans, 2003; Herek, 2002; Mulick & Wright, 2002). In addition to heterosexist bias, bisexuality may not be regarded as a valid sexual orientation (Dworkin, 2001) but instead be viewed as a transitional state between heterosexual and homosexual orientations (Eliason, 2001; Herek, 2002; Russell & Richards, 2003; Rust, 2000a). Bisexual individuals also may be viewed as promiscuous, developmentally arrested, or psychologically impaired (Fox, 1996; Israel & Mohr, 2004; Mohr, Israel, & Sedlacek, 2001; Oxley & Lucius, 2000). Visibility of sexual identity may be particularly challenging for bisexual persons, as others may assume they are lesbian or gay if in a same-sex relationship or heterosexual if they are in a mixed-sex relationship (Bradford, 2004b; Keppel & Firestein, 2007; Rust, 2007).

Bisexuals are not a homogeneous group. The diversity among bisexual individuals is reflected in variations in gender,

culture, identity development, relationships, and meaning of bisexuality (Fox, 1996; Rust, 2000b). People may embrace a bisexual identity because they are attracted to both women and men, because gender is not a key criterion for choosing an intimate partner, or because they find traditional notions of sexual orientation limiting (Ross & Paul, 1992). Bisexual individuals may be more likely than lesbian or gay persons to be in a non-monogamous relationship and to view polyamory as an ideal, although there are many bisexual people who desire and sustain monogamous relationships (Rust, 1996b; Weitzman, 2007). Identity development trajectories vary for people who are attracted to both women and men. Some such individuals initially adopt a lesbian or gay identity, some later adopt a lesbian or gay identity, and some consistently embrace a bisexual identity (Fox, 1996).

Although few researchers have investigated the mental health of bisexual individuals specifically, some studies suggest that bisexuals may have higher rates of depression, anxiety, suicidality, and substance abuse compared to lesbian, gay, and heterosexual populations (e.g., Dodge & Sandfort, 2007). Similar to minority stress models for lesbian and gay individuals (Meyer, 2003), these mental health risks have been attributed to discrimination and social isolation (Dodge & Sandfort, 2007).

Application

Psychotherapy with bisexual clients involves respect for the diversity and complexity of their experiences (Bradford, 2006; Dworkin, 2001; Goetstouwers, 2006; Page, 2004, 2007). Psychologists therefore are encouraged to develop a comprehensive understanding of sexual orientation in their approach to treatment (Horowitz, Weis, & Laflin, 2003). Psychologists also are encouraged to examine their attitudes toward relationships and strive to examine biases toward the nontraditional relationships that some bisexual people may have (Buxton, 2007; Weitzman, 2007). In addition, psychologists strive to familiarize themselves with the development of a bisexual identity, including cultural differences relative to bisexuality (Collins, 2007; Evans, 2003; Ferrer & Gómez, 2007; Scott, 2006, 2007) and gender differences (Eliason, 2001; Fox, 2006; Goetstouwers, 2006).

Psychologists are encouraged to keep in mind that affirmative psychotherapy with bisexual clients may differ from that with gay and lesbian clients (Bradford, 2004a). For example, bisexual men and women sometimes come out after being in a mixed sex or same sex relationship (including marriage) and want to acknowledge or act on their attractions to the other sex (Keppel & Firestein, 2007). Treatment may thus need to help them negotiate a new relationship with their married spouse that may include a divorce (Buxton, 2007; Carlsson, 2007; Firestein 2007).

Guideline 6. Psychologists strive to distinguish issues of sexual orientation from those of gender identity when working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients.

Rationale

Sexual orientation and gender identity are distinct characteristics of an individual (APA, 2006). A common error is to see gay men and lesbians as particularly likely to manifest gender nonconforming behavior and/or to be transgender (Fassinger & Arseneau, 2007; Helgeson, 1994; Kite, 1994; Kite & Deaux, 1987; Martin, 1990). Similarly, gender nonconformity may result in an individual being perceived as lesbian or gay, independent of that person's actual sexual orientation. Because gender non-conformity is likely to be stigmatized, gender non-conformity itself can result in prejudice and discrimination, regardless of sexual orientation (Green & Brinkin, 1994; Lombardi, 2001). For example, some research in schools indicates that gender nonconformity (regardless of sexual orientation) evokes at least as much antipathy among high school students as does a lesbian, gay, or bisexual orientation alone (e.g., Horn, 2007).

Lesbian, gay, or bisexual clients may present in gender conforming or in gender non-conforming ways. Psychologists may see clients who are struggling with coming out issues and who also express confusion concerning whether their gender conformity or nonconformity is related to their sexual orientation.

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to help clients understand the differences between gender identity, gender-related behavior, and sexual orientation when these issues are in conflict. Psychologists also are encouraged to be aware of the potential that gender non-conformity in lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients may exacerbate stigmatization. To work effectively with issues related to gender non-conformity, psychologists strive to be aware of their own values and biases

regarding sex, gender, and sexual orientation (APA, 2008; Gainor, 2000).

A variety of resources now exists for psychologists working clinically with clients who identify somewhere along the spectrum of gender non-conformity (e.g., APA, 2008; Brill & Pepper, 2008; Benjamin, 1967; Carroll, 2010; Carroll & Gilroy, 2002; Israel & Tarver, 1997; Korell & Lorah, 2007; Lev, 2004; Raj, 2002; Ubaldo & Drescher, 2004). Psychologists who work with transgender people who also identify as lesbian, gay, and bisexual can utilize the emerging professional literature as well as online resources to keep abreast of the changing context for this population.

Gainor (2000) provides a comprehensive introduction to transgender issues in lesbian, gay, and bisexual psychology. Brown and Rounsley's (1996) work offers information for helping professionals on transsexualism. Useful websites include: the American Psychological Association (/pi/lgbt/programs/transgender/index.aspx), the World Professional Association of Transgender Health (http://www.wpath.org), the Gender Public Advocacy Coalition (http://www.gpac.org), the National Center for Transgender Equality (http://www.transequality.org), the Sylvia Rivera Law Project (http://www.srlp.org), and the Transgender Law Center (http://www.transgenderlawcenter.org).

Relationships and Families

Guideline 7. Psychologists strive to be knowledgeable about and respect the importance of lesbian, gay, and bisexual relationships.

Rationale

Lesbian, gay, and bisexual couples are both similar to and different from heterosexual couples (Peplau, Veniegas, & Campbell, 1996). They form relationships for similar reasons (Herek, 2006), express similar satisfactions with their relationships (Kurdek, 1995; Peplau & Cochran, 1990), and follow developmental patterns similar to heterosexual couples (Clunis & Green, 1988; McWhirter & Mattison, 1984). The differences are derived from several factors, including different patterns of sexual behavior, gender role socialization (Hancock, 2000; Herek, 1991a; Ossana, 2000), and the stigmatizing of their relationships (Garnets & Kimmel, 1993).

Same-sex couples must sometimes adapt to conditions that are hostile to or devalue their relationships. These include the psychological effects of political campaigns against same-sex marriage (Rostosky et al., 2009; Russell, 2000) and the prohibition of legal and medical protections for same-sex families as in Virginia and Florida (Herek, 2006). Furthermore, relationship patterns and choices among lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals may be affected by early-life stigma and marginalization (Mohr & Fassinger, 2003).

Changes in physical health may present unique stressors, especially to older lesbian, gay, and bisexual couples (e.g., possible separation from partners, possible loss of contact for partners in nursing homes or other inpatient settings, facing homophobia in caretakers or fellow residents in nursing homes and assisted living situations). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients may have become so inured to the effects of stigma and discrimination in their relationships that they may not recognize the contribution of stigma to the conflicts they face.

The relationship structures of lesbian, gay, and bisexual couples vary and may present unique concerns. Non-monogamous or polyamorous relationships may be more common and more acceptable among gay men and bisexual individuals than is typical for lesbians or heterosexuals (Herek, 1991b; McWhirter & Mattison, 1984; Peplau, 1991). In addition, many lesbians and gay men come out years after they have been heterosexually married (Buxton, 1994, 2007).

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to consider the negative effects of societal prejudice and discrimination on lesbian, gay, and bisexual relationships. A couple may not recognize the contribution of stigma and marginalization to the common relationship problems that all couples may encounter (Green & Mitchell, 2002). Nonetheless, lesbian, gay, and bisexual couples may seek therapy for reasons similar to those of heterosexual couples (e.g., communication difficulties, sexual problems, dual career issues, and commitment decisions) or for dissimilar reasons (e.g., disclosure of sexual

orientation; differences between partners in the disclosure process; and issues derived from the effects of gender socialization). For example, when one partner has disclosed his sexual orientation to family of origin and the other has not, the pair may encounter conflicts around where to spend the holidays, or whether to "de-gay" the house when visitors are expected. Psychologists are therefore encouraged to consider familial and other social and cultural factors in conducting therapy with lesbian, gay and bisexual couples.

Familiarity with non-traditional relationship structures may be helpful to the psychologist working with same-sex couples (Martell & Prince, 2005). Some gay, lesbian, and bisexual couples may need to resolve ambiguity in areas of commitment and boundaries, cope with homophobia, and develop adequate social supports (Green & Mitchell, 2002; Greenan & Tunnell, 2003; Hancock, 2000; Kurdek, 1988). Monogamy is a normative expectation in many heterosexual relationships, whereas it is not always assumed among gay male couples.

The relationships of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals are diverse. In the absence of socially sanctioned supports for their relationships, lesbian, gay, and bisexual people create their own relationship models and support systems. It is useful for psychologists to be aware of the diversity of these relationships and refrain from applying a heterosexist model when working with lesbian, gay and bisexual couples. This may be particularly salient with respect to the sexual lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual couples. Healthy sexual expression is generally taken to be an element of overall relationship satisfaction. It is helpful for psychologists working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual couples to be sensitized to and knowledgeable about common sexual practices and concerns shared by lesbian, gay, and bisexual couples (e.g., sexual frequency, various forms of sexual dysfunction, concerns related to intimacy and desire). Psychologists are encouraged to recognize that internalized heterosexism can complicate the development of healthy sexual relationships. Psychologists are also encouraged to recognize the particular challenges that men and women in heterosexual marriages face in coming out and integrating their lesbian, gay, or bisexual orientation into their lives. In addition, the spouses and families of these individuals may require therapeutic support.

Guideline 8. Psychologists strive to understand the experiences and challenges faced by lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents.

Rationale

Research has indicated that lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents are as capable as heterosexual parents (cf. Armesto, 2002; Erich, Leung, & Kindle, 2005; Herek, 2006; Patterson, 2000, 2004; Perrin, 2002; Tasker, 1999). In fact, Flaks, Ficher, Masterpasqua, and Joseph (1995) found that lesbian couples had stronger parenting awareness skills than heterosexual couples. Bos, van Balen, and van den Boom (2005, 2007) reported that lesbian social mothers (non-biological mothers) had higher quality parent-child interactions, were more committed as parents, and were more effective in childrearing when compared to fathers in heterosexual marriages. Such findings are important to note, given the context of discrimination that lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents face (e.g., legal barriers to foster parenting, same-sex and second-parent adoption, the threat of loss of custody of children, prohibitions against living with one's same-sex partner, and the lack of legal rights of one of the parents) (ACLU Lesbian and Gay Rights Project, 2002; Appell, 2003; Patterson, Fulcher, & Wainwright, 2002). In becoming parents, lesbian, gay, and bisexual people face challenges not required of heterosexual people, such as stressors related to alternative insemination and surrogacy (Gifford, Hertz, & Doskow, 2010). Other unique concerns for lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents include lack of support from families and friends, and homophobic reactions from pediatricians, daycare providers, and school personnel. Families of the non-biological lesbian mother may be resistant to seeing nonbiological children as true grandchildren/nieces/nephews (Ben-Ari & Livni, 2006).

Increasingly, research has focused on the children of lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents. Three main concerns have been raised (primarily by those in the legal and social welfare systems) with regard to the well-being of children raised by lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents (Patterson, 2005). These include: (1) the gender identification, gender role behavior, and sexual orientation of the children; (2) the personal development of the children; and (3) the social experiences of such children. Patterson (2005) conducted a comprehensive review of the literature in each of these areas. Her review of the empirical data (primarily based on children of lesbian mothers) indicated that none of these areas of concern has merit. Patterson also reported that the data showed no major differences between children reared by lesbian parents in contrast to those raised by heterosexual mothers with regard to personal development in such areas as self-esteem, locus of control, intelligence, behavior problems, personality, school adjustment, and psychiatric health. In light of research findings supporting the positive outcomes for children of lesbian and gay parents, the

American Academy of Pediatrics released a statement in 2002 supporting second-parent adoption in lesbian, gay, and bisexual households (Perrin & the Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, 2002).

Application

APA "...encourages psychologists to act to eliminate all discrimination based on sexual orientation in matters of adoption, child custody and visitation, foster care, and reproductive health services" (Paige, 2005, p. 496). While bias and misinformation continue to exist in the educational, legal, and social welfare systems, psychologists also are urged to correct this misinformation in their work with parents, children, community organizations, and institutions and to provide accurate information based upon scientifically and professionally derived knowledge. Psychologists strive to recognize the challenges faced by lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents and are encouraged to explore these issues with their clients. For example, denial of access to marriage creates barriers for same-sex parents in accessing the same legal and economic benefits and social status as married heterosexual couples (APA, 2008). At the same time, psychologists are urged to recognize the unique strengths and resilience of lesbian, gay, and bisexual families. Psychologists are encouraged to examine the various facets of identity (e.g., race and ethnicity, culture, socioeconomic class, disability, religious or spiritual traditions) that intersect in creating the experiences of lesbian, gay, and bisexual parents.

Guideline 9. Psychologists recognize that the families of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people may include people who are not legally or biologically related.

Rationale

For a significant number of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals, nondisclosure of sexual orientation and/or lack of acknowledgement of their intimate relationships may result in emotional distancing from their family of origin (Patterson, 2007). Even when families are accepting, this acceptance often may be tolerance rather than true acceptance (Green, 2004). For many lesbian, gay, and bisexual people, a network of close friends may constitute an alternative family structure—one that may not be based on legal and/or biological relationships. These families of choice provide social connections and familial context for lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals (Green, 2004) and may be more significant than the individual's family of origin (Kurdek, 1988). Such family structures can mitigate the effects of discrimination and the absence of legal or institutional recognition (Weston, 1992).

Application

Given the importance of social support in relationship satisfaction, stigma management, and psychological well-being (Beals, 2004), psychologists are encouraged to recognize and value lesbian, gay, and bisexual family structures. Psychologists also are urged to consider the stress that clients may experience when their families of origin, employers, or others do not recognize their alternative family structures. When working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients, it can be helpful to ask the client about his/her friendship network, the quality of his/her relationships in that network, and if he/she considers members of this network to be "family." A related issue would be the person's level of involvement with the lesbian, gay, and bisexual community, as connection with the community may provide the individual with role models, social support, a sense of solidarity, and other resources helpful in the development of a positive identity (Meyer, 2003; Russell, 2000).

Guideline 10. Psychologists strive to understand the ways in which a person's lesbian, gay, or bisexual orientation may have an impact on his or her family of origin and the relationship with that family of origin.

Rationale

There are many responses a family can have upon learning that one of its members is lesbian, gay, or bisexual (Patterson, 2007; Savin-Williams, 2003). Some families of origin may be unprepared to accept a lesbian, gay, or bisexual child or family member because of familial, ethnic, or cultural norms; religious beliefs; or negative stereotypes (Buxton, 2005; Chan, 1995; Firestein, 2007; Greene, 2000; Matteson, 1996). For these families, this awareness may precipitate a family crisis that can result in profound distancing from or expulsion of the lesbian, gay, or bisexual family member, rejection of the parents and siblings by that family member, parental guilt and self-recrimination, or conflicts within the parents' relationship (Dickens & McKellen, 1996; Griffin, Wirth, & Wirth, 1996; Savin-Williams, 2003; Savin-

Williams & Dube, 1998; Strommen, 1993). On the other hand, there are families of origin in which acceptance of their lesbian, gay, or bisexual member is unconditional or without crisis (Patterson, 2007; Savin-Williams, 2003). Research does suggest, however, that even supportive families may experience an adjustment period upon learning that a family member is lesbian, gay, or bisexual (Jennings & Shapiro, 2003; Pallotta-Chiarolli, 2005).

Bisexual individuals may experience some unique complications with their families of origin. Persons who identify as bisexual and become romantically involved with same-sex partners may receive pressure from their families of origin to choose a partner of the other gender and bisexuals who are in mixed-sex relationships may have difficulty maintaining their bisexual identity within their family of origin and extended family (Dworkin, 2001, 2002; Firestein, 2007).

Some young adult life transitions (e.g., choosing careers, deciding to parent) will be particularly complicated for the lesbian, gay, or bisexual family member. It may be challenging to explain to family members how sexual orientation and experiences related to stigma may impact decisions related to work and career, sexual and romantic relationships, and parenting (Patterson, 2007). Both the family of origin and the extended family may grapple with the recognition of same-sex partners and children raised by a same-sex couple.

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to explore with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients any issues and concerns related to their family of origin and extended family. Psychologists strive to understand the culturally specific risks of coming out to one's family of origin. For example, racial and ethnic minority families may fear losing the support of their community if they are open about having a lesbian, gay, or bisexual child. Psychologists can assist clients in facilitating discussions with their families about their identities as well as about cultural stigma. Families may need support in developing new understandings of sexual orientation, confronting the ways in which negative societal attitudes about homosexuality and bisexuality are manifested within the family, and supporting family members in addressing difficulties related to societal stigmatization.

Newer models of family therapy move beyond addressing difficulties and promote processes of creating constructive systemic change (Fish & Harvey, 2005). Psychologists are encouraged to assist families in developing long-term support for their lesbian, gay, and bisexual members and to monitor the relationships among family members beyond the adjustment to discovering the identity of a lesbian, gay, or bisexual member (Oswald, 2002). Psychologists are urged to assist lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients in their efforts to present accurate information regarding sexual orientation to their families. Finally, psychologists strive to be aware of the cultural variations in a family's reaction and ways of adapting to a lesbian, gay, or bisexual member. Local and national resources are available that can provide information, assistance, and support to family members (e.g., Parents, Family, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (PFLAG); Children of Lesbians and Gays Everywhere, see Appendix A).

Issues of Diversity

The following guidelines refer to aspects of the life experience that may overlap and/or contribute in varying degrees to an individual's sense of identity and relationship to his/her social and cultural environment. The concept of *intersectionality* (Cole, 2009) is used to characterize the variable, differential, and unique effects of constructs such as race, ethnicity, culture, gender, age, sexual orientation, class, and disability on the individual's life. Intersectionality is defined by multiple categories of identity, difference, and disadvantage. The understanding of how these categories depend upon one another for meaning is based on questions of inclusion (i.e., diversity within categories), inequality (i.e., relative placement in hierarchies of power and privilege), and similarities (i.e., commonalities across categories typically viewed as deeply different) (Cole, 2009). The following guidelines on diversity each reflect a substantive construct; however, the reader is encouraged to consider them through the lens of intersectionality.

Guideline 11. Psychologists strive to recognize the challenges related to multiple and often conflicting norms, values, and beliefs faced by lesbian, gay, and bisexual members of racial and ethnic minority groups.

Rationale

Lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals who are racial, ethnic, and cultural minorities must negotiate the norms, values, and beliefs regarding homosexuality and bisexuality of both mainstream and minority cultures (Chan, 1992, 1995; Greene, 1994b; Manalansan, 1996; Rust, 1996a). There is some evidence to suggest that cultural variation in these norms, values, beliefs and attitudes can be a significant source of psychological stress that affects the health and mental health of lesbians, gay men, and bisexual women and men (Diaz, Ayala, Bein, Henne, & Marin, 2001; Harper & Schneider, 2003; Meyer, 2003). Recently, however, there is evidence to suggest that lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals from diverse racial, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds may have lower rates of mental health problems (e.g., Cochran, Mays, Alegria, Ortega, & Takeuchi, 2007; Kertzner, Meyer, Frost, & Stirratt, 2009; Meyer, Dietrich, & Schwartz, 2008). It may be that the skills learned in negotiating one stigmatized aspect of identity may actually assist the individual in dealing with and protect the individual from other forms of stigmatization.

Nevertheless, the integration of multiple identities could pose challenges for lesbian, gay, and bisexual people from diverse racial, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds. For example, a lesbian, gay, or bisexual person of color may experience "conflicts of allegiance" (Gock, 2001; Morales, 1989) when the expectations of the lesbian, gay and bisexual community with which they identify are at odds with those of the racial, ethnic, or cultural group with which they also have a strong sense of belonging. These conflicts of allegiance may lead to a lesbian, gay, and bisexual person from a diverse racial, ethnic, or cultural background experiencing the sense of never being part of any group completely (Greene, 2007). According to Greene (2007), in addition to dealing with their minority sexual orientations, lesbian, gay, and bisexual people of color experience racism and discrimination within the lesbian, gay, and bisexual communities at large. These challenges may be even greater for lesbian, gay, and bisexual people from diverse racial, ethnic, or cultural backgrounds who experience other forms of marginalization related to such factors as age, geographic location, immigration status, limited English language proficiency, acculturation status, social class, and disability (e.g., Bieschke, Hardy, Fassinger, & Croteau, 2008; Rosario, Schrimshaw, & Hunter, 2004).

Application

Psychologists are urged to understand the different ways in which multiple minority statuses may complicate and exacerbate the difficulties their clients experience. For example, psychologists are encouraged to consider as critical factors in treatment the ways in which clients may be affected by how their cultures of origin view and stigmatize homosexuality and bisexuality (Gock, 2001; Greene, 1994c), as well as the effects of racism within the mainstream lesbian, gay, and bisexual communities (Gock, 2001; Greene, 1994a; Morales, 1996; Rust, 1996a). Furthermore, sensitivity to the complex dynamics associated with other overlapping layers of social identities and statuses (e.g., social class, gender roles, religious beliefs) is critical to effective work with these populations (Chan, 1995; Garnets & Kimmel, 2003; Greene, 1994a; Rust, 1996a) in assisting clients to negotiate these issues.

Psychologists strive to recognize and to help their clients recognize the effective coping strategies and other protective factors that their lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients from racial, ethnic, and cultural minority backgrounds may have developed through their multiple marginalization experiences (Greene, 2003; Selvidge, Matthews, & Bridges, 2008). Psychologists are also encouraged to understand and help their lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients address the anger, frustration and pain that they have often experienced as both people from diverse racial, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds and as sexual minority people (Espin, 1993; Jones & Hill, 1996).

Guideline 12. Psychologists are encouraged to consider the influences of religion and spirituality in the lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual persons.

Rationale

The influence of religion and spirituality in the lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual persons can be complex, dynamic, and a source of ambivalence. Such is the case because their experience, especially with organized religion, is varied and diverse. While some religious and spiritual belief systems are relatively neutral about diverse sexual orientations (e.g., Buddhism and Hinduism), others historically have been more condemnatory (e.g., Christianity, Judaism, and Islam). Even within religious traditions that have been historically disapproving of non-heterosexual orientations, there has been an emerging and growing theological paradigm in the past 20 to 30 years that accepts and supports diverse sexual orientations (Borg, 2004). The religious backgrounds of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals may have variable

effects on their psychological functioning and well-being (Haldeman, 2004). Besides their diverse past experience with faith, lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals may differ in terms of the role that religion and spirituality play in their current lives. For instance, while some view their faith traditions and spiritual beliefs as an important and integral part of identity, others do not (Maynard, 2001). Moreover, like their heterosexual counterparts, the influence and meaning of faith for lesbian, gay, and bisexual persons may differ across the lifespan.

Application

Psychologists strive to be aware and respectful of the diverse religious and spiritual practices espoused by lesbian, gay, and bisexual people. Lesbian, gay, and bisexual psychologists in particular may be vulnerable to conscious or unconscious religious bias that could negatively affect their work with clients who espouse a strong religious identification (Haldeman, 2004). They are encouraged to understand both the historical and current role and impact of religion and spirituality in the lives of their lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients (Haldeman, 1996). In particular, they are urged to consider the rejecting and hurtful religious experiences that their lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients may have had. The integration of these sometimes disparate but salient aspects of identity is often an important treatment goal for psychologists working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients who are conflicted because of their religious identification (Benoit, 2005; Buchanan, Dzelme, Harris, & Hecker, 2001; Harris, Cook, & Kashubek-West, 2008).

APA's Resolution on Religious, Religion-based and/or Religion-derived Prejudice (Anton, 2008) calls upon psychologists to examine their own religious beliefs and prevent them from taking precedence over professional practice and standards in their clinical work with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. The majority of clients who seek SOCE hold religious beliefs that they experience as incompatible with their sexual orientation (APA, 2009a; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Tozer & Hayes, 2004). Psychologists are encouraged to consider such requests very carefully by reviewing the APA Resolution on Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts (APA, 2009a) and discussing the current research and possible risks associated with change efforts with their clients. Furthermore, psychologists are encouraged to inquire about the social and cultural influences that may play a role in these requests. In addition, psychologists are encouraged to be familiar with the resources (including, but not limited to, faith-related literature and groups) from different faith traditions in their communities that are affirming and welcoming of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people.

Guideline 13. Psychologists strive to recognize cohort and age differences among lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals.

Rationale

Lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals may differ substantially based on the effects of cohort and age. Cohort influences are broad historical forces that shape the context of development; for lesbian, gay and bisexual people, the time period in which one has lived and/or come out can profoundly shape such developmental tasks as claiming identity labels, identity disclosure, parenting, and political involvement (Fassinger & Arseneau, 2007). Examples of factors influencing generational differences include: changing societal attitudes toward sexuality; the effects of HIV/AIDS on sexual minority communities; changing religious and spiritual attitudes and practices; the women's, gay and civil rights movements; advancements in reproductive technologies and changes in ideologies about families; and changes in conceptualizations of sexual and gender identity, including identity labels. Cohort effects are distinct from age differences. For example, a person who came out in the 1950s likely would have had a very different experience than someone who came out within the past decade. Similarly, a 15- year- old coming out today likely would have a different experience than a 45-year-old coming out today.

Normative issues or changes related to aging for all older adults (e.g., health, retirement, finances, and social support; Berger, 1996; Kimmel, 1995; Slater, 1995) may become significantly more challenging for older lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals due to heterosexist discrimination. Lack of legal protections may raise problems in medical and financial decision-making, couple autonomy in health and end-of-life decisions, access to appropriate health care, parenting rights, health care and retirement benefits, inheritances, living arrangements, and property rights. Cohort effects and age effects interact, as older lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals have more frequent interactions with medical providers (age effect) combined with the likely concealment of identity (cohort effect); such interactions may result in compromised health care (Fassinger & Arseneau, 2007).

Multiple minority status (e.g., related to gender, social class, disability, race and ethnicity) also will affect the experience of aging for lesbian, gay, and bisexual older individuals (Kimmel, Rose & David, 2006). For example, there appear to be differences in perceived stigmatization by ethnicity and age among older lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults (David & Knight, 2008). As another example, women in same sex relationships may experience heightened financial difficulties due to the cumulative effects of depressed earnings over their lifetimes (Fassinger, 2008). Finally, many lesbian, gay, and bisexual older adults experience ageism within lesbian, gay, and bisexual communities (Kimmel et al., 2006).

Application

Psychologists are urged to consider the particular historical context of the cohort to which the client belongs. In regard to age, psychologists recognize that older adults are a diverse group and that normative changes in aging may be positive as well as negative and are not necessarily related to pathology or to a client's sexual orientation. In regard to the interaction of cohort and age, psychologists are encouraged to attend to the ways in which a particular age-related issue may be affected by cohort experience. For example, grieving related to the death of a partner (age-normative issue) may be exacerbated by heterosexism among older peers (cohort effect) resulting in a lack of support for the grieving partner.

Psychologists recognize that federal, state, and local laws and regulations affect the rights of their older lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients, and are aware of relevant resources that may assist clients with medical, legal, and financial needs. Psychologists may find resources on positive adaptation to aging among lesbian, gay, and bisexual older adults (Friend, 1990; Lee, 1987) helpful. Psychologists may help older lesbians, gay men, and bisexual clients to apply strategies they have learned from coping with heterosexism in managing the challenges associated with normative aging (Fassinger, 1997; Kimmel et al., 2006).

Guideline 14. Psychologists strive to understand the unique problems and risks that exist for lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth.

Rationale

Navigating the cognitive, emotional, and social developmental changes of adolescence while simultaneously integrating the emergence of a lesbian, gay or bisexual identity can be challenging for youth (D'Augelli, 2006). Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and questioning youth may be at increased risk for difficulties not experienced by their heterosexual counterparts (cf. D'Augelli, 2002; Espelage et al., 2008; Lasser, Tharinger, & Cloth, 2006; Thomas 2002) such as homelessness (Urbina, 2007), prostitution (Savin-Williams, 1994), and sexually transmitted diseases (Solario, Milburn, & Weiss, 2006). Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and questioning youth who do not conform to gender norms may experience increased difficulties in peer relationships (D'Augelli et al., 2002; Wilson & Wren, 2005). Decisions about coming out may pose even greater difficulties for lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth of color for whom family and community may be a vital source of support for dealing with racism (see Guideline 11). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth often have problems in school that are related to their sexual orientation (Cooper-Nicols, 2007), such as social alienation (Sullivan & Wodarski, 2002) and bullying (Meyer, 2009). These factors may increase the risk of substance abuse (Jordon, 2000) or have long term consequences such as posttraumatic stress (Rivers, 2004).

The social stigma associated with lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities may create pressure on youth to conform to heterosexual dating behaviors, to hide their sexual orientation, or to avoid social interactions (Safren & Pantalone, 2006). Attempts to mask or deny their sexual identity may put lesbian, gay, and bisexual teens at higher risk for unwanted pregnancy (Saewyc, 2006), engaging in unsafe sex (Rosario, Schrimshaw, & Hunter 2006), interpersonal violence (Russell, Franz & Driscoll, 2001), and suicide attempts (Savin-Williams, 2001).

Lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths often experience negative parental reactions about their sexual orientation (Heatherington & Lavner, 2008). Supportive families may be a protective factor against the negative effects of minority stress for lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth (Meyer, 2003; Ryan, 2009). However, well-intentioned heterosexual parents may not offer the degree of insight and socialization needed by lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth to protect them from both the experience of heterosexism and the internalization of heterosexist beliefs (Green, 2004). Close relationships with a network of supportive friends therefore are extremely important and can serve as a buffer against the pain of familial rejection and/or societal heterosexism. A strong friendship network has been viewed as pivotal in sexual identity exploration and development (D'Augelli, 1991).

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to consider the psychological impact of current social and political events and media portrayals of sexual minorities on lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. An awareness of ethical and legal issues when working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth is particularly important given that laws on confidentiality, health status disclosure, and age of consensual sex differ from state to state.

Youth may feel reluctant to claim an identity relative to sexual orientation. Furthermore, sexual identity may be experienced as fluid during adolescence (Rosario, Schrimshaw, Hunter, & Braun, 2006; Diamond, 2007). Psychologists therefore strive to create an open and affirming therapeutic context for discussions of sexuality and exploration of meaning that youth give to self-identifying terms. Psychologists also strive to help lesbian, gay, bisexual, and questioning youth and their families to identify alternative resources for education, opportunities for support, and affirming internet sites, when appropriate.

Research shows that lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth are subjected to high levels of sexual orientation harassment in schools (Meyer, 2009). Psychologists are encouraged to work with teachers and school administrators to assist them in recognizing the long term impact of such harassment, such as school drop-out, poor academic performance, and suicidal behavior. Psychologists can serve as resources to assist school personnel in reducing sexual orientation harassment in schools.

Ryan (2009) showed that even minor levels of parental acceptance are associated with increased psychological and physical well-being in lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. This study found that lower levels of familial rejection during adolescence and young adulthood were associated with lower level of depression, reduced substance use, less high risk sexual behavior, and lowered suicide risk. When working with parents of lesbian, gay, bisexual, or questioning youth, psychologists are urged to assess the level of acceptance or rejection of their child's sexual orientation. Interventions might include psychoeducational strategies to provide accurate information about sexual orientation and building on familial strengths to increase support for their lesbian, gay, bisexual, and questioning youth (Ryan, 2009).

Guideline 15. Psychologists are encouraged to recognize the particular challenges that lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals with physical, sensory, and cognitive-emotional disabilities experience.

Rationale

Lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals with disabilities may encounter a wide range of particular challenges related to the social stigma associated with both disability and sexual orientation (Saad, 1997). They also may experience the sense of invisibility that is associated with the intersection of same-sex orientation and physical, cognitive-emotional, and/or sensory disability (Abbott & Burns, 2007; Lofgren-Mortenson, 2009) due to prevailing societal views of people with disabilities as non-sexual and alone. Moreover, Shapiro (1993) has pointed out that an individual's self-concept may be negatively affected by these challenges which, in turn, further compromises her or his sense of autonomy and personal agency, sexuality, and self-confidence.

There are a number of particular challenges faced by lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals with physical, sensory, and cognitive-emotional disabilities. For example, gay men with intellectual and learning disabilities have been shown to be at significantly greater risk for engaging in unsafe sex (Yacoub & Hall, 2009). A sense of being "less masculine" also has been implicated in higher-risk sexual behavior among disabled gay men (O'Neill & Hird, 2001). Within partner relationships, special issues related to life management, including mobility, sexuality, and medical and legal decision-making, may be specifically challenging. In addition, family support may not be available because of negative reactions to the person's sexual orientation (McDaniel, 1995; Rolland, 1994). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual people with disabilities may not have the same access to information, support, and services that are available to those without disabilities (O'Toole, 2003; O'Toole & Bregante, 1992). Moreover, there may be additional stress associated with the pressure of a lesbian, gay, or bisexual person to come out to caregivers and health care professionals in order to receive responsive services (O'Toole & Bregante, 1992).

Application

Psychologists working with lesbian, gay and bisexual individuals with disabilities are encouraged to pay particular

attention to the co-variance of issues of disability, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender, age, health status, and socioeconomic status (Fraley, 2007; Hunt, Matthews, Milsom & Lammel, 2006). The potential additive effects of stigmatized aspects of identity may be exacerbated by issues in significant relationships (e.g., partners, family members, caregivers, healthcare providers) and call for thoughtful assessment. Furthermore, psychologists working with disabled lesbian, gay and bisexual individuals are urged to consider the potential effects of social barriers in the lesbian, gay, and bisexual community and in the larger social context (Shapiro, 1993).

Psychologists are urged to consider ways of empowering their lesbian, gay and bisexual clients with disabilities, given the disenfranchisement and sense of invisibility experienced by many in this group (Shuttleworth, 2007). Where available, support groups have been recommended as helpful adjuncts to psychotherapy (Williams, 2007). Comprehensive psychotherapeutic approaches to the intersection between disability and sexual orientation have been developed (cf. Hanjorgiris, Rath & O'Neill, 2004; Hunt et al., 2006). Because it is often overlooked, psychologists are encouraged to inquire about the sexual history and current sexual functioning of their lesbian, gay and bisexual clients with disabilities, as well as provide information and facilitate problem-solving in this area (Kaufman, Silverberg & Odette, 2007; Olkin, 1999). Many lesbian, gay and bisexual people with disabilities have experienced coercive sexual encounters (Swartz, 1995; Thompson, 1994). Sensitive exploration regarding the individual's history of victimization is recommended.

Guideline 16. Psychologists strive to understand the impact of HIV/AIDS on the lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals and communities.

Rationale

Because HIV/AIDS and sexual orientation have been conflated, people living with the disease are stigmatized (Herek, Capitanio, & Widaman, 2002). Additional factors that contribute to the prejudice and discrimination faced by people living with HIV/AIDS include misunderstanding of, or misinformation about, the virus (Ritieni, Moskowitz, & Tholandi, 2008), general homophobia and racism (Brooks, Etzel, Hinojos, Henry, & Perez, 2005), and the fact that the virus is spread through behavior that some individuals or groups condemn as objectionable (Kopelman, 2002). While an AIDS diagnosis was initially a death sentence, significant medical advances in the treatment of HIV/AIDS have resulted in its reconceptualization as a chronic disease (Pierret, 2007).

In addition to coping with a stigmatized illness, people living with HIV/AIDS also have to face the myriad medical problems and medication side effects that are characteristic of the virus and its treatment (Johnson & Neilands, 2007). Many people with HIV/AIDS struggle with concerns about rejection following disclosure of their HIV-positive status to friends, family members, and sex and romantic partners (Simoni & Pantalone, 2005). Moreover, empirical studies on the mental health of people living with HIV/AIDS consistently have revealed high rates of mood and anxiety disorders (Bing et al., 2001), as well as problems with drug and alcohol use and abuse (Pence, Miller, Whetten, Eron, & Gaynes, 2006). People living with the disease have reported higher rates of interpersonal violence than their HIV-negative peers (Cohen et al., 2000; Greenwood et al., 2002). Older adults face particular challenges relative to HIV/AIDS. For example, older adults who are surviving with HIV/AIDS may experience cognitive and physical changes associated with their treatment regimens (e.g., Oelklaus, Williams, & Clay, 2007). Some HIV-negative older adults may be at risk for sero-conversion due to disinhibitory sexual behavior associated with decreased cognitive functioning, loneliness, depression, or other emotional or existential factors (cf. Grov, Golub, Parsons, Brennan, & Karpiak, 2010) despite knowledge of safe sexual practices. Coping with this complex array of physical and mental health problems can be a significant challenge for individuals living with HIV as well for the psychologists who provide services to them (Anderson & Barret, 2001; Berg, Michelson, & Safren, 2007). In addition, it is also important to note that HIV/AIDS issues occur within the context of other physical health disparities (Krehely, 2009).

Application

When conducting an initial assessment, psychologists are urged to avoid any assumptions pertaining to a client's HIV serostatus based on sexual orientation or other demographic characteristics. There is no reliable way to know the HIV serostatus of any client without asking directly. Moreover, by broaching this subject openly, psychologists create an opportunity to offer accurate preventive educational information on HIV for all their clients (e.g., safer/riskier sexual behavior), as well as to provide support to those who are HIV-positive (e.g., encouraging them to seek or continue medical care). Psychologists are encouraged to obtain the requisite information to be able to discuss HIV prevention

strategies with their clients.

Psychologists strive to understand and account for the impact of societal marginalization as a result of the unique multiple oppressed identities and other factors (e.g., sexual minority, racial/ethnic minority, low socioeconomic status, disability, etc.) of each of their clients living with HIV/AIDS. Among young gay men of color, low self-esteem as well as other factors (e.g., social networks) have been shown to contribute to high sero-conversion rate (Brooks, Rotheram-Borus, Bing, Ayala, & Henry, 2003; Millett, Flores, Peterson, & Bakeman, 2007), Psychologists are encouraged to discuss safe sexual behaviors with their at-risk clients. In addition, psychologists are encouraged to be cognizant of how different age cohorts may have had different experiences with HIV/AIDS. For example, many older lesbians, gay men, and bisexual women and men may have undergone significant emotional trauma, grief, and loss because of the many AIDS-related deaths of their friends and partners in the 1980s and early 1990s, and may need continued support in the face of these losses.

Psychologists are encouraged to increase their awareness of the comprehensive impact of HIV/AIDS on the lives of people affected by and infected with the virus. For example, there can be significant changes in the identity and role(s) of those people living with HIV/AIDS as a result of their HIV infection (Baumgartner, 2007). Acquiring HIV may also be a catalyst for psychological or spiritual growth for some, while being a cause for mourning and grief for others (Moskowitz & Wrubel, 2005). In addition, HIV sero-conversion can seriously affect the social and intimate relationships of those living with the disease. HIV-positive men and women may experience shame or rejection from family members, friends, or co-workers (e.g., Laryea & Gien, 1993). This interpersonal rejection may be particularly traumatic for those who previously have experienced similar difficulties as a result of the disclosure of other stigmatized aspects of their identity. Moreover, in an intimate partner relationship, HIV can serve as an additional stressor or barrier to intimacy. This is the case especially for individuals in sero-discordant relationships because the partners must navigate the emotional and practical issues surrounding sex and intimacy. Furthermore, a person's HIV-positive status may be a cause for discrimination in employment or housing settings (e.g., Malcolm, Aggleton, Bronfman, Galvao, Mane, & Verrall, 1998).

Economic and Workplace Issues

Guideline 17. Psychologists are encouraged to consider the impact of socioeconomic status on the psychological well being of lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients.

Rationale

Data indicate that lesbian, gay, and bisexual men and women are often at economic disadvantage in contrast to their heterosexual counterparts. In a 1995 study, Badgett found that gay men earned between 11% and 27% less than heterosexual males. Research has also shown that gay men living in same-sex relationships earn less than men in heterosexual marriages (Allegretto & Arthur, 2001; Klawitter & Flatt, 1998). Albelda, Badgett, Schneebaum, and Gates (2009) found that lesbian and gay couple families are significantly more likely to be poor than are heterosexual married couple families, and lesbian couples in particular were also much more likely to be poor than heterosexual couples and their families. Elmslie and Tebaldi (2007) found that gay men in managerial and blue-collar jobs can earn up to 23% less than their heterosexual counterparts. Although gay men and lesbians tend to be more highly educated than their heterosexual counterparts (Carpenter, 2005; Rothblum, Balsam & Mickey, 2004), they continue to earn less money (Factor & Rothblum, 2007; Fassinger, 2008; Egan, Edelman, & Sherrill, 2008). Badgett (2003) and Fassinger (2008) suggest that there is significant discrimination in the workplace against lesbians and gay men, as there is in the retail market. Lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals have been fired, denied promotion, given negative performance evaluations, and received unequal pay and benefits on the basis of their sexual orientation (Badgett, Lau, Sears, & Ho, 2007).

There is increasing understanding of the relationship between poverty and mental health issues (e.g. Costello, Compton, Keeler, & Angold, 2003; Croteau, Bieschke, Fassinger, & Manning, 2008). Low income individuals are more likely to suffer from a diagnosable mental disorder than those from upper socioeconomic brackets (Bourdon, Rae,

Narrow, Manderschild, & Regier, 1994). Therefore, those lesbian, gay and bisexual men and women who live in poverty have an added burden of further disenfranchisement and alienation.

Financial resources and education may mediate the negative effects of discrimination (e.g., greater economic power and options, improved self-esteem). Conversely, lower socioeconomic status may constitute additional stress, increased marginalization, greater challenges in adjusting to a stigmatized sexual orientation, and reduced opportunities to access appropriate social supports. Ray (2006) noted that fear of persecution and lack of acceptance result in the homelessness of many lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. Homeless lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth are more likely to engage in high risk behavior. Van Leeuwen and his associates (2006) found a higher risk of suicide attempts, survival sex, and drug use among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth compared to their heterosexual counterparts. In older lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults, a variety of challenges exist regarding traditional income support mechanisms (e.g., Social Security, pension plans, 401(k) plans, etc.) (Cahill & South, 2002). Same-sex couples experience legal barriers (e.g., lack of access to legal marriage or healthcare benefits) that can result in socioeconomic disparities (APA, 2009b).

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to assess the ways in which socioeconomic status affects lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients in such areas as low self-esteem, familial conflict, and relationship problems. For example, it is helpful to consider the psychological sequelae of low socioeconomic status (such as shame, depression, anxiety) upon lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals as these may linger throughout the lifespan even if one advances in socioeconomic status (Martell, 2007; Russell, 1996). In addition, in their assessments, psychologists are urged to consider the ways in which low SES and economic discrimination based on sexual orientation may have compounding effects. Psychologists also are encouraged to refrain from making assumptions about socioeconomic status based upon sexual orientation.

Guideline 18. Psychologists strive to understand the unique workplace issues that exist for lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals.

Rationale

There are unique difficulties and risks faced by lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals in the workplace, particularly the impact of sexual stigma (Herek, 2007; Herek, Gillis, & Cogan, 2009) on vocational decision-making, choice, implementation, adjustment, and achievement (Croteau, Bieschke, Fassinger, & Manning, 2008; Fassinger, 2008; Pope et al., 2004). Barriers to the vocational development and success of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals include: employment discrimination (Fassinger, 2008; Kirby, 2002); wage discrimination (Badgett, 2003; Elmslie & Tebaldi, 2007); lack of benefits (e.g., family medical leave, bereavement leave, childcare, same-sex partner benefits) (Fassinger, 2008); hostile workplace climates (Ragins & Cornwell, 2001; Ragins, Singh, & Cornwell, 2007); job stereotyping (Chung, 2001; Keeton, 2002); occupational restrictions (e.g., military, clergy) (Fassinger, 2008); the interactive effects of bias based upon gender, race and ethnicity, disability, and other aspects of marginalized status (Bieschke, Hardy, Fassinger, & Croteau, 2008; VanPuymbroeck, 2002); and compromised career assessment (Anderson, Croteau, Chung, & DiStefano, 2001; Pope et al., 2004). It should be noted that the general assessment issues mentioned in Guideline 4 apply in the special case of career assessment as well.

The most salient issue for lesbian, gay, and bisexual workers in a context of sexual stigma is identity management (Croteau et al., 2008). Although research indicates that identity disclosure is linked to more positive mental health outcomes than concealing identity (cf. Herek & Garnets, 2007), many lesbian, gay, and bisexual workers adopt identity management strategies to protect against actual or anticipated workplace discrimination (Croteau et al., 2008). Identity concealment strategies, however, exact a psychological price, including constant vigilance about sharing information, maintaining separation of personal and work lives, coping with feelings of dishonesty and invisibility, isolation from social and professional collegial networks and support, and burnout from the stress of hiding identity (see Croteau et al., 2008; Fassinger, 2008).

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to assist their lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients in identifying and addressing potential barriers to vocational development and success. Psychologists are urged to assist lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients in overcoming internalized stereotypes about themselves and/or about the world of work that may affect their occupational

choices and decision-making (Adams, Cahill, & Ackerling, 2004; Croteau et al., 2008; Nauta, Saucier, & Woodard, 2001; Tomlinson & Fassinger, 2003). Psychologists can aid lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients in assessing their work environments and exploring appropriate strategies for sexual orientation disclosure in the workplace (Anderson et al., 2001; Croteau et al., 2008; Lidderdale, Croteau, Anderson, Tovar-Murray, & Davis, 2007), including issues that arise in the process of searching for and obtaining a job (Lidderdale et al., 2007).

Psychologists are encouraged to address issues of multiple oppressions when providing counseling regarding work and career for lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients, preparing them to cope with the effects of racism, sexism, heterosexism, ableism, ageism, and other forms of marginalization (Bieschke et al, 2008). Psychologists strive to be aware of special considerations in the use of career assessment inventories with lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals (Chung, 2003a; Chung, 2003b; Pope et al., 2004).

Psychologists can be helpful to lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients in their vocational and workplace decision-making by encouraging them to become aware of local and national career resources. These resources might include national lesbian and gay networks of professionals, local gay/lesbian community resources, special programs by lesbian/gay professionals, career shadowing opportunities with gay/lesbian professionals, externships or cooperative education placements in gay/lesbian owned or operated businesses, and LGB mentoring programs (Pope et al., 2004).

Education and Training

Guideline 19. Psychologists strive to include lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues in professional education and training.

Rationale

Despite the rising emphasis on diversity training during graduate education and internship, studies have shown that graduate students in psychology and early career psychologists report inadequate education and training in lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues (Mathews, Selvidge, & Fisher, 2005; Pilkington & Cantor, 1996) and feel unprepared to work with these groups (Allison, Crawford, Echemendia, Robinson, & Knepp, 1994; Phillips & Fischer, 1998). Mathews (2007) noted that "mental health professionals live in the same heterosexist society as everybody else and are subject to the biases and prejudices that permeate that culture" (p. 205). Students may describe their attitudes as more affirmative than they actually are if examined more deeply. Training has been shown to clarify negative attitudes about non-heterosexual orientations (Boysen & Vogel, 2008; Israel & Hackett, 2004). Identification as lesbian, gay, or bisexual does not necessarily confer expertise in practice with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. Greene (1997) outlines some of the issues unique to non-heterosexual practitioners (e.g., concerns about boundaries, overidentification with the client, advocacy).

Application

Lesbian, gay, and bisexual training programs or modules have been shown to positively enhance the knowledge and skills of students (Rutter, Estrada, Ferguson, & Diggs, 2008). Faculty, supervisors, and consultants are encouraged to integrate current information about lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues throughout graduate training for professional practice. Resources are available to assist faculty in including lesbian, gay, and bisexual content in program curricula (e.g., APA, 1995; Bieschke, Perez, & DeBord, 2000, 2007; Buhrke & Douce, 1991; Cabaj & Stein, 1996; Croteau & Bieschke, 1996; Greene & Croom, 2000; Hancock, 1995, 2000; Pope, 1995; Ritter & Terndrup, 2002; Savin-Williams & Cohen, 1996) and in training and supervision (e.g., Halpert, Reinhardt, & Toohey, 2007; Mintz & Bieschke, 2009). Halpert et al. (2007) present affirmative models of supervision that may be used with any theoretical orientation and can help students become culturally competent practitioners with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. Recommendations for graduate education include both individual courses and the infusion of relevant information throughout the curriculum (Biaggio, Orchard, Larson, Petrino, & Mihara, 2003; Phillips, 2000).

Psychologists are encouraged to educate their students about the nature and effects of heterosexual privilege (Israel & Selvidge, 2003) and to challenge heterosexist bias (Biaggio, Orchard, Larson, Petrino, & Mihara, 2003; Hancock, 2000;

Simoni, 2000). Although the provision of current information regarding lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues is essential, a number of authors also strongly recommend personal exploration of attitudes and biases (e.g., Israel & Hackett, 2004; Mathews, 2007; Phillips, 2000). Personal exploration of attitudes and biases in the education and training of psychologists may ultimately assist students to evaluate themselves with greater honesty and accuracy and to provide more sensitive care to their lesbian, gay, bisexual, and questioning clients. Prior to teaching about attitudes towards lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients, instructors (regardless of their sexual orientations) are strongly advised to explore their own attitudes (Biaggio et al., 2003; Simoni, 2000).

Issues regarding institutional climate and support also have been discussed in recent literature. Biaggio and her colleagues (2003) suggest prioritizing the affirmation of diversity throughout the institution; including sexual orientation in university equal employment opportunity statements and admission and recruitment; considering diversity in promotion, tenure, and other personnel decisions; and providing support systems for lesbian, gay, and bisexual members of the institution (e.g., resource centers, research support, mentoring programs). Psychologists who have expertise in lesbian, gay, and bisexual psychology may be used on a full-time or part-time basis to provide training and consultation to faculty, research guidance, and course and clinical supervision to students. Faculty and clinical supervisors are encouraged to seek continuing education course work in lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues to increase awareness of the unique needs of lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients (Biaggio et al., 2003).

Guideline 20. Psychologists are encouraged to increase their knowledge and understanding of homosexuality and bisexuality through continuing education, training, supervision, and consultation.

Rationale

Although the study of diverse populations has received more attention in recent years, many practicing psychologists may not have received basic information pertaining to working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. APA's Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (APA, 2002b) urges psychologists to "...undertake ongoing efforts to develop and maintain their competence" (p. 1064). Unfortunately, the education, training, practice experience, consultation, and/or supervision that psychologists receive regarding lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues often have been inadequate, outdated, or unavailable (Morrow, 1998; Murphy, Rawlings, & Howe, 2002; Pilkington & Cantor, 1996; Sherry, Whilde, & Patton, 2005). Studies historically have revealed psychotherapist prejudice and insensitivity in working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual people (Garnets et al., 1991; Liddle, 1996; Nystrom, 1997; Winegarten et al., 1994). While newer research indicates more positive attitudes towards lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients reported by therapists (Bieschke, McClanahan, Tozer, Grzegorek, & Park, 2000), Bieschke, Paul, and Blasko (2007) noted that some of these improved attitudes appear to be superficial and are not necessarily exhibited in the behavior of therapists.

Application

According to Israel, Ketz, Detrie, Burke, and Shulman (2003), a broad range of knowledge, attitudes, and skills is called for in order to work effectively with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. Psychologists are urged to consider additional education, training, experience, consultation, and/or supervision in such areas as: (a) human sexuality and multidimensional models of sexual orientation; (b) mental health issues affecting lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals; (c) lesbian, gay, and bisexual identity development in a heteronormative society, including ethnic and cultural factors affecting identity; (d) the effects of stigmatization upon lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals, couples, and families; (e) the intersections of multiple identities (e.g., sexual orientation, race and ethnicity, gender, class, and disability; (f) unique career development and workplace issues experienced by lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals; (g) nontraditional relationship forms; (h) issues of religion and spirituality for lesbian, gay, and bisexual people; and (i) health and wellness issues. Many psychologists might benefit from specific training pertaining to the particular issues of bisexual clients and affirmative psychotherapy with bisexual women and men. Psychologists are encouraged to seek out lesbian, gay, and bisexual affirmative continuing education courses, as the content of such courses is likely to be compatible with existing APA guidelines and policies. Psychologists are further urged to seek continuing education courses that provide specific information on working with bisexual clients and materials that address their particular issues in treatment (e.g., Firestein, 2006; Fox, 2006; Matteson, 1999).

Lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals—especially those who are questioning or newly aware of their sexual orientation—sometimes have no knowledge of or access to other lesbian, gay, and bisexual people or to a broader gay community

and the resources it might afford. Awareness of and access to community resources are important since research indicates that engagement in the lesbian, gay, and bisexual community is associated with improved psychological functioning in these populations (e.g., D'Augelli & Garnets, 1995; Garnets, Herek, & Levy, 1992; Kurdek, 1988; Russell & Richards, 2003). Psychologists are encouraged to make reasonable efforts to familiarize themselves with relevant resources (national, state, local, and electronic) in their work with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. A listing of suggested mental health, educational, and community resources is provided in Appendix A.

Research

Guideline 21. In the use and dissemination of research on sexual orientation and related issues, psychologists strive to represent results fully and accurately and to be mindful of the potential misuse or misrepresentation of research findings.

Rationale

Just as bias can influence the conduct of research, it also can influence the interpretations of research by others and the uses to which research results are put. Sound research findings about any stigmatized group represent an important contribution to the discipline of psychology and to society in general. However, research about lesbian, gay, and bisexual people has been misused and misrepresented to the detriment of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals (Herek, 1998; Herek, Kimmel, Amaro, & Melton, 1991; Russell & Kelly, 2003).

Application

Psychologists strive to exercise caution in their use of research on lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations and to take into account the complexities and the limitations of the research (Cochran, 2001; Laumann, Gagnon, Michael, & Michaels, 1994; Solarz, 1999). In addition, psychologists strive to be aware of the potential influence of overt and covert bias (Banaji & Hardin, 2006; Banaji, Lemm, & Carpenter, 2001; Bargh & Chartrand, 1999; Bargh & Williams, 2006; Herek, 1998; Herek et al., 1991), and to exercise care that their reports are thorough and that any relevant limitations to their findings are fully disclosed and discussed. It is also useful for psychologists to maintain an awareness of subgroups within lesbian, gay, and bisexual communities who are not included in research samples (Greene, 2003) and to take their absence into account when applying or discussing research findings.

Psychologists are encouraged to exercise care when citing or quoting from the research findings published by third parties. In much the same way that researchers strive to specify the limitations of their own findings, psychologists who cite others' research are urged to present full and accurate descriptions of that research, including attending to the limitations of the data. The APA Ethics Code (APA, 2002b) requires psychologists to avoid false or deceptive statements (Standard 5.01) and accurately report their research results (Standard 8.10).

The communication of findings from one's own or from a third party's research to popular media outlets represents a particular challenge. Members of the media are typically not well-schooled in the intricacies of research methods or the appropriate interpretation of research findings. This situation, in combination with media emphasis on dramatic storylines (Conrad, 1997) can result in misleading or explicitly inaccurate expositions of research. Psychologists strive to be aware of and to work proactively to prevent the dissemination of inaccurate information (APA, 2002b, Standard 5.01). Psychologists are encouraged to offer clear explanations, to ask for confirmation that journalists understand information provided, to offer to provide synopses of research studies or the actual research reports, and to emphasize to journalists the complexity and the limitations of research findings.

References

Abbott, D., & Burns, J. (2007). What's love got to do with it?: Experiences of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people with intellectual disabilities in the United Kingdom and views of the staff who support them. Sexuality Research and Social Policy, 4(1), 27-39.

ACLU Lesbian and Gay Rights Project. (2002). *Too high a price: The case against restricting gay parenting.* New York: American Civil Liberties Union.

Adams, E. M., Cahill, B. J., & Ackerlind, S. J. (2005). A qualitative study of Latino lesbian and gay youths' experiences with discrimination and the career development process. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *66*, 199-218.

Adelman, M. (1990). Stigma, gay lifestyles, and adjustment to aging: A study of later-life gay men and lesbians. *Journal of Homosexuality*, *20*(3-4), 7-32.

Albelda, R., Badgett, M.L., Schneebaum, A., & Gates, G.J. (2009). *Poverty in the lesbian, gay, and bisexual community*. Los Angeles, CA: The Williams Institute.

Allegretto, S., & Arthur, M. (2001). An empirical analysis of homosexual/heterosexual male earnings differentials: Unmarried and unequal? *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, *54*, 631-646.

Allen, M., & Burrell, N. (1996). Comparing the impact of homosexual and heterosexual parents on children: Metaanalysis of existing research. *Journal of Homosexuality*, *32*(2), 19-35.

Allison, K., Crawford, I., Echemendia, R., Robinson, L., & Knepp, D. (1994). Human diversity and professional competence: Training in clinical and counseling psychology revisited. *American Psychologist*, *49*, 792-796.

Allport, G.W. (1979). The nature of prejudice. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley. (Original work published in 1954).

American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy. (1991). AAMFT code of ethics. Washington, DC: Author.

American Counseling Association. (1996). ACA code of ethics and standards of practice. In B. Herlihy & G. Corey (Eds.), *ACA ethical standards casebook* (5th ed., pp. 26-59). Alexandria, VA: Author.

American Psychiatric Association. (1974). Position statement on homosexuality and civil rights. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *131*, 497.

American Psychological Association. (1992). Ethical principles and code of conduct. *American Psychologist*, 47, 1597-1611.

American Psychological Association. (1995). Lesbian and gay parenting: A resource for psychologists. Washington, DC: Author.

American Psychological Association. (1998). XII. Public interest: Resolution on appropriate therapeutic responses to sexual orientation. In proceedings of the American Psychological Association, Incorporated, for legislative year 1997. *American Psychologist*, *53*, 882-939.

American Psychological Association. (2002a). Criteria for practice guideline development and evaluation. *American Psychologist*, *57*, 1048-1051.

American Psychological Association. (2002b). Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct. *American Psychologist*, *57*, 1060-1073.

American Psychological Association. (2006). *Answers to your questions about transgender individuals and gender identity*. Retrieved from http://www.apa.org/topics/sexuality/transgender.aspx (/topics/lgbt/transgender.aspx)

American Psychological Association. (2007). Guidelines for psychological practice with girls and women. American

Psychologist, 62, 949-979.

American Psychological Association. (2008). *Report of the APA task force on gender identity and gender variance*. Retrieved January 8, 2010 from www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/policy/gender-identity-report.pdf (http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/policy/gender-identity-report.pdf)

American Psychological Association. (2009a). Report of the APA task force on appropriate therapeutic responses to sexual orientation. Retrieved January 8, 2010 from www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/therapeutic-response.pdf (http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/therapeutic-response.pdf)

American Psychological Association. (2009b). Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender persons and socioeconomic status. Retrieved January 12, 2010 from www.apa.org/pi/ses/resources/publications/lgbt-factsheet.pdf (http://www.apa.org/pi/ses/resources/publications/lgbt-factsheet.pdf)

American Psychological Association Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls. (2007). *Report of the APA Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. Retrieved March 19, 2008 from http://www.apa.org/pi/women/programs/girls/report.aspx (/pi/women/programs/girls/report.aspx).

Anderson, J.R., & Barret, B. (2001). *Ethics in HIV-related psychotherapy*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Anderson, M. Z., Croteau, J. M., Chung, Y. B., & DiStefano, T. M. (2001). Developing an assessment of sexual identity management for lesbian and gay workers. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 9, 243-260.

Anderson, S. (1996). Addressing heterosexist bias in the treatment of lesbian couples with chemical dependency. In J. Laird & R. J. Green (Eds.), *Lesbians and gays in couples and families: A handbook for therapists* (pp. 316-340). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Anton, B. (2008). Proceedings of the American Psychological Association for the legislative year 2007. Minutes of the annual meeting of the Council of Representatives February 16-18, 2007, Washington, DC and August 9 and 13, 2007, San Francisco, CA, and minutes of the February, June, August, and December 2007 meetings of the Board of Directors. *American Psychologist*, 63 (5), 360-442.

Appell, A. (2003). Recent developments in lesbian and gay adoption law. Adoption Quarterly, 7 (1), 73-84.

Armesto, J. (2002). Developmental and contextual factors that influence gay fathers' parental competence: A review of the literature. *Psychology of Men and Masculinity*, *3*, 67-78.

Badgett, M.V.L. (1995). The wage effects of sexual orientation discrimination. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 48, 726-739.

Badgett, M.V. L. (2003). *Money, myths, and change: The economic lives of lesbians and gay men.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Badgett, M.V.L., Lau, H., Sears, B., & Ho, D. (2007). Bias in the workplace: Consistent evidence of sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination. Retrieved from

http://www.law.ucla.edu/williamsinstitute/publications/biasintheworkplace.pdf (http://www.law.ucla.edu/williamsinstitute/publications/biasintheworkplace.pdf)

Banaji, M. R., & Hardin, C. (1996). Automatic stereotyping. *Psychological Science*, 7, 136-141.

Banaji, M. R., Lemm, K. M., & Carpenter, S. J. (2001). Automatic and implicit processes in social cognition. In A. Tesser & N. Schwartz (Eds.), *Blackwell handbook of social psychology: Intraindividual processes* (pp. 134-158). Oxford: Blackwell.

Bargh, J. A., & Chartrand, T. L. (1999). The unbearable automaticity of being. American Psychologist, 54, 462-478.

Bargh, J.A., & Williams, E.L. (2006). The automaticity of social life. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15, 1-4.

Bass, E., & Kaufman, K. (1996). Free your mind. The book for gay, lesbian, and bisexual youth and their allies. New York: Collins.

Batchelor, S. A., Kitzinger, J., & Burtney, E. (2004). Representing young people's sexuality in the 'youth' media. *Health Education Research*, 19, 669-676.

Baumgartner, L.M. (2007). The incorporation of the HIV/AIDS identity into the self over time. *Qualitative Health Research*, 17 (7), 919-931.

Beals, K.P. (2004). Stigma management and well-being: The role of social support, cognitive processing, and suppression. *Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering*, 65 (2-B), 1070.

Beckstead, A.L. (2001). Cures versus choices: Agendas in sexual reorientation therapy. In A. Shidlo, N. Schroeder, & J. Drescher (Eds.), *Sexual conversion therapy: Ethical, clinical, and research perspectives* (pp. 87-115). New York: Haworth Press.

Beckstead, A.L., & Israel, T. (2007). Affirmative counseling in psychotherapy focused on issues related to sexual orientation conflicts. In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and trangender clients* (pp. 221-224). (2nd ed.) Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Beckstead, A.L., & Morrow, S.L. (2004). Mormon clients' experiences of conversion therapy: The need for a new treatment approach. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 32 (5), 651-690.

Ben-Ari, A., & Livni, T. (2006). Motherhood is not a given thing: Experiences and constructed meaning of biological and nonbiological lesbian mothers. *Sex Roles*, *54*, 521-531.

Benjamin, H. (1967). The transsexual phenomenon. *Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 29(4) 428-430.

Benoit, M. (2005). Conflict between religious commitment and same-sex attraction: Possibilities for a virtuous response. *Ethics and Behavior*, *15*(4), 309-325.

Berg, C.J., Michelson, S.E., & Safren, S.A. (2007). Behavioral aspects of HIV care: Adherence, depression, substance use, and HIV-tranmission behaviors. *Infectious Disease Clinics of North American*, *21* (1), 181-200.

Berger, R. (1996). Gay and gray: The older homosexual man (2nd ed.). New York: Harrington Park Press.

Berger, R., & Kelly, J. (1996). Gay men and lesbians grown older. In R. Cabaj & T. Stein (Eds.), *Textbook of homosexuality and mental health* (pp. 305-316). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.

Biaggio, M., Orchard, S., Larson, J., Petrino, K., & Mihara, R. (2003). Guidelines for gay/lesbian/bisexual-affirmative educational practices in graduate psychology programs. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *34*, 548-554.

Bieschke, K.J. (2008). We've come a long way, baby. The Counseling Psychologist, 36, 631-638.

Bieschke, K.J., Perez, R.M., & DeBord, K.A. (2000). *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Bieschke, K. J., Perez, R. M., & DeBord, K. A. (2007). Introduction: The challenge of providing affirmative psychotherapy while honoring diverse contexts. In K. J. Bieschke, R. M. Perez, & K. A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.) (pp. 3-11). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Bigner, J.J., & Bozett, F.W. (1990). Parenting by gay fathers. In F. Bozett & M. Sussman (Eds.), *Homosexuality and family relations* (pp. 155-176). New York: Harrington Park Press.

Bigner, J.J., & Jacobsen, R.B. (1989a). The value of children to gay and heterosexual fathers. In F. W. Bozett (Ed.), *Homosexuality and family relations* (pp. 163-172). New York: Harrington Park Press.

Bigner, J.J., & Jacobsen, R.B. (1989b). Parenting behaviors of homosexual and heterosexual fathers. In F.W. Bozett (Ed.), *Homosexuality and the family* (pp. 173-186). New York: Harrington Park Press.

Bing, E.G., Burnam, M.A., Longshore, D., Fleishman, J.A., Sherbourne, C.D., London, A.S., Turner, B.J., Eggan, F., Beckman, R., Vitiello, B., Morton, S.C., Orlando, M., Bozzette, S.A., Ortiz-Barron, L., & Shapiro, M. (2001). Psychiatric disorders and drug use among Human Immunodeficiency Virus-infected adults in the United States. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *58* (8), 721-728.

Blevins, D., & Werth, J.L. Jr. (2006). End-of-life issues for LGBT older adults. In D. Kimmel, T. Rose, & S. David (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender aging: Research and clinical perspectives* (pp. 206-226). New York: Columbia University Press.

Boden, R. (1992). Psychotherapy with physically disabled lesbians. In S.H. Dworkin & F.J. Gutierrez (Eds.), *Counseling gay men and lesbians: Journal to the end of the rainbow* (pp. 157-174). Alexandria, VA: AACD Press.

Bogart, L.M., Collins, R.L., Kanouse, D.E., Beckman, R., Golinelli, D., Bird, C.E., & Cunningham, W. (2006). Patterns and correlates of deliberate abstinence among men and women with HIV/AIDS. *American Journal of Public Health*, 96 (6), 1078-1084.

Bohan, J.S. (1996). Psychology and sexual orientation: Coming to terms. New York: Routledge.

Borg, M.J. (2004). The heart of Christianity: Rediscovering a life of faith. San Francisco, CA: Harper.

Bos, H.M.W., van Balen, F., & van den Boom, D.C. (2003). Planned lesbian families: Their desire and motivation to have children. *Human Reproduction*, *10*, 2216-2224.

Bos, H.M.W., van Balen, F., & van den Boom, D.C. (2005). Lesbian families and family functioning: An overview. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *59*, 263-275.

Bos, H.M.W., van Balen, F., & van den Boom, D.C. (2007). Child adjustment and parenting in planned lesbian-parent families. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 77, 38-48.

Bourdon, K. H., Rae, D. S., Narrow, W. E., Manderschild, R. W., & Regier, D. A. (1994). National prevalence and treatment of mental and addictive disorders. In R. W. Mandershild & A. Sonnenschein (Eds.), Mental health: United States (pp. 22-51). Washington, DC: Center for Mental Health Services.

Boysen, G.A., & Vogel, D.L. (2008). The relationship between level of training, implicit bias, and multicultural competency among counselor trainees. Training *and Education in Professional Psychology*, 2, 103-110.

Bradford, M. (2004a). Bisexual issues in same-sex couples therapy. *Journal of Couple and Relationship Therapy*, 3 (3/4), 43-52.

Bradford, M. (2004b). The bisexual experience: Living in a dichotomous culture. Journal of Bisexuality, 4 (1/2), 7-23.

Bradford, M. (2006). Affirmative psychotherapy with bisexual women. Journal of Bisexuality, 6 (1/2), 13-25.

Brill, S., & Pepper, R. (2008). *The transgender child: A handbook for families and professionals*. San Francisco, CA: Cleis.

Brooks, R.A., Rotheram-Borus, M.J., Bing, B.G., Ayala, G., & Henry, C.L. (2003). HIV and AIDS among men of color

who have sex with men and men of color who have sex with men and women: An epidemiologic profile. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, *15*, 1-6.

Brooks, R.A., Etzel, M.A., Hinojos, E., Henry, C.L., & Perez, M. (2005). Preventing HIV among Latino and African American gay and bisexual men in a context of HIV-related stigma, discrimination, and homophobia: Perspectives of providers. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, *19* (11), 737-744.

Brooks, V.R. (1981). Minority stress and lesbian women. Lexington, MA: Heath.

Brooks, W.K. (1992). Research and the gay minority: Problems and possibilities. In N.J. Woodman (Ed.), *Lesbian and gay lifestyles: A guide for counseling and education* (pp. 201-215). New York: Irvington.

Brotman, S., Ryan, B., & Cormier, R. (2003). The health and social services needs of gay and lesbian elders and their families in Canada. *The Gerontologist*, 43, 192-202.

Brown, L. (1989). Lesbians, gay men, and their families: Common clinical issues. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Psychotherapy*, 1(I), 65-77.

Brown, L. B. (Ed.) (1997). *Two spirit people: American Indian lesbian women and gay men* (pp. 43-65). Binghamton, NY: Haworth.

Brown, M. & Rounsley, C.A. (1996). *True selves: Understanding transsexualism—for families, friends, coworkers, and helping professionals.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Browning, F. (1996). A queer geography: Journeys toward a sexual self. New York: Random House.

Buchanan, M., Dzelme, K., Harris, D., & Hecker, L. (2001). Challenges of being simultaneously gay or lesbian and spiritual and/or religious: A narrative perspective. *The American Journal of Family Therapy*, 29, 435-449.

Buhrke, R. (1989). Female student perspectives on training in lesbian and gay issues. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 17, 629-636.

Buhrke, R. A., & Douce, L. G. (1991). Training issues for counseling psychologists in working with lesbians and gay men. *The Counseling Psychologist*, *19*, 216-239.

Burckell, L.A., & Goldfried, M.R. (2006). Therapist qualities preferred by sexual-minority individuals. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 43 (1), 32-49.

Butt, J.A., & Guldner, C.A. (1993). Counseling bisexuals: Therapists' attitudes towards bisexuality and application in clinical practice. *Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality*, *2* (2), 61-70.

Buxton, A.P. (1994). The other side of the closet: The coming out crisis for straight spouses and families. New York: John Wiley.

Buxton, A.P. (2004). Works in progress: How mixed-orientation couples maintain their marriages after the wives come out. *Journal of Bisexuality*, *4* (1/2), 57-82.

Buxton, A.P. (2005). A family matter: When a spouse comes out as gay, lesbian, or bisexual. *Journal of GLBT Family Studies*, 1 (2), 49-70.

Buxton, A.P. (2007). Counseling heterosexual spouses of bisexual or transgender partners. In B. A. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 395-416). New York: Columbia University Press.

Cabaj, R., & Klinger, R. (1996). Psychotherapeutic interventions with lesbian and gay couples. In R. Cabaj & T. Stein (Eds.), *Textbook of homosexuality and mental health* (pp. 485-502). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.

Cabaj, R. P., & Stein, T. S. (1996). Textbook of homosexuality and mental health. Washington, DC: American

Psychiatric Press.

Cahill, S., & South, K. (2002). Policy issues affecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender people in retirement. *Generations*, 26 (2), 49-54.

Cahill, S., South, K., & Spade, J. (2000). *Outing age: Public policy issues affecting gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender elders.* Washington, DC: Public Policy Institute of the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Foundation.

Canadian Psychological Association. (1995). *Canadian code of ethics for psychologists*. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Author. Retrieved November 18, 2000, from the World Wide Web: http://www.cpa.ca/ethics.html (http://www.cpa.ca/ethics.html)

Cargill, V.A., & Stone, V.E. (2005). HIV/AIDS: A minority health issue. *Medical Clinics of North America*, 89 (4), 895-912.

Carlsson, G. (2007). Counseling the bisexual married man. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 108-126). New York: Columbia University Press.

Carpenter, C.S. (2005). Self-reported sexual orientation and earnings: Evidence from California. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, *58*, 258-273.

Carroll, L. (2010). Counseling sexual and gender minorities. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.

Carroll, L., & Gilroy, P.J. (2002). Transgender issues in counselor preparation. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, 41, 233-242.

Cass, V. (1979). Homosexual identity formation: A theoretical model. Journal of Homosexuality, 4, 219-135.

Castillo, R. (1997). Culture and mental illness: A client-centered approach. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2004). *HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report: HIV Infection and AIDS in the United States*, 2003 (Vol. 15). Atlanta, GA: Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD and TB Prevention, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Cerbone, A. (1990). *Coming out as a lifelong developmental issue: Erik Erikson rethought.* Poster session presented at the American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Boston, Massachusetts.

Chan, C. (1992). Asian-American lesbians and gay men. In S. Dworkin & F. Gutierrez (Eds.), *Counseling gay men and lesbians: Journey to the end of the rainbow* (pp. 115-124). Alexandria, VA: American Association for Counseling and Development.

Chan, C. (1995). Issues of sexual identity in an ethnic minority: The case of Chinese American lesbians, gay men, and bisexual people. In A. D'Augelli & C. Patterson (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities over the life span: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 87-101). New York: Oxford University Press.

Chan, C. S. (1997). Don't ask, don't tell, don't know: The formation of homosexual identity and sexual expression among Asian American lesbians. In B. Greene (Ed.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 3, Ethnic and cultural diversity among lesbians and gay men* (pp. 240-248). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Chung, Y. B. (2001). Work discrimination and coping strategies: Conceptual frameworks for counseling lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. *The Career Development Quarterly*, *50*, 33-44.

Chung, Y. B. (2003a). Career counseling with lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgendered persons: The next decade. *The Career Development Quarterly*, *52*, 78-85.

Chung, Y. B. (2003b). Ethical and professional issues in career assessment with lesbian, gay, and bisexual persons. *Journal of Career Assessment, 11,* 96-112.

Clunis, D., & Green, G. (1988). Lesbian couples. Seattle, WA: Seal Press.

Cochran, S. (2001). Emerging issues in research on lesbians' and gay men's mental health: Does sexual orientation really matter? *American Psychologist*, *56*, 622-642.

Cochran, S.D., Sullivan, J.G., & Mays, V.M. (2003). Prevalence of mental disorders, psychological distress, and mental services use among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in the United States. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 71, 53-61.

Cochran, S.D., Mays, V.M., Alegria, M., Ortega, A.N., & Takeuchi, D. (2007). Mental health and substance use disorders among Latino and Asian American lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 75, 785-794.

Cohen, M., Deamant, C., Barkan, S., Richardson, J., Young, M., Holman, S., Anastos, K., Cohen, J., & Melnick, S. (2000). Domestic violence and childhood sexual abuse in HIV-infected women and women at risk for HIV. *American Journal of Public Health*, *90* (4), 560-565.

Cole, E.R. (2009). Intersectionality and research in psychology. American Psychologist, 64, 170-180.

Collins, J.F. (2007). Counseling at the intersection of identities: Asian/Pacific American bisexuals. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 229-245). New York: Columbia University Press.

Conger, J. (1975). Proceedings of the American Psychological Association for the year 1974: Minutes of the annual meeting of the Council of Representatives. *American Psychologist*, *30*, 620-651.

Conrad, P. (1997). Public eyes and private genes: Historical frames, news constructions, and social problems. *Social Problems*. *44*, 139-154.

Conrey, F. R., Sherman, J. W., Gawronski, B., Hugenberg, K., & Groom, C. J. (2005). Separating multiple processes in implicit social cognition: The quad model and implicit task performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 89, 469-487.

Cooperman, N., Arnsten, J., & Klein, R. (2007). Current sexual activity and risky sexual behavior in older men with or at risk for HIV infection. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, *19*(4), 321-333.

Cooper-Nicols, M. (2007). Exploring the experiences of gay, lesbian, and bisexual adolescents in school: Lessons for school psychologists. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 67(7-B), 4131.

Corey, G., Schneider-Corey, M., & Callanan, P. (1993). *Issues and ethics in the helping professions* (4th ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.

Costello, E. J., Compton, S. N., Keeler, G., & Angold, A. (2003). Relationships between poverty and psychopathology: A natural experiment. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *290*(15), 2023-2029.

Coyle, A. (1993). A study of psychological well-being among gay men using the GHQ-30. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 32, 218-220.

Crisp, C., Wayland, S., & Gordon, T. (in press). Older gay, lesbian, and bisexual adults: Tools for age-competent and gay affirmative practice. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Social Services*.

Crocker, J., & Major, B. (1989). Social stigma and self-esteem: The self-protective properties of stigma. *Psychological Review*, *96*, 608-630.

Croteau, J., & Bieschke, K. (1996). Beyond pioneering: An introduction to the special issue on the vocational issues of lesbian women and gay men. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 48, 119-124.

Croteau, J.M., Bieschke, K.J., Fassinger, R.E., & Manning, J.L. (2008). Counseling psychology and sexual orientation: History, selective trends, and future directions. In S.D. Brown & R.W. Lent (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling psychology* (4th ed., pp. 194-211). New York: John Wiley & Sons.

D'Augelli, A. (1991). Gay men in college: Identity processes and adaptations. Journal of College Student Development, 32, 140-146.

D'Augelli, A. (2002). Mental health problems among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths ages 14 – 21. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 7(3), 433-456.

D'Augelli, A.R., Pilkington, N.W., & Hershberger, S.L. (2002). Incidence and mental health impact of sexual orientation victimization of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths in high school. *School Psychology Quarterly*, *17*(2), 148-167.

D'Augelli, A. (2006). Developmental and contextual factors and mental health among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths. In A. M. Omoto & H. S. Kurtzman (Eds.), *Sexual orientation and mental health: Examining identity and development in lesbian, gay, and bisexual people* (pp. 37-53). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

D'Augelli, A. R., Collins, C., & Hart, M. M. (1987). Social support patterns of lesbian women in a rural helping network. *Journal of Rural Community Psychology, 8*(1), 12-27.

D'Augelli, A., & Garnets, L. (1995). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual communities. In A. D'Augelli & C. Patterson (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities over the life span: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 293- 320). New York: Oxford University Press.

D'Augelli, A., & Hershberger, S. L. (1993). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth in community settings: Personal challenges and mental health problems. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, *21*, 421-448.

D'Augelli, A., Hershberger, S. L., & Pilkington, N. W. (1998). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual yourth and their families: Disclosure of sexual orienation and its consequences. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 68*, 361-371.

David, S., & Knight, B.G. (2008). Stress and coping among older adult gay men: Age and ethnic differences. *Psychology and Aging*, 23, 62-69.

Davidson, M. G. (2000). Religion and spirituality. In R. M. Perez, K. A. DeBord, & K. J. Bieschke (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (pp. 409-433). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Deaux, K., & Lewis, L. L. (1984). The structure of gender stereotypes: Interrelationships among components and gender label. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 46*, 991-1004.

Devine, P.G. (1989). Stereotypes and prejudice: Their automatic and controlled components. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 56*, 5-18.

Devine, P. G., Plant, E. A., Amodio, D. M., Harmon-Jones, E., & Vance, S. L. (2002). The regulation of explicit and implicit race bias: The role of motivations to respond without prejudice. Journal *of Personality and Social Psychology, 82*, 835-848.

Dews, C.L.B., & Law, C.L. (2004). This fine place so far from home: Voices of academics from the working class. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.

Diamond, L. M. (2007). A dynamical systems approach to the development and expression of female same-sex sexuality. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *2*, 142-161.

Diaz, R. M., Bein, E., & Ayala, G. (2006). Homophobia, poverty, and racism: Triple oppression and mental health outcomes in Latino gay men. In A. M. Omoto & H. S. Kurtzman (Eds.), *Sexual orientation and mental health: Examining identity and development in lesbian, gay, and bisexual people.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Diaz, R. M., Ayala, G., Bein, E., Henne, J., & Marin, B. V. (2001). The impact of homophobia, poverty, and racism on the mental health of gay and bisexual Latino men: Findings from 3 US cities. *American Journal of Public Health, 91*, 927-932.

Dickens, J., & McKellen, I. (1996). *Family outing: Guide for parents of gay, lesbian, and bisexual people.* London: Dufour Editions.

DiPlacido, J. (1998). Minority stress among lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals: A consequence of heterosexism, homophobia, and stigmatization. In G. Herek (Ed.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 4. Stigma and sexual orientation: Understanding prejudice against lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals* (pp. 138-159). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Division 44/Committee on Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Concerns Joint Task Force on Guidelines for Psychotherapy with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients. (2000). Guidelines for psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. *American Psychologist*, *55*(12), 1440-1451.

Dodge, B., & Sandfort, T.G.M. (2007). A review of mental health research on bisexual individuals when compared to homosexual and heterosexual individuals. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexual across the lifespan* (pp. 28-51). New York: Columbia University Press.

Drescher, J. (2001). *I'm your handyman: A history of reparative therapies*. Journal of Gay and Lesbian Psychotherapy, 5 (3/4), 5-24.

DuBois, M. (2006). Legal concerns of LGBT elders. In D. Kimmel, T. Rose, & S. David (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender aging: Research and clinical perspectives* (pp. 195-205). New York: Columbia University Press.

Dworkin, S.H. (1992). Some ethical considerations when counseling gay, lesbian, and bisexual clients. In S. Dworkin & F. Gutierrez (Eds.), *Counseling gay men and lesbians: Journey to the end of the rainbow* (pp. 325-334). Alexandria, VA: American Association for Counseling and Development.

Dworkin, S.H. (1997). Female, lesbian, and Jewish: Complex and invisible. In B. Greene (Ed.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 3, Ethnic and cultural diversity among lesbians and gay men* (pp. 63-87). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Dworkin, S. H. (2000). Individual therapy with lesbians, gays, and bisexuals. In R. M Perez, K. A. DeBord & K. J. Bieschke (Eds.). *Handbook of counseling and therapy with lesbians, gays, and bisexuals* (pp. 157–181. Washington, D.C.: APA Press.

Dworkin, S.H. (2001). Treating the bisexual client. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 57 (5), 671-680.

Dworkin, S.H. (2002). Biracial, bicultural, bisexual: Bisexuality and multiple identities. Journal of Bisexuality, 2(4), 93-107.

Dworkin, S. H. (2006). Aging Bisexual: The invisible of the invisible minority. In D. Kimmel, T. Rose, & S. David (Eds.), *Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Aging: Research and Clinical Perspectives* (36-52). Columbia Univ. Press.

Egan, P.J., Edelman, M.S., & Sherrill, K. (2008). Findings from the Hunter College poll of lesbians, gays, and bisexuals: New discoveries about identity, political attitudes, and civic engagement. Washington, DC: The Human Rights Campaign Foundation and Hunter College. Retrieved on January 14, 2010 from http://www.hrc.org//documents/Hunter_college_report.pdf (http://www.hrc.org//documents/Hunter_college_report.pdf)

Eliason, M. (2001). Bi-negativity: The stigma facing bisexual men. Journal of Bisexuality, 1 (2/3), 137-154.

Elmslie, B., & Tebaldi, E. (2007). Sexual orientation and labor market discrimination. *Journal of Labor Research*, 28, 436-453.

Entrup, L. & Firestein, B.A. (2007). Developmental and spiritual issues of young people and bisexuals of the next

generation. In B. A. Firestein (Ed.). *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 89-107). New York: Columbia University Press.

Erich, S., Leung, P., & Kindle, P. (2005). A comparative analysis in adoptive family functioning with gay, lesbian, and heterosexual parents and their children. *Journal of GLBT Family Studies*, *1*, 43-60.

Espin, O. (1999). Women crossing boundaries: A psychology of immigration and transformations of sexuality. New York: Routledge.

Espin, O. M. (1993). Issues of identity in the psychology of Latina lesbians. In L. D. Garnets & D. C. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian & gay male experiences* (pp. 339-363). New York: Columbia University Press.

Espelage, D.L., Aragon, S.R., Birkett, M., & Koenig, B.W. (2008). Homophobic teasing, psychological outcomes, and sexual orientation among high school students: What influence do parents and school have? *School Psychology Review*. *37*(2) 202-216.

Esterberg, K. (1996). Gay cultures, gay communities: The social organization of lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals. In R. Savin-Williams & K. Cohen (Eds.), *The lives of lesbians, gays, and bisexuals: Children to adults* (pp. 337-392). Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace.

Evans, T. (2003). Bisexuality: Negotiating lives between two cultures. Journal of Bisexuality, 3 (2), 91-108.

Factor, R.J., & Rothblum, E.D. (2007). A study of transgender adults and their non-transgender siblings on demographic characteristics, social support, and experiences of violence. *Journal of LGBT Health Research*, *3*, 11-30.

Fassinger, R. (1995). From invisibility to integration: Lesbian identity in the workplace. *Career Development Quarterly, 14*, 148-167.

Fassinger, R. (1997). Issues in group work with older lesbians. Group, 21, 191-210.

Fassinger, R. (2007, September). *Sexual orientation and gender identity.* Presentation at the American Psychological Association Governance Meeting Diversity Training, Washington, DC.

Fassinger, R.E. (2008). Workplace diversity and public policy: Challenges and opportunities for psychology. *American Psychologist*, 63, 252-268.

Fassinger, R. E., & Arseneau, J. R. (2007). "I'd rather get wet than be under that umbrella": Differentiating the experiences and identities of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender people. In K. J. Bieschke, R. M. Perez, & K. A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.) (pp. 19-49). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Ferrer, L., & Gomez, L.A.J. (2007). Counseling bisexual Latinos: A minority with a minority. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 246-267). New York: Columbia University Press.

Fine, M., & Asch, A. (Eds.). (1988). *Beyond pedestals. Women with disabilities: Essays in psychology, culture, and politics.* Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.

Firestein, B. (1996). Bisexuality as a paradigm shift: Transforming our disciplines. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Bisexuality: The psychology and politics of an invisible minority* (pp. 263-291). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Firestein, B. (2006). Cultural and relational contexts of bisexual women: Implications for therapy. In In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (pp. 91-117). (2nd ed.) Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Firestein, B. (2007). Cultural and relational contexts of bisexual women: Implications for therapy. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 127-152). New York: Columbia University Press.

Fischer, A. R., & DeBord, K. A. (2007). Perceived conflicts between affirmation of religious diversity and affirmation of sexual diversity: That's perceived. In K. J. Bieschke, R. M. Perez, & K. A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.) (pp. 317-339). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Fish, L.S., & Harvey, R.G. (2005). Nurturing queer youth: Family therapy transformed. New York: W.W. Norton.

Flaks, D., Ficher, I., Masterpasqua, F., & Joseph, G. (1995). Lesbians choosing motherhood: A comparative study of lesbian and heterosexual parents and their children. *Developmental Psychology, 31*, 104-114.

Fontes, L.A. (2008). Interviewing clients across cultures: A practitioner's guide. New York: Guilford Press

Ford, V., (2003). Coming out as lesbian or gay: A potential precipitant of crisis in adolescence. *Journal of Human Behavior*, 8(2-3), 93-110.

Fox, R. (1996). Bisexuality in perspective: A review of theory and research. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Bisexuality: The psychology and politics of an invisible minority* (pp. 3-50). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Fox, R. (2006). Affirmative psychotherapy with bisexual women and bisexual men: An introduction. *Journal of Bisexuality, 6* (1/2), 1-11.

Fraley, S., Mona, L., & Theodore, P. (2007). The sexual lives of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people with disabilities: Psychological perspectives. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy, 4*(1), 15-26.

Friend, R. (1990). Older lesbian and gay people: A theory of successful aging. Journal of *Homosexuality*, 20(3/4), 99-118.

Frost, J. (1997). Group psychotherapy with the gay male: Treatment of choice. Group, 21, 267-285.

Fygetakis, L. M. (1997). Greek American lesbians: Identity odysseys of honorable good girls. In B. Greene (Ed.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 3, Ethnic and cultural diversity among lesbians and gay men* (pp. 152-190). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Gainor, K.A. (2000). Including transgender issues in lesbian, gay, and bisexual psychology: Implications for clinical practice and training. In B. Greene & G.L. Croom (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 5. Education, research, and practice in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered psychology: A resource manual* (pp. 131-160).

Garnets, L., Hancock, K., Cochran, S., Goodchilds, J., & Peplau, L. (1991). Issues in psychotherapy with lesbians and gay men: A survey of psychologists. *American Psychologist*, *46*, 964-972.

Garnets, L., Herek, G. M., & Levy, B. (1992). Violence and victimization of lesbians and gay men: Mental health consequences. In G. M. Herek & K. T. Berrill (Eds.), *The crimes: Confronting prejudice against lesbians and gay men* (pp. 207-226). Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Garnets, L., & Kimmel, D. (1993). Lesbian and gay male dimensions in the psychological study of human diversity. In L. Garnets & D. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay male experiences* (pp. 1-51). New York: Columbia University Press.

Garnets, L. D., & Kimmel, D. C. (2003). Diversity among lesbians, bisexuals, and gay men. In L. D. Garnets & D. C. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian & gay male experiences* (2nd ed.). (pp. 349-355). New York: Columbia University Press.

Gartrell, N., Deck, A., Rodas, C., Peyser, H., & Banks, A. (2005). The National Lesbian Family Study: 4. Internviews with the 10-year old children. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 75 (4), 518-524.

Gates, G. J., & Ost, J. (2004). Gay and lesbian atlas. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press.

Gifford, D., Hertz, F., & Doskow, E. (2010). A legal guide for lesbian and gay couples. Berkeley, CA: Nolo Publishing.

Gilman, S., Cochran, S., Mays, V., Hughes, M., Ostrow, D., & Kessler, R. (2001). Risk of psychiatric disorders among individuals reporting same-sex sexual partners in the National Co-morbidity Survey. *American Journal of Public Health*, *91* (6), 933-939.

Glaus, O. (1988). Alcoholism, chemical dependency, and the lesbian client. Women and Therapy, 8, 131-144.

Glenn, A., & Russell, R. (1986). Heterosexual bias among counselor trainees. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, 25, 222-229.

Gock, T. S. (2001). Asian-Pacific Islander issues: Identity integration and pride. In B. Berzon (Ed.). *Positively gay: New approaches and gay and lesbian life*, Third Edition (pp. 334-341). Berkeley, CA: Celestial Arts.

Goetstouwers, L. (2006). Affirmative psychotherapy with bisexual men. Journal of Bisexuality, 6 (1/2), 85-104.

Golden, C. (1987). Diversity and variability in women's sexual identities. In Boston Women's Psychologies Collective (Eds.), *Lesbian psychologies: Explorations and challenges* (pp. 19-34). Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

Gonsiorek, J. (1991). The empirical basis for the demise of the illness model of homosexuality. In J. Gonsiorek & J. Weinrich (Eds.), *Homosexuality: Research implications for public policy* (pp. 115- 136). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Gonsiorek, J. (1993). Mental health issues of gay and lesbian adolescents. In L. Garnets & D. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay male experiences* (pp. 469-485). New York: Columbia University Press.

Gottlieb, M.S., Schroff, R., Schanker, H.M., Weisman, J.D., Fan, P.T., Wolf, R.A., & Saxon, A. (1981). Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia and mucosal candidiasis in previously healthy homosexual men: Evidence of a new acquired cellular immunodeficiency. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *305* (24), 1425-1431.

Graham, D., Rawlings, E., Halpern, H., & Hermes, J. (1984). Therapists' needs for training in counseling lesbians and gay men. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *15*, 482-496.

Green, R.J. (2004). Risk and resilience in lesbian and gay couples: Comment on Solomon, Rothblum, and Balsam (2004). *Journal of Family Psychology, 18*, 290-292.

Green, R.J. & Mitchell, V. (2002). Gay and lesbian couples in therapy: Homophobia, relationship ambiguity, and social support. In A.S. Gurman & N.S. Jacobson (Eds.), *Clinical handbook of couple therapy* (pp. 546-568). New York: Guilford Press.

Green, J., & Brinkin, L. (1994). *Investigations into discrimination against transgender people*. San Francisco: San Francisco Human rights Commission.

Greenan, D. E. & Tunnell, G. (2003). Couple therapy with gay men. New York: Guilford.

Greene, B. (1994a). Ethnic minority lesbians and gay men: Mental health and treatment issues. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 62*, 243-251.

Greene, B. (1994b). Lesbian and gay sexual orientations: Implications for clinical training, practice, and research. In B. Greene & G. Herek (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 1. Lesbian and gay psychology: Theory, research, and clinical applications* (pp. 1-24). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Greene, B. (1994c). Lesbian women of color: Triple jeopardy. In L. Comas-Diaz & B. Greene (Eds.), *Women of color: Integrating ethnic and gender identities in psychotherapy* (pp. 389-427). New York: Guilford Press.

Greene, B. (1997). Ethnic minority lesbians and gay men: Mental health and treatment issues. In B. Greene (Ed.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 3. Ethnic and cultural diversity among lesbians and gay*

men (pp. 216-239). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Greene, B. (2000). African American lesbian and bisexual women. Journal of Social Issues, 56 (2), 239-250.

Greene, B. (2003). Beyond heterosexism and across the cultural divide – Developing an inclusive lesbian, gay, and bisexual psychology: A look to the future. In L. D. Garnets & D. C. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian & gay male experience* (2nd ed.). (pp. 357-400). New York: Columbia University Press.

Greene, B. (2007). Delivering ethical psychological services to lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (pp. 181-199). (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Greene, B., & Croom, G. (Eds.). (2000). Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 5. *Education, research, and practice in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered psychology: A resource manual.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Greenwald, A., & Banaji, M. R. (1995). Implicit social cognition: Attitudes, self-esteem, and stereotypes. *Psychological Review, 102*, 4-27.

Greenwood, G.L., Relf, M.V., Huang, B., Pollack, L.M., Canchola, J.A., & Catania, J.A. (2002). Battering victimization among a probability-based sample of men who have sex with men. *American Journal of Public Health*, *92* (12), 1964-1969.

Griffin, C., Wirth, M., & Wirth, A. (1996). Beyond acceptance: Parents of lesbians and gays talk about their experiences. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Grov, C., Golub, S., Parsons, J., Brennan, M., & Karpiak, S. (2010). Loneliness and HIV-related stigma explain depression among older HIV-positive adults. *AIDS Care*, *22*(5), 630-639.

Haldeman, D. (1994). The practice and ethics of sexual orientation conversion therapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 62, 221-227.

Haldeman, D. (2001). Therapeutic antidotes: Helpling gay and bisexual men recover from conversion therapies. In A. Shidlo, N. Schroeder, & J. Drescher (Eds.), *Sexual conversion therapy: Ethical, clinical, and research perspectives* (pp. 117-130). New York: Haworth Press.

Haldeman, D.C. (2002). Gay rights, patient rights: The implications of sexual orientation conversion therapy. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *33*(2), 260-263.

Haldeman, D. (2004). When sexual and religious orientations collide: Considerations in working with conflicted same-sex attracted male clients. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 32 (5), 691-715.

Haldeman, D. C. (1996). Spirituality and religion in the lives of lesbians and gay men. In R. P. Cabaj & T.S. Stein (Eds.), *Textbook of homosexuality and mental health* (pp. 881-896). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.

Halpert, S.C., Reinhardt, B., & Toohey, M.J. (2007). Affirmative clinical supervision. In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord. (2007). *Handbookof counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (pp. 341-358). (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Hancock, K. A. (1995). Psychotherapy with lesbians and gay men. In A. D'Augelli & C. Patterson (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities over the life span: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 398-432). New York: Oxford University Press.

Hancock, K. (2000). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual lives: Basic issues in psychotherapy training and practice. In B. Greene & G.L. Croom (Eds.), Psychological Perspectives on Lesbian and Gay Issues: Vol. 5. *Education, research, and practice in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered psychology: A resource manual* (pp. 91-130). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Hanjorgiris, W., Rath, J., O'Neill, J. (2004). Gay men living with chronic illness or disability: A sociocultural, minority group perspective on mental health. *Journal of Lesbian and Gay Social Services*, *17*(2), 25-41.

Harper, G. W., & Schneider, M. (2003). Oppression and discrimination among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered people and communities: A challenge for community psychology. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 31, 243-252.

Harris, J.I., Cook, S.W., & Kashubek-West, S. (2008). Religious attitudes, internalized homophobia, and identity in gay and lesbian adults. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Mental Health*, *12*(3), 205-225.

Hayes, B.G., & Hagedorn, W.B. (2001). Working with the bisexual client: How far have we progressed? *Journal of Humanistic Counseling, Education, and Development, 40* (1), 11-21.

Heatherington, L., & Lavner, J. (2008). Coming to terms with coming out: Review and recommendations for family systems-focused research. *Journal of Family Psychology, 22*(3), 329-343.

Helgeson, V. S. (1994). Prototypes and dimensions of masculinity and femininity. Sex Roles, 31, 653-682.

Helminiak, D.A. (2000). What the Bible really says about homosexuality. New Mexico: Alamo Square Press.

Herek, G.M. (1986). On heterosexual masculinity: Some psychical consequences of the social construction of gender and sexuality. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 29, 563-577.

Herek, G.M. (1990a). Gay people and government security clearance: A social perspective. *American Psychologist*, 45, 1035-1042.

Herek, G.M. (1990b). The context of antigay violence: Notes on cultural and psychological heterosexism. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *5*, 316-333.

Herek, G.M. (1991a). Stigma, prejudice, and violence against lesbians and gay men. In J. Gonsiorek & J. Weinrich (Eds.), *Homosexuality: Research implications for public policy* (pp. 60-80). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Herek, G. M. (1991b). Myths about sexual orientation: A lawyer's guide to social science research. *Law and Sexuality*, *1*, 133-172.

Herek, G.M. (1995). Psychological heterosexism in the United States. In A. D'Augelli & C. Patterson (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities over the life span: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 321-346). New York: Oxford University Press.

Herek, G. M. (1998). Bad science in the service of stigma: A critique of the Cameron group's survey studies. In G. M. Herek (Ed.), *Stigma and sexual orientation: Understanding prejudice against lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals* (pp. 223-255). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Herek, G. M. (1999). AIDS and stigma. American Behavioral Scientist, 42, 1102-1112.

Herek, G.M. (2002). Heterosexuals' attitudes toward bisexual men and women in the United States. *Journal of Sex Research*, 39, 264-274.

Herek, G.M. (2003). Why tell if you're not asked? Self-disclosure, intergroup contact, and heterosexuals' attitudes towards lesbians and gay men. In L.D. Garnets & D.C. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian, gay, and bisexual experiences* (pp. 270-298). (2nd ed.) New York: Columbia University Press.

Herek, G.M. (2006). Legal recognition of same-sex relationships in the United States. *American Psychologist, 61,* 607-621.

Herek, G. M. (2007). Confronting sexual stigma and prejudice: Theory and practice. Journal of Social Issues, 63, 905-

925.

Herek, G. M., & Garnets, L. D. (2007). Sexual orientation and mental health. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 3*, 353-375.

Herek, G. M., Gillis, J. R., & Cogan, J. C. (2009). Internalized stigma among sexual minority adults: Insights from a social psychological perspective. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *56*, 32-43.

Herek, G.M. (2009). Hate crimes and stigma-related experiences among sexual minority adults in the United States: Prevalence estimates from a national probability sample. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *24*, 54-74.

Herek, G.M., Capitanio, J.P., & Widaman, K.F. (2002). HIV-related stigma and knowledge in the United States: Prevalence and trends, 1991-1999. *American Journal of Public Health*, *92* (3), 371-377.

Herek, G.M., Gillis, J., & Cogan, J. (1999). Psychological sequelae of hate crime victimization among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *67*, 945-951.

Herek, G. M., Kimmel, D. C., Amaro, H., & Melton, G. B. (1991). Avoiding heterosexist bias in psychological research. *American Psychologist*, *46*, 957-963.

Hershberger, S., & D'Augelli, A. (1995). The impact of victimization on the mental health and suicidality of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths. *Developmental Psychology*, *31*, 65-74.

Hooker, E. (1957). The adjustment of the male overt homosexual. Journal of Projective Techniques, 21, 18-31.

Horn, S.S. (2007). Adolescents' acceptance of same-sex peers based on sexual orientation and gender expression. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *36*, 363-371.

Horner, E. (2007). Queer identities and bisexual identities: What's the difference? In B. A. Firestein (Ed.). *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 287 – 311). New York: Columbia University Press.

Horowitz, S.M., Weis, D.L., & Laflin, M.T. (2003). Bisexuality, quality of life, lifestyle, and health indicators. *Journal of Bisexuality*, 3 (2), 5-28.

Hunt, B., Matthews, C., Milsom, A., & Lammel, J. (2006). Lesbians with physical disabilities: A qualitative study of their experiences with counseling. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 84, 163-173

lasenza, S. (1989). Some challenges of integrating sexual orientations into counselor training and research. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 68, 73-76.

Israel, G.E., & Tarver, D.E. II. (Eds.). (1997). *Transgender care: Recommended guidelines, practical information, and personal accounts*. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.

Israel, T., Gorcheva, R., Walther, W.A., Sulzner, J.M., & Cohen, J. (2008). Therapists' helpful and unhelpful situations with LGBT clients: An exploratory study. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *39* (3), 361-368.

Israel, T., & Hackett, G. (2004). Counselor education on lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues: Comparing information and attitude exploration. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, 43, 179-191.

Israel, T., & Mohr, J. (2004). Attitudes toward bisexual women and men: Current research, future directions. In R. Fox (Ed.), *Current research on bisexuality* (pp. 117-134). Bingham, NY: Harrington Park Press.

Israel, T., Ketz, K., Detrie, P.M., Burke, M.C., & Shulman, J.L. (2003). Identifying counselor competencies for working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. Journal of Gay and Lesbian Psychotherapy, 7 (4), 3-21.

Israel, T., & Selvidge, M. (2003). Contributions of multicultural counseling to counselor competence with lesbian, gay,

and bisexual clients. Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development, 31, 84-98.

Ivey, A.E., & Ivey, M.B. (2007). *Intentional interviewing and counseling: Facilitating client development in a multicultural society.* (6th ed.) Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.

Jacobs, J., & Freundlich, M. (2006). Achieving permanency for LGBTQ youth. Child Welfare, 85(2), 299-316.

Jennings, K., & Shapiro, P. (2003). Always my child: A parent's guide to understanding your gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgendered, or questioning son or daughter. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Johnson, M.O., & Neilands, T.B. (2007). Coping with HIV Treatment side effects: Conceptualization, measurement, and linkages. *AIDS and Behavior*, *11* (4), 575-585.

Jones, B. E., & Hill, M. J. (1996). African American lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals. In R. P. Cabaj & T. S. Stein (Eds.), *Textbook of homosexuality and mental health* (pp. 549-561). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.

Jordan, K. (2000). Substance abuse among gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, and questioning adolescents. *School Psychology Review, 29* (2), 201.

Kaufman, M., Silverberg, C., Odette, F. (2007). The ultimate guide to sex and disability: For all of us who live with disabilities, chronic pain and illness. San Francisco: Cleis Press

Keefer, B. & Reene, K. (2002). Female adolescence: Difficult for heterosexual girls, hazardous for lesbians. *The Annual of Psychoanalysis*, *30*, pp. 245-252.

Keeton, M. D. (2002). Perceptions of career-related barriers among gay, lesbian, and bisexual individuals. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 63(2-B), 1075. (Transaction Periodicals Consortium, Rutgers University).

Keppel, B., & Firestein, B. (2007). Bisexual inclusion in addressing issues of GLBT aging: Therapy with older bisexual women and men. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 164-185). New York: Columbia University Press.

Kertzner, R.M., Meyer, I.H., Frost, D.M., & Stirratt, M.J. (2009). Social and psychological well-being in lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals: The effects of race, gender, age, and sexual identity. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 79*, 500-510.

Kessler, R., Michelson, K., & Williams, D. (1999). The prevalence, distribution, and mental health correlates of perceived discrimination in the United States. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 40*, 208-230.

Kimmel, D. (1995). Lesbians and gay men also grow old. In L. Bond, S. Cutler, & A. Grams (Eds.), *Promoting successful and productive aging* (pp. 289-303). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Kimmel, D. (2002). Aging and sexual orientation. In B. Jones & M. Hill (Eds.), *Mental health issues in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender communities* (pp. 17-36). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

Kimmel, D. C. (2003). Identifying and addressing health issues of gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender (LGBT) populations in rural communities: Psychological perspectives. In L. D. Garnets & D. C. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian, gay, and bisexual experiences* (2nd ed.). (pp. 435-440). New York: Columbia University Press.

Kimmel, D. C., Rose, T., & David, S. (2006). *Lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender aging: Research and clinical perspectives*. New York: Columbia University Press

Kinsey, A. C., Pomeroy, W. B., & Martin, C. E. (1948). Sexual behavior in the human male. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders.

Kinsey, A. C., Pomeroy, W. B., Martin, C. E. & Gebhard, P. H. (1953). *Sexual behavior in the human female*. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders.

Kirby, K. M. (2002). Gay, lesbian, and bisexual employee issues in the workplace. In D. S. Sandhu (Ed), *Counseling employees: A multifaceted approach* (pp. 169-184). Alexandria, VA, US: American Counseling Association.

Kirkpatrick, M. (1989). Lesbians: A different middle age? In J. M. Oldham & R. S. Liebert (Eds.), *The middle years: New psychoanalytic perspectives* (pp. 135-148). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Kite, M. E. (1994). When perceptions meet reality: Individual differences in reactions to gay men and lesbians. In B. Greene & G. Herek (Eds.), Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 1. Lesbian and gay psychology: Theory, research, and clinical applications (pp. 25-53). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Kite, M. E., & Deaux, K. (1987). Gender belief systems: Homosexuality and the implicit inversion theory. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 11*, 83-96.

Klawitter, M., & Flatt, V. (1998). The effects of state and local antidiscrimination policies for sexual orientation. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 17*, 658-686.

Klein, F. (1993). *The bisexual option*. (2nd ed.). New York: Harrington Park.

Klein, F., Sepekoff, B., & Wolf, T. (1985). Sexual orientation: A multivariable dynamic process. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 11(1/2), 35-49.

Kopelman, L.M. (2002). If HIV/AIDS is punishment, who is bad? Journal of Medicine and Philosophy, 27 (2), 231-243.

Korell, S. C., & Lorah, P. (2007). An overview of affirmative psychotherapy and counseling with transgender clients. In K. J. Bieschke, R. M. Perez, & K. A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.) (pp. 271-288). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Krehely, J. (2009). How to close the health disparities gap: GLBT issues. Center for American Progress. Retrieved from http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2009/12/pdf/lgbt_health_disparities_race.pdf (http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2009/12/pdf/lgbt_health_disparities_race.pdf)

Kulkin, H., Chauvin, E., & Percle, G. (2000). Suicide among gay and lesbian adolescents and young adults: A review of the literature. *Journal of Homosexuality, 40*(1), 1-29.

Kurdek, L. (1988). Perceived social support in gays and lesbians in cohabiting relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54*, 504-509.

Kurdek, L. (1995). Lesbian and gay couples. In A. D'Augelli & C. Patterson (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities over the life span: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 243-261). New York: Oxford University Press.

Kurdek, L. (2004). Are gay and lesbian cohabitating couples really different from heterosexual married couples? *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 66, 880-900.

Kurdek L.A., & Schmitt, J. P. (1987). Perceived emotional support from family and friends in members of homosexual, married, and heterosexual cohabiting couples. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 14, 57-68.

Kweskin, S., & Cook, A. (1982). Heterosexual and homosexual mothers' self-described sex-role behavior and ideal sex-role behavior in children. *Sex Roles*, *8*, 967-975.

Laird, J. (1993). Lesbian and gay families. In F. Walsh (Ed.), *Normal family processes* (2nd ed., pp. 282-328). New York: Norton.

Laird, J., & Green, R. J. (1996). Lesbians and gays in couples and families: Central issues. In J. Laird & R. J. Green (Eds.), *Lesbians and gays in couples and families: A handbook for therapists* (pp. 1-12). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Lambert, S. (2005). Gay and lesbian families: What we know and where to go from here. The Family Journal, 13, 43-

51.

Lareau, A. (2003). Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Laryea, M., & Gien, L. (1993). The impact of HIV-positive diagnosis on the individual, part 1: Stigma, rejection, and loneliness. *Clinical Nursing Research*, *2* (3), 245-263.

Lasser, J., Tharinger, D., & Cloth, A. (2006). Gay, lesbian, and bisexual youth. In G. G. Bear & K. M. Minke (Eds.), *Children's needs III: Development, prevention, and intervention* (pp. 419-430). Washington, DC: National Association of School Psychologists.

Laumann, E. O., Gagnon, J. H., Michael, R. T., & Michaels, S. (1994). *The social organization of sexuality: Sexual practice in the United States*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Lee, J. (1987). What can homosexual aging studies contribute to theories of aging? *Journal of Homosexuality, 13(*4), 43-7I.

Lev, A. I. (2004). *Transgender emergence: Therapeutic guidelines for working with gender-variant people and their families*. Binghampton, NY: Haworth.

Lev, A.I. (2007). Transgender communities: Developing identity through connection. In K. J. Bieschke, R. M. Perez, & K. A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.). (pp. 147-175). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Levitt, H.M., & Williams, D.C. (2010). Facilitating client change: Principles based upon the experience of eminent psychotherapists. *Psychotherapy*, 20(3), 337-352.

Levy, E. (1992). Strengthening the coping resources of lesbian families. Families in Society, 73, 23-3 l.

Lidderdale, M. A., Croteau, J. M., Anderson, M. Z., Tovar-Murray, D., & Davis, J. M. (2007). Building lesbian, gay, and bisexual vocational psychology: A theoretical model of workplace sexual identity management. In K. J. Bieschke, R. M. Perez, & K. A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.) (pp. 245-270). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Liddle, B. (1996). Therapist sexual orientation, gender, and counseling practices as they relate to ratings of helpfulness by gay and lesbian clients. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *43*, 394-401.

Liddle, B. (1997). Gay and lesbian clients? Selection of therapists and utilization of therapy. Psychotherapy, 34, 11-18

Liddle, B. (2007). Mutual bonds: Lesbian women's lives and communities. In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.). (pp. 51-69). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Linton, S. (1998). Claiming disability: Knowledge and identity. New York: New York University Press.

Lombardi, E. (2001). Enhancing transgender health care. American Journal of Public Health, 91, 869-872.

Lofgren-Martenson, L. (2009). The invisibility of young homosexual women and men with intellectual disabilities. *Sexuality and Disability*, 27(1) 21-26.

MacKellar, D., Valleroy, L., Secura, G., Behel, S., Bingham, T., Celentano, D., Koblin, B., LaLota, M., Shehan, D., Thiede, H., & Torian, L.V. (2007). Perceptions of lifetime risk and actual risk for acquiring HIV among young men who have sex with men. *AIDS and Behavior, 11* (2), 263-270.

Malcolm, A., Aggleton, P., Bronfman, M., Galvao, J., Mane, P., & Verrall, J. (1998). HIV-related stigmatization and discrimination: Its forms and contexts. *Critical Public Health*, *8* (4), 347-370.

Mallon, G. (2002). There's no place like home: Achieving safety, permanency, and well-being for lesbian and gay adolescents in out-of-home care settings. *Child Welfare*, *81* (2), 407-439.

Manalansan, M. (1996). Double minorities: Latino, Black, and Asian men who have sex with men. In R. Savin-Williams & K. Cohen (Eds.), *The lives of lesbians, gays, and bisexuals: Children to adults* (pp. 393-415). Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace.

Markowitz, F. (1998). The effects of stigma on the psychological well-being and life satisfaction of persons with mental illness. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 39, 335-347.

Markowitz, L. (1991, January/February). Homosexuality: Are we still in the dark? *Family Therapy Networker, 15,* 26-29, 31-35.

Markowitz, L. (1995, July). Bisexuality: Challenging our either/or thinking. In the Family, 1, 6-11, 23.

Martell, C. (2007, August). *Considerations of class: Personal history and individual identity*. Division 44 Presidential Address presented at the conference of the American Psychological Association, San Francisco.

Martell, C., & Prince, S. (2005). Treating infidelity in same-sex couples. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 61* (11), 1429-1438.

Martin, A., & Hetrick, E. (1988). The stigmatization of the gay and lesbian adolescent. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 15(1/2), 163-183.

Martin, C. L. (1990). Attitudes and expectations about children with nontraditional and traditional gender roles. *Sex Roles*, 22, 151-165.

Mathews, C.R. (2007). Affirmative lesbian, gay, and bisexual counseling with all clients. In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.). (pp. 201-219). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Mathews, C.R., Selvidge, M., & Fisher, K. (2005). Addictions counselors' attitudes and behaviors toward gay, lesbian, and bisexual clients. *Journal of Counseling and Development, 83*, 57-65.

Matteson, D. (1996). Counseling and psychotherapy with bisexual and exploring clients. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Bisexuality: The psychology and politics of an invisible minority* (pp. 185-213). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Matteson, D. (1999). Intimate bisexual couples. In J. Carlson & L. Sperry (Eds.), *The intimate couple* (pp. 439-459). Philadelphia, PA: Brunner/Mazel.

Mayer, K.H., & Pizer, H.F. (2000). *Theemergence of AIDS: The impact on immunology, microbiology, and public health.* Washington, DC: American Public Health Association.

Maynard, E.A. (2001). *Gay and lesbian Christians: Faith and coping in the church*. Unpublished doctoral manuscript, Graduate School of Psychology, Fuller Theological Seminary, Pasadena, CA. (Available from: Fuller Theological Seminary McAlister Library, 135 N. Oakland Ave., Pasadena, CA 91102)

Mays, V.M., & Cochran, S.D. (2001). Mental health correlates of perceived discrimination among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91, 1869-1876.

Mays, V.M., Cochran, S.D., & Roeder, M.R. (2003). Depressive distress and prevalence of common problems among homosexually active African American women in the United States. *Journal of Psychology and Human Sexuality*, 15, 27-46.

McCreary, D. R. (1994). The male role and avoiding femininity. Sex Roles, 31, 517-531.

McDaniel, J. (1995). The lesbian couples' guide: Finding the right woman and creating a life together. New York:

Harper Collins.

McDougal, G. (1993). Therapeutic issues with gay and lesbian elders. Clinical Gerontologist, 14, 45-57.

McWhirter, D., Mattison, A. (1984). The male couple. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Meyer, E.J. (2009). Gender, bullying, and harassment. New York: Teacher's College Press.

Meyer, I. (1995). Minority stress and mental health in gay men. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 7, 9-25.

Meyer, I. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, *129*, 674-697.

Meyer, I., & Dean, L. (1998). Internalized homophobia, intimacy, and sexual behavior among gay and bisexual men. In G. Herek (Ed.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 4. Stigma and sexual orientation: Understanding prejudice against lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals* (pp. 160-186). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Meyer, I.H., Dietrich, J., & Schwartz, S. (2008). Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders and suicide attempts in diverse lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations. *American Journal of Public Health*, *98*, 1004-1006.

Millett, G.A., Flores, S.A., Peterson, J.L., & Bakeman, R. (2007). Explaining disparities in HIV infection among black and white men who have sex with men: A meta-analysis of HIV risk behaviors. *AIDS*, *21*(15), 2083-2091.

Mintz, L.B., & Bieschke, K.J. (2009). Counseling psychology model training values statement addressing diversity: Development and introduction to the major contribution. *The Counseling Psychologist*, *37*, 634-640.

Mohr, J. J. & Fassinger, R. E. (2003). Self-acceptance and self-disclosure of sexual orientation in lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults: An attachment perspective. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *50* (4), 482-495.

Mohr, J.J., Israel, T., & Sedlacek, W.E. (2001). Counselors' attitudes regarding bisexuality as predictors of counselors' clinical responses: An analogue study of a female bisexual client. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 48* (2), 212-222.

Mohr, J.J., & Rochlen, A.B. (1999). Measuring attitudes regarding bisexuality in lesbian, gay male, and heterosexual populations. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 46*, 353-369.

Moradi, B., van den Berg, J.J., & Epting, F.R. (2009). Threat and guilt aspects of internalized antilesbian and gay prejudice: An application of personal construct theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *56*(1), 119-131.

Morales, E. (1989). Ethnic minority families and minority gays and lesbians. Marriage and Family Review, 14, 217-239.

Morales, E. (1996). Gender roles among Latino gay and bisexual men: Implications for family and couple relationships. In J. Laird & R. J. Green (Eds.), *Lesbians and gays in couples and families: A handbook for therapists* (pp. 272-297). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Morin, S. (1977). Heterosexual bias in psychological research on lesbianism and male homosexuality. *American Psychologist*, 32, 629-637.

Morris, J.F., Waldo, C.R., & Rothblum, E.D. (2001). A model of predictors and outcomes of outness among lesbian and bisexual women. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 71* (1), 61-71.

Morrow, S. (1998). Toward a new paradigm in counseling psychology training and education. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 26, 127-130.

Morrow, S. (2000). First do no harm: Therapist issues in psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, and bisexual client. In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord. (2000). *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients* (pp. 137-156). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Moskowitz, J.T., Folkman, S., Collette, L., & Vittinghoff, D. (1996). Coping and mood during AIDS-related caregiving and bereavement. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *18* (1), 49-57.

Moskowitz, J.T., & Wrubel, J. (2005). Coping with HIV as a chronic illness: A longitudinal analysis of illness appraisals. *Psychology and Health*, *20* (4), 509-531.

Mulick, P.S., & Wright, L.W., Jr. (2002). Examining the existence of biphobia in the heterosexual and homosexual populations. *Journal of Bisexuality*, 2 (4), 45-64.

Murphy, B. (1994). Difference and diversity: Gay and lesbian couples. Journal of Gay and Lesbian Social Services, 1(2), 5-31.

Murphy, J.A., Rawlings, E.I., & Howe, S.R. (2002). A survey of clinical psychologists on treating lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 33, 183-189.

Murphy, T.F. (1992). Redirecting sexual orientation: Techniques and justifications. Journal of Sex Research, 29, 501-523.

National Association of Social Workers. (1996). *Code of ethics of the National Association of Social Workers*. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved November 18, 2000, from the World Wide Web: http://www.naswdc.org/code.htm (http://www.naswdc.org/code.htm)

Nauta, M. M., Saucier, A. M., & Woodard, L. E. (2001). Interpersonal influences on students' academic and career decisions: The impact of sexual orientation. *Career Development Quarterly.* 49, 352-362.

Nicolosi, J. (1991). Reparative therapy of male homosexuality. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

Noelle, M. (2002). The ripple effect of the Matthew Shepard murder: Impact on the assumptive worlds of members of the targeted group. *American Behavioral Scientist*, *46*, 27-50.

Nylund, D. (2007). Reading Harry Potter: Popular culture, queer theory and the fashioning of youth identity. *Journal of Systemic Therapies*, 26, 13-24.

Nystrom, N. (1997, February). *Mental health experiences of gay men and lesbians*. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Houston, TX.

O'Connor, M.F. (1997). Treating the psychological consequences of HIV. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

O'Neill, T. & Hird, M. (2001). Double damnation: Gay disabled men and the negotiation of masculinity. In K. Backett-Milburn and L. McKie (Eds). *Constructing gendered bodies* New York: Palgrave Macmillan

O'Toole, C. (2003). No reflection in the mirror: Challenges for disabled lesbians accessing mental health services. *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, 7(1), 35-49

Oelklaus, M., Williams, C., & Clay, P. (2007). Managing long-term side effects of HIV therapy. Retrieved from www.thebody.com/content/art40471.html (http://www.thebody.com/content/art40471.html)

Olkin, R. (1999). What psychotherapists should know about disability. New York: Guilford Press.

Ossana, S. (2000). Relationship and couples counseling. In R.M. Perez, K.A. DeBord, & K.J. Bieschke (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients* (pp. 275-302). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Oswald, R.F. (2002). Inclusion and belonging in the family rituals of gay and lesbian people. *Journal of Family Psychology*, *16* (4), 428-436.

Oswald, R.F., & Culton, L.S. (2003). Under the rainbow: Rural gay life and its relevance for family providers. Family

Relations: Journal of Applied Family and Child Studies, 52, 72-81.

O'Toole, C. J., & Bregante, J. (1992). Lesbians with disabilities. Sexuality and Disability, 10, 163-172.

Oxley, E., & Lucius, C.A. (2000). Looking both ways: Bisexuality and therapy. In C. Neal & D. Davies (Eds.), *Issues in therapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (pp. 115-127). Buckingham, England, United Kingdom: Open University Press.

Pachankis, J., & Goldfried, M. (2004). Clinical issues in working with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training, 41* (3), 227-246.

Page, E. (2004). Mental health services for bisexual women and bisexual men: An empirical study. *Journal of Bisexuality, 4* (1/2), 137-160.

Page, E. (2007). Bisexual womens' and mens' experiences of psychotherapy. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming invisible:* Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan (pp. 52-71). New York: Columbia University Press.

Paige, R. (2005). Proceedings of the American Psychological Association, Incorporated, for the legislative year 2004. Minutes of the meeting of the Council of Representatives July 28 & 30, 2004, Honolulu, HI. *American Psychologist*, 60 (5), 436-511.

Paige, R. (2007). Proceedings of the American Psychological Association, Incorporated, for the legislative year 2006. Minutes of the meeting of the Council of Representatives, February 17-19, 2006, Washington, DC and August 17 and 21, 2006, New Orleans, LA; and minutes of the February, June, August, and December meetings of the Board of Directors. *American Psychologist*, 62 (5), 400-490.

Pallotta-Chiarolli, M. (2005). When our children come out: How to support gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgendered young people. Lane Cove, New South Wales, Australia: Finch Publishing.

Patterson, C.J. (1997). Children of lesbian and gay parents. In T. Ollendick & R. Prinz (Eds.), *Advances in clinical child psychology*, Volume 19 (pp. 235-282). New York: Plenum Press.

Patterson, C.J. (2000). Family relationships of lesbians and gay men. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 62, 1052-1069.

Patterson, C.J. (2004). Gay fathers. In M.E. Lamb (Ed.), *The role of the father in child development* (pp. 397-416). (4th ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Patterson, C.J. (2005). Lesbian and gay parents and their children: Summary of research findings. In *Lesbian and gay parenting* (pp. 5-22). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Patterson, C.J. (2007). Lesbian and gay family issues in the context of changing legal and social policy environments. In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender clients* (2nd ed.). (pp. 359-377). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Patterson, C.J., Fulcher, M., & Wainwright, J. (2002). Children of lesbian and gay parents: Research, law, and policy. In B.L. Bottoms, M.B. Kovera, & B.D. McAuliff (Eds.), *Children, social science, and the law* (pp. 176-199). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Paul, J. P., Hays, R. B., & Coates, T. J. (1995). The impact of the HIV epidemic in U.S. gay male communities. In A. R. D'Augelli & C. J. Patterson (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities over the lifespan: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 347-397). New York: Oxford University Press.

Pence, B.W., Miller, W.C., Whetten, K., Eron, J.J., & Gaynes, B.N. (2006). Prevalence of DSM-IV-defined mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders in an HIV clinic in the Southeastern United States. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome*, 42 (3), 298-306.

Peplau, L.A. (1991). Gay and lesbian relationships. In J. Gonsiorek & J. Weinrich (Eds.), *Homosexuality: Implications for research and public policy* (pp. 177-196). Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Peplau, L. A., & Cochran, S.D. (1990). A relational perspective on homosexuality. In D. McWhirter, S.A. Sanders, & J. M. Reinisch (Eds.), *Homosexuality/heterosexuality: Concepts of sexual orientation* (pp. 321-349). New York: Oxford University Press.

Peplau, L. A., & Fingerhut, A. (2004). The paradox of the lesbian worker. Journal of Social Issues, 60, 719-735.

Peplau, L.A., & Garnets, L.D. (2000). A new paradigm for understanding women's sexuality and sexual orientation. *Journal of Social Issues*. *56*, 329-350.

Peplau, L., Veniegas, R., & Campbell, S. (1996). Gay and lesbian relationships. In R. Savin-Williams & K. Cohen (Eds.), *The lives of lesbians, gays, and bisexuals: Children to adults* (pp. 250-273). Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace.

Perrin, E.C. (2002). Sexual orientation in child and adolescent health care. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Perrin, E.C., & the Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health. (2002). Technical report: Coparent or second-parent adoption by same-sex parents. *Pediatrics*, *109*, 341-344.

Pierret, J. (2007). An analysis over time (1990-2000) of the experiences of living with HIV. *Social Science and Medicine*, 65 (8), 1595-1605.

Phillips, J.C. (2000). Training issues and considerations. In K.J. Bieschke, R.M. Perez, & K.A. DeBord (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling and psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients* (pp. 337-358). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Phillips, J.C., & Fischer, A. (1998). Graduate students' training experiences with lesbian, gay, and bisexual issues. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 26, 712-734.

Pilkington, N., & Cantor, J. (1996). Perceptions of heterosexual bias in professional psychology programs: A survey of graduate students. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 27, 604-612.

Pillard, R. (1988). Sexual orientation and mental disorder. Psychiatric Annals, 18, 51-56.

Pope, M., Barret, B., Szymanski, D. M., Chung, Y. B., McLean, R., Singaravelu, H., & Sanabria, S. (2004). Culturally appropriate career counseling with gay and lesbian clients. *Career Development Quarterly*, *53*, 158-177.

Pope, M. (1995). Career interventions for gay and lesbian clients: A synopsis of practice knowledge and research needs. *Career Development Quarterly, 44*, 191-203.

Prince, J. (1995). Influences on the career development of gay men. Career Development Quarterly. 44, 168-177.

Quam, J., & Whitford, G. (1992). Adaptation and age-related expectations of older gay and lesbian adults. *Gerontologist*, *32*, 367-374.

Ragins, B. R., & Cornwell, J. M. (2001). Pink triangles: Antecedents and consequences of perceived workplace discrimination against gay and lesbian employees. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*, 1244-1261.

Ragins, B. R., Singh, R., & Cornwell, J. M. (2007). Making the invisible visible: Fear and disclosure of sexual orientation at work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *92*, 1103-1118.

Raj, R. (2002). Towards a transpositive therapeutic model: Developing clinical sensitivity and cultural competence in the effective support of transsexual and transgender clients. *International Journal of Transgenderism*, 62. Retrieved July 8, 2007, at http://www.symposion.comijt/ijtvo06no02_04.htm (http://www.symposion.comijt/ijtvo06no02_04.htm)

Ray, N. (2006). Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth: An epidemic of homelessness. New York: National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Policy Institute and the National Coalition of the Homeless. Retrieved on January 14, 2010 from http://www.thetaskforce.org/reports_and_research/homeless_youth (http://www.thetaskforce.org/reports and research/homeless youth)

Reid, J. (1995). Development in late life: Older lesbian and gay lives. In A. D'Augelli & C. Patterson (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, and bisexual identities over the life span: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 215-240). New York: Oxford University Press.

Ridley, C.R., Li, L.C., & Hill, C.L. (1998). Multicultural assessment: Reexamination, reconceptualization, and practical application. *The Counseling Psychologist*, *26*(6), 827-910.

Ritieni, A., Moskowitz, J., & Tholandi, M. (2008). HIV/AIDS misconceptions among Latinos: Findings from a population-based survey of California adults. *Health Education and Behavior*, *35* (2), 245-259.

Ritter, K.Y., & Terndrup, A.I. (2002). Handbook of affirmative psychotherapy with lesbians and gay man. New York: Guilford Press.

Rivers, I. (2004). Recollections of bullying at school and their long-term implications for lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals. *The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention*, *25* (4), 169-175.

Rolland, J. (1994). In sickness and in health: The impact of illness on couples' relationships. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 20, 327-347.

Rooney, S. (2003). Comparing bisexual with lesbian and gay youth: Educational, interpersonal, and career trajectories. Paper presented at the 111th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association, Toronto, Ontario.

Rosario, M., Schrimshaw, E, Hunter, J., & Braun, L. (2006). Sexual identity development among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths: Consistency and change over time. *Journal of Sex Research*, 43 (1), 46-58.

Rosario, M., Schrimshaw, E., & Hunter, J. (2006). Bisexual men: Longitudinal associations of mental health, substance abuse, and the coming-out process. *AIDS Education and Prevention, 18* (5), 444-460.

Rosario, M., Schrimshaw, E. W., & Hunter, J. (2004). Ethnic/racial differences in the coming out process of lesbian, gay and bisexual youths: A comparison of sexual identity development over time. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 10(3), 215-228.

Ross, M. (1990). The relationship between life events and mental health in homosexual men. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 46*, 402-411.

Ross, M.W., & Paul, J.P. (1992). Beyond gender: The basis of sexual attraction in bisexual men and women. *Psychological Reports*, *71*, 1283-1290.

Rostosky, S.S., Riggle, E.D.B., Horne, S.G., & Miller, A.D. (2009). Marriage amendments and psychological distress in lesbian, gay, and bisexual (LGB) adults. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *56*, 56-66.

Rothblum, E. (1994). "I only read about myself on bathroom walls": The need for research on the mental health of lesbians and gay men. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 62*, 213-220.

Rothblum, E. D., Balsam, K. F., & Mickey, R. M. (2004). Brothers and sisters of lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals as a demographic comparison group: An innovative research methodology to examine social change. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 40, 283-301.

Rothblum, E., & Bond, L. (Eds.). (1996). Preventing heterosexism and homophobia. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Rotheram-Borus, M., Hunter, J., & Rosario, M. (1994). Suicidal behavior and gay-related stress among gay and

bisexual male adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Research 9, 498-508.

Rotheram-Borus, M., Rosario, M., Van-Rossem, R., Reid, H., & Gillis, R. (1995). Prevalence, course, and predictors of multiple problem behaviors among gay and bisexual male adolescents. *Developmental Psychology*, *31*, 75-85.

Russell, G.M. (1996). Internalized classism: The role of class in the development of self. *Women and therapy, 18*, 59-71.

Russell, G. M. (2000). *Voted out: The psychological consequences of anti-gay politics*. New York: New York University Press.

Russell, G. M. & Bohan, J. S. (2005). The gay generation gap: Communicating across the LGBT generational divide. *Angles: The Policy Journal of the Institute for Gay and Lesbian Strategic Studies*, *8* (1), 1-8.

Russell, S., Franz, B., & Driscoll, A. (2001). Same-sex romantic attraction and experiences of violence in adolescence. *American Journal of Public Health*, *91*(6), 903-906.

Russell, G.M., & Kelly, N.H. (2003). Subtle stereotyping: The media, homosexuality, and the priest sexual abuse scandal. Amherst, MA: Institute for Gay and Lesbian Strategic Studies. Available at http://www.iglss.org/media/files/MediaStereotype.pdf (http://www.iglss.org/media/files/MediaStereotype.pdf)

Russell, G. M., & Richards, J. A. (2003). Stressor and resilience factors for lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals confronting antigay politics. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 31*, 313-328.

Rust, P. (1996a). Managing multiple identities: Diversity among bisexual women and men. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Bisexuality: The psychology and politics of an invisible minority* (pp. 53-83). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Rust, P. (1996b). Monogamy and polyamory: Relationship issues for bisexuals. In B. A. Firestein (Ed.), *Bisexuality: The psychology and politics of an invisible minority* (pp. 127-148). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Rust, P.C. (2000a). Popular images and the growth of bisexual community and visibility. In P.C. Rust (Ed.), *Bisexuality in the United States: A social science reader* (pp. 537-553). New York: Columbia University Press.

Rust, P.C. (2000b). Review of statistical findings about bisexual behavior, feelings, and identities. In P.C. Rust (Ed.), *Bisexuality in the United States: A social science reader* (pp. 129-184). New York: Columbia University Press.

Rust, P.C. (2007). The construction and reconstruction of bisexuality: Inventing and reinventing the self. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 3-27). New York: Columbia University Press.

Rutter, P.A., Estrada, D., Ferguson, L.K., & Diggs, G.A. (2008). Sexual orientation and counselor competency: The impact of training on enhancing awareness, knowledge, and skills. *The Journal of LGBT Issues in Counseling, 2*, 109-125.

Saad, C. (1997). Disability and the lesbian, gay man, or bisexual individual. In M. Sipski & S. C. Alexander (Eds.), Sexual function in people with disability and chronic illness: A health professional's guide (pp. 413-427). Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen.

Saewyc, E. M. (2006). Pregnancy among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adolescents: Influences of stigma, sexual abuse, and sexual orientation. In A. M. Omoto & H. S. Kurtzman (Eds.), *Sexual orientation and mental health: Examining identity and development in lesbian, gay, and bisexual people* (pp. 95-116). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Safren, S., & Pantalone, D. (2006). Social anxiety and barriers to resilience among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adolescents. In A. M. Omoto & H. S. Kurtzman (Eds.), *Sexual orientation and mental health: Examining identity and development in lesbian, gay, and bisexual people* (pp. 55-71). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Sanchez, F.J., Westefeld, J.S., Liu, W.M., & Vilain, E. (2010). Masculine gender role conflict and negative feelings

about being gay. Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 41(2), 104-111.

Satre, D. (2006). Use and misuse of alcohol and drugs. In D. Kimmel, T. Rose, & S. David (Eds.), *Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender aging: Research and clinical perspectives* (pp. 131-151). New York: Columbia University Press.

Savin-Williams, R. (1990). Gay and lesbian youth: Expressions of identity. New York: Hemisphere.

Savin-Williams, R. (1994). Verbal and physical abuse as stressors in the lives of lesbian, gay male, and bisexual youths: Associations with school problems, running away, substance abuse, prostitution, and suicide. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 62, 261-269.

Savin-Williams, R.C. (1996). Ethnic- and sexual-minority youth. In R. C. Savin-Williams & C. M. Cohen (Eds.), *The lives of lesbians, gays, and bisexuals: Children to adults* (pp. 152-165). Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Press.

Savin-Williams, R. (1998). " ... and then 1 became gay": Young men's stories. New York: Routledge.

Savin-Williams, R., & Cohen, K. (Eds.). (1996). *The lives of lesbians, gays, and bisexuals: Children to adults*. Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace.

Savin-Williams, R., & Dube, E. (1998). Parental reactions to their child's disclosure of gay/lesbian identity. *Family Relations*, 47, 1-7.

Savin-Williams, R. (2001). Suicide attempts among sexual-minority youths: Population and measurements issues. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *69*, 983-991.

Savin-Williams, R. C. (2003). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths' relationships with their parents. In L. D. Garnets & D. C. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian, gay, and bisexual experiences* (2nd ed., pp. 299-326). New York: Columbia University Press.

Schmidt, C. (2004). The effects of simultaneous developmental processes: The prediction of career development outcomes for lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 65(3-A), 842.

Scott, R.L. (2006). Promoting well-being: An ecology of intervening with African American bisexual clients. *Journal of Bisexuality*, 6 (1/2), 65-84.

Scott, R.L. (2007). Addressing social invalidation to promote well-being for multiracial bisexual of African descent. In B. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible: Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan* (pp. 207-228) New York: Columbia University Press.

Selvidge, M.M.D., Matthews, C.R., & Bridges, S.K. (2008). The relationship of minority stress and flexible coping to psychological well being in lesbian and bisexual women. *Journal of Homosexuality, 55*(3), 450-470.

Shapiro, J. P. (1993). No pity: People with disabilities forging a new civil rights movement. New York: Times Books.

Sherry, A., Whilde, M.R., & Patton, J. (2005). Gay, lesbian, and bisexual training competencies in American Psychological Association accredited graduate programs. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training, 42*, 116-120.

Shuttleworth, R. (2007). Introduction to special issue: Critical research and policy debates in disability and sexuality studies. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, *4*(1), 1-14.

Shidlo, A. (1994). Internalized homophobia: Conceptual and empirical issues in measurement. In B. Greene & G. M. Herek (Eds.), *Lesbian and gay psychology: Theory, research, and clinical applications* (pp. 176-205). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Shidlo, A., & Schroeder, M. (2002). Changing sexual orientation: A consumer's report. *Professional Psychology:* Research and Practice, 33 (3), 249-259.

Shively, M. G., & DeCecco, J. P. (1977). Components of sexual identity. Journal of Homosexuality, 3, 41-48.

Simoni, J.M. (2000). Confronting heterosexism in the teaching of psychology. In B. Greene & G.L. Croom (Eds.), *Psychological Perspectives on Lesbian and Gay Issues: Vol. 5. Education, research, and practice in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered psychology: A resource manual* (pp. 74-90). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Simoni, J.M., & Pantalone, D.W. (2005). HIV disclosure to sexual partners and safer sex. In S.C. Kalichman (Ed.), *Positive prevention: Reducing HIV transmission among people living with HIV/AIDS* (pp. 65-98). New York: Plenum Press.

Slater, S. (1995). The lesbian family life cycle. New York: Free Press.

Smith, A. (1997). Cultural diversity and the coming-out process: Implications for clinical practice. In B. Greene (Ed.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay issues: Vol. 3. Ethnic and cultural diversity among lesbians and gay men* (pp. 279-300). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Solarz, A. L. (1999). Lesbian health: Current assessment and directions for the future. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Solorio, M., Milburn, N., & Weiss, R. (2006). Newly homeless youth STD testing pattern over time. *Journal of Adolescent health*, 39 (3), 9-16.

Spitzer, R.L. (2003). Can some gay men and lesbians change their sexual orientation? *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 32 (5), 403-417.

Spong, J.S. (1992). Rescuing the Bible from fundamentalism: A Bishop rethinks the meaning of Scripture. San Francisco, CA: Harper.

Stein, T.S. (1996). Homosexuality and homophobia in men. Psychiatric Annals, 26, 37-40.

Strommen, E. (1993). "You're a what?": Family member reactions to the disclosure of homosexuality. In L. Garnets & D. Kimmel (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on lesbian and gay male experiences* (pp. 248-266). New York: Columbia University Press.

Sullivan, M. & Wodarski, J. (2002). Social alienation in gay youth. *Journal of Human Behavior and the Social Environment*, *5*(1), 1-17.

Swann, S., & Spivey, C. (2004). The relationship between self-esteem and lesbian identity during adolescence. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*, *21*(6), 629-646.

Swartz, D. B. (1995). Cultural implications of audiological deficits on the homosexual male. *Sexuality and Disability,* 13, 159-181.

Szymanski, D.M., & Carr, E.R. (2008). The roles of gender role conflict and internalized heterosexism in gay and bisexual men's psychological distress: Testing two mediation models. *Journal of Men and Masculinity*, 9 (1), 40-54.

Szymanski, D.M., & Gupta, A. (2009). Examining the relationship between multiple internalized oppressions and African American lesbian, gay, bisexual, and questioning persons' self-esteem and psychological distress. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 56* (1), 110-118.

Tasker, F. (1999). Children in lesbian-led families—A review. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 4, 153-166.

Thomas, S. (2002). Gay, lesbian, bisexual, and questioning youth. In J. Sandoval (Ed.), *Handbook of crisis counseling, intervention, and prevention in the schools* (2nd ed.) (pp. 301-322). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Thompson, D. (1994). The sexual experiences of men with learning disabilities having sex with men: Issues for HIV prevention. *Sexuality and Disabilities*, *12*, 221-242.

Throckmorton, W.L. (2007, August). Sexual identity therapy guidelines: A framework for navigating religious conflicts. In M.A. Yarhouse & A.L. Beckstead (Chairs), *Sexual identity therapy to address religious and spiritual conflicts*. A symposium presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, San Francisco, California.

Tomlinson, M. J., & Fassinger, R. E. (2003). Career development, lesbian identity development, and campus climate among lesbian college students. *Journal of College Student Development*, *44*, 845-860.

Tozer, E.E., & Hayes, J.A. (2004). Why do individuals seek conversion therapy? The role of religiosity, internalized homonegativity, and identity development. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 32 (5), 716-740.

Turk-Charles, S., Rose, T., & Gatz, M. (1996). The significance of gender in the treatment of older adults. In L. Carstensen, B. Adelstein, & L. Dornbrand (Eds.), *The handbook of clinical gerontology* (pp. 107-128). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Tuttle, G., & Pillard, R. (1991). Sexual orientation and cognitive abilities. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 20, 307-318.

Ubaldo, L., & Drescher, J. (Eds.). (2004). Transgender subjectivities: A clinician's guide. New York: Haworth Press.

Urbina, I. (2007). Gay youths find place to call home in specialty shelters. The New York Times, 156(53, 947).

Van Leeuwen, J., Boyle, S., Salomonsen-Sautel, S., Baker, D., Garcia, J., Hoffman, A., & Hopfer, C. (2006). Lesbian, gay, and bisexual homeless youth: An eight-city public health perspective. *Child Welfare*, *85*(2), 151-170.

VandenBos, G.R. (2007). APA dictionary of psychology. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Van Puymbroeck, C. M. (2002). Career development of lesbian, gay, and bisexual undergraduates: An exploratory study. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *62*(12-B), 5982. (UMI No. AAI335159)

Wallis, J. (2005). God's politics: A new vision for faith and politics in America. San Francisco, CA: Harper.

Walters, K.L. (1997). Urban lesbian and gay American Indian identity: Implications for mental health delivery. In L.B. Brown (Ed.), *Two spirit people: American Indian lesbian women and gay men* (pp. 43-65). Binghamton, NY: Haworth.

Wardenski, J. (2005). A minor exception? The impact of Lawrence v. Texas on LGBT youth. *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*, 95(4), 1363-1410.

Weitzman, G. (2006). Therapy with clients who are bisexual and polyamorous. Journal of Bisexuality, 6 (1/2), 137-164.

Weitzman, G. (2007). Counseling bisexuals in polyamorous relationships. In B. A. Firestein (Ed.), *Becoming visible:* Counseling bisexuals across the lifespan (pp. 312-335). New York: Columbia University Press.

Weston, K. (1992). Families we choose. New York: Columbia University Press.

Whitney, S., Mobley, M., & Chung, Y. (2007). Defining sexual minority adolescents: GLB and same-sex attractions—examining risks and outcomes. *American Psychological Association* (Conference Abstract), p. 2.

Williams, J. (2007). Review of gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender people with developmental disabilities and mental retardation: Stories of the Rainbow Support Group. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy, 4*(1), 108-109

Wilson, I., Griffin, C., Wren, B. (2005). The interaction between young people with atypical gender identity organization and their peers. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *10*(3), 307-315.

Winegarten, B., Cassie, N., Markowski, K., Kozlowski, J., & Yoder, J. (1994, August). Aversive heterosexism: Exploring

unconscious bias toward lesbian psychotherapy clients. Paper presented at the 102nd Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association, Los Angeles.

Yacoub, E. & Hall, I. (2009). The sexual lives of men with mild learning disability: A qualitative study. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 37(1), 5-11.

Yarhouse, M.A., & Burkett, L.A. (2002). An inclusive response to LGB and conservative religious persons: The case of same-sex attraction and behavior. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 33, 235-241.

Appendix A - Internet Resources

It is useful for psychologists to know how to acquire information about resources of various kinds, including therapeutic, educational, social and recreational, and family support. Several authors have provided overviews of lesbian, gay, and bisexual communities that can provide valuable guidance (e.g., D'Augelli & Garnets, 1995; Esterberg, 1996). Gates and Ost (2004) have published an atlas of census-based information on the distribution of lesbian, gay, and bisexual households in towns/cities and states in the United States.

Online resources exist at a number of levels. National resources tend to be quite stable and can provide psychologists with a wide variety of general information. In addition, many national resources also have information about regional resources.

American Psychological Association Resources

APA Office of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Concerns (http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbc/homepage.html (http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbc/homepage.html))

APA Division 44 – Society for the Psychological Study of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Issues (http://www.apadivision44.org))

Other Resources

BiNet-USA (http://www.binetusa.org (http://www.binetusa.org/)) - An umbrella organization and voice for bisexual people

BiResource www.biresource.org (http://www.biresource.org/) - BRC is committed to providing support to the bisexual community and raising public awareness about bisexuality and bisexual people

Consortium of Directors of LGBT Resources in Higher Educations (http://www.lgbtcampus.org/resources (http://www.lgbtcampus.org/resources)) - Mission is to critically transform higher education environments so that lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender students, faculty, administrators, staff, and alumni/ae have equity in every respect.

Children of Lesbians and Gays Everywhere (COLAGE) (http://colage.org (http://colage.org/)) - COLAGE is a national movement of children, youth, and adults with one or more lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and/or queer (LGBTQ) parent/s.

Gay, Lesbian, Straight Education Network (GLSEN) (http://www.glsen.org (http://www.glsen.org/)) - The Gay, Lesbian and Straight Education Network strives to assure that each member of every school community is valued and respected regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity/expression.

Human Rights Campaign (http://hrc.org (http://hrc.org/)) - largest national lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender civil rights organization.

Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund (http://www.lambdalegal.org (http://www.lambdalegal.org/)) - Lambda Legal

is a national organization committed to achieving full recognition of the civil rights of lesbians, gay men, bisexuals, transgender people and those with HIV through impact litigation, education and public policy work.

National Gay and Lesbian Task Force (The Task Force) (http://thetaskforce.org (http://thetaskforce.org/)) - The mission of the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force is to build the grassroots power of the lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) community.

National Youth Advocacy Coalition (NYAC) (http://www.nyacyouth.org (http://www.nyacyouth.org/)) - The National Youth Advocacy Coalition (NYAC) is a social justice organization that advocates for and with young people who are lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or questioning (LGBTQ) in an effort to end discrimination against these youth and to ensure their physical and emotional well-being.

Parents, Families, & Friends of Lesbians and Gays (PFLAG) (http://pflag.org (http://pflag.org/)) - PFLAG promotes the health and well-being of gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender persons, their families and friends.

Point Foundation (http://www.thepointfoundation.org (http://www.thepointfoundation.org/)) – Provides financial support, mentoring, leadership training, and hope to meritorious students who are marginalized due to sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression.

Queer Resources Directory (QRD) (http://www.qrd.org (http://www.qrd.org/)) - electronic library with news clippings, political contact information, newsletters, essays, images, hyperlinks, and every other kind of information.

Religious Organizations (also see Appendix B):

Affirmation (Mormon) – http://www.affirmation.org/ (http://www.affirmation.org/)
Dignity USA (Catholics) – http://www.dignityusa.org/ (http://www.dignityusa.org/)
Integrity USA (Episcopal) – www.integrityusa.org (http://www.integrityusa.org/)
Metropolitan Community Church (Christian) - www.mccchurch.org (http://www.mccchurch.org/)
Soul Force – www.soulforce.org (http://www.soulforce.org)
Services and Advocacy for Gay Elders (SAGE) www.sageusa.org (http://www.sageusa.org/)

State psychological associations (often have therapist referral lists)

To access resources in individual cities, one can search online using words such as "gay" paired with the city name. For example, a search of "gay St. Louis" yields many helpful links. One can find many local resources related to health, religion and spirituality, social clubs, real estate, and so on.

Note: Some links may have been updated or retired since this list was developed.

Appendix B - Religious and Denominational LGBT Advocacy and Affinity Organizations

Al-Fatiha

For LGBT Muslims and their allies, families, and friends. www.al-fatiha.org (http://www.al-fatiha.org/)

Association of Welcoming and Affirming Baptists

For LGBT Baptists and their allies, families, and friends. http://www.wabaptists.org/)

Church Within a Church Movement

Methodist

A progressive Methodist movement dedicated to being the fully inclusive church.

http://www.cwac.us (http://www.cwac.us/)

Covenant Network of Presbyterians

Presbyterian Church (USA)

National group of clergy and lay leaders working for a fully inclusive church.

www.covenantnetwork.org (http://www.covenantnetwork.org/)

Dignity USA

For LGBT Catholics and their allies, families, and friends.

www.dignityusa.org (http://www.dignityusa.org/)

The Evangelical Network

Evangelical

Churches, ministries, Christian workers and individuals established as a positive resource and support for Christian gays and lesbians.

www.T-E-N.org (http://www.t-e-n.org/)

Gay and Lesbian Vaishnava Association

For LGBT Hindus and their allies, families, and friends.

http://www.galva108.org/ (http://www.galva108.org/)

Gay Buddhist Fellowship

For LGBT Buddhists and their allies, families, and friends.

http://gaybuddhist.org (http://gaybuddhist.org/)

Integrity

For LGBT Episcopalians and their allies, families, and friends.

www.integrityusa.org (http://www.integrityusa.org)

The Institute for Judaism and Sexual Orientation

Based at a Jewish seminary, its mission is to achieve the complete inclusion and welcoming of LGBT Jews in communities and congregations. Has the largest online resource on the intersection of Judaism, sexual orientation and gender identity.

www.huc.edu/ijso (http://www.huc.edu/ijso)

Institute for Welcoming Resources

Resources supporting the unconditional welcome of people of all sexual orientations and gender identities and their families in the church home of their choice. Sponsored by the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force. www.welcomingresources.org (http://www.welcomingresources.org/)

Interweave-Unitarian Universalists for LGBT Concerns

For LGBT Unitarian Universalists and their allies, families, and friends.

http://www.interweavecontinental.org (http://www.interweavecontinental.org/)

Lutherans Concerned

For LGBT Lutherans and their allies, families, and friends.

www.lcna.org (http://www.lcna.org/)

Mosaic: The National Jewish Center for Sexual and Gender Diversity

Dedicated to helping the Jewish world become more open, accessible, and welcoming to LGBT Jews and their families. http://www.jewishmosaic.org (http://www.jewishmosaic.org/)

Reconciling Ministries Network

For LGBT United Methodists and their allies, families, and friends.

www.rmnetwork.org (http://www.rmnetwork.org/)

Reconciling Pentecostals International

For LGBT Pentecostals and their allies, families, and friends. http://rpifellowship.com/ (http://rpifellowship.com/)

Seventh-Day Adventist Kinship International

LGBT-affirming organization for current and former Seventh-Day Adventists. www.sdakinship.org (http://www.sdakinship.org/)

Unitarian Universalist Association's Office for BGLT Concerns

For LGBT Unitarian Universalists and their allies, families, and friends. www.uua.org/obgltc (http://www.uua.org/obgltc)

United Church of Christ Coalition for LGBT Concerns

Provides support and sanctuary to LGBT people and their families and friends. www.ucccoalition.org (http://www.ucccoalition.org/)

Note: Some links may have been updated or retired since this list was developed.

Find this article at:

http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/guidelines.aspx